```
FBUTSKE1
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                             15 CR 317 (KMW)
                 V.
5
      DEAN SKELOS and ADAM SKELOS,
6
                    Defendants.
          -----x
 7
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              November 30, 2015
9
                                               9:30 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
                            HON. KIMBA M. WOOD,
12
                                              District Judge
13
                                APPEARANCES
14
     PREET BHARARA
15
          United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
16
      JASON MASIMORE
     TATIANA MARTINS
17
     RAHUL MUKHI
      THOMAS McKAY
18
          Assistant United States Attorneys
     GAGE, SPENCER & FLEMING
19
           Attorneys for Defendant Dean Skelos
20
      G. ROBERT GAGE, JR.
      JOSEPH EVANS
21
     ROPES & GRAY
22
           Attorneys for Defendant Adam Skelos
      CHRISTOPHER CONNIFF
     JOHN DANIELS
23
24
25
```

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Good morning. I think we have three things to take up now. We're going to check on whether Juror Number 2 was able to follow what was happening in court until she had a heart problem on Tuesday. And then I need to hear from you whether you want to recapitulate the testimony during about the last half hour on Tuesday, which was the time she said she was not following what was happening in court.

Ms. Buchanan is ready to come in whenever we like, and I will be asking defense counsel what they wish to have her look at here and answer. And then we have the question of purported exaggerations by Adam Skelos.

Why don't we take those up in reverse order. With respect to purported exaggerations by Adam Skelos, I find the government possession persuasive, but I'm glad to hear anything that defense counsel wish to argue.

MR. CONNIFF: Thank you, your Honor, and I think it relates not only to the instance and exhibit cited by the government in their letter last evening, but also to a transcript that I anticipate will come in either later today or tomorrow through Mr. White's testimony. I alerted the government this morning to that exhibit as well. It's Government Exhibit 1611-T, your Honor. I have a copy of it to pass up to your Honor in case you don't have one handy.

THE COURT: Thank you. It's probably not as handy as

you passing it up. Thank you for that.

MR. CONNIFF: Your Honor, it relates to page 1, lines 2 through 11.

THE COURT: Okay, I will read them.

Yes.

MR. CONNIFF: I think the issue is, your Honor, defense's view this is another instance based on the June 22nd letter from the government where Adam Skelos is exaggerating or misstating what his father is actually doing on behalf of AbTech. And therefore, I believe that it's misleading to have that information go in front of the jury without explanation or limiting instruction.

I take the government's point on the initial issue, because I understand Mr. Walker is a witness who is at that wake and funeral with the senator and Mr. Mangano, so he will essentially clear up, so to speak, what the transcript suggests, which is that Mr. Adam Skelos was actually at that funeral and wake as well.

I still have a bit of an issue with it because there's going to be obviously a fairly decent amount of time between when that transcript goes in which is today, probably, or tomorrow, and when Mr. Walker appears, which is likely to be I think at a minimum the end of this week or next week, but I heard your Honor's ruling on that, so I will move to 1611-T.

As far as I understand, there is no similar Mr. Walker

to clarify this, and so while I certainly understand that the government is not going to argue to the jury something that they know is in fact not true, I would never expect them to do that, my concern more is that the jury is left with information that is potentially unclear to them, and I think everybody's interest here is to make sure that the facts are in front of them so they can determine what those facts mean. And my concern on something like 1611-T, the first page there, is that the jury could conclude, through no direct argument by the government, that this actually occurred, when I think their June 22nd letter suggests it probably did not.

THE COURT: Mr. Masimore.

MR. MASIMORE: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. MASIMORE: With respect to the portion of the transcript in 1611, I believe that the main statement there was related to Adam Skelos reporting that he says: That was set up and he got -- he -- my dad pretty much was behind getting the support to do that, to ask that for the budget, and they did. They did it as just water projects.

Your Honor, I think the June 22nd letter again was our attempt, based on meetings we had with defense counsel, to provide them with information that we thought might fit within their themes. This particular statement by Mr. Adam Skelos is susceptible to several interpretations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our investigation after hearing that statement was to figure out was Adam Skelos claiming that Senator Skelos had caused Carl Marcellino to put in a letter to Senator Skelos requesting certain funds. When we learned through our investigation that in fact Senator Skelos had not asked Senator Carl Marcellino to submit that letter, we provided that information in our June 22nd letter because there is an interpretation available that perhaps Mr. Adam Skelos was referring to that.

However, if you look at the statement, what Adam Skelos is referring to is simply the fact that his father, Senator Skelos, was supportive of stormwater projects. And there's already been evidence elicited, I believe it's Government Exhibit 1445, which was a conversation between Senator Skelos and Senator Jack Martins that was intercepted on our wiretap. During that conversation, the Court may recall Senator Skelos actually brought up stormwater. We also intend, as part of the trial, to present evidence that in late December, early January, Senator Skelos sat for an interview with city and state, and in that he noted that infrastructure funds should go to stormwater. And there's other evidence as well.

So the government doesn't believe it's fair to sort of be pinned in by its June 22nd letter. That wasn't the intent to say this was the only interpretation. As Mr. Conniff

acknowledged, as we said and as the Court knows, we're certainly not going to intentionally advocate an argument that we know to be false.

So if the Court has any questions for me, I don't want to unpersuade the Court by talking any further, but I'm happy to answer any questions about it.

THE COURT: I do not see this as problematic.

If we're ready to turn to the next topic, I would like to hear defense counsel on what you would like to ask

Ms. Buchanan.

MR. GAGE: Your Honor, I think everybody's goal is to make certain it was not a juror that was having a conversation.

THE COURT: That's right.

MR. GAGE: So I don't have an absolute road map, but as we have throughout, we can have open dialogue with everyone to get to the right level of thoroughness on this. But I guess my thought would be that if Ms. Buchanan is able to sit in the courtroom, and I appreciate she didn't see a juror, but maybe her recollection is refreshed. I don't know that it would take that long. And perhaps I think there was a suggestion, it may have been your Honor, that the voices of the male reporters — could have a brief conversation with them, perhaps she recognizes one of the voices. Beyond that, I'm not sure what else could be done. Those are the two things that occur to me.

THE COURT: That's all that occurred to me.

3 appropriate.

THE COURT: So if she came at about -- she's available any time. If she came at about 10 to 1:00, she could take a good look at the jurors, and then once the jurors leave the room at 1:00, if we could have the male reporters who were part of the conversation and/or any female reporter who was, stand and speak, perhaps she will recognize a voice.

Is that what you have in mind?

MR. GAGE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GAGE: If anything else occurs I will share with the Court.

THE COURT: All right. May I ask the journalists who are here, which men should we try to have here at 1:00?

MR. MUKHI: I believe Mr. Gregorian was one who specifically said he recounted something similar to what Ms. Buchanan overheard.

THE COURT: He was one. Do we know who else was part of the conversation?

MR. McKAY: The other journalists mentioned were John Riley and Will Bredderman.

THE COURT: Okay. I wonder if the journalists who are here know whether we could have these three people here

together at 1:00.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Your Honor, John Riley is in house, so I know he's here, unless he's off today.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: John Riley is my colleague. He's at the Silver trial. I'm not sure if he will be able to get back here at that time.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Your Honor, I think Will Bredderman is in the same situation. He's bouncing between both trials at this moment.

THE COURT: All right. If you have their -- do they have their iPhones with them or Blackberries?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: They do, but we don't.

THE COURT: Okay. I wonder if you could email them asking whether they can be in this courtroom at 1:00. If not, what time could they be here during a lunch break?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: The lunch break in Silver is usually 1:00 to 2:00.

THE COURT: Which is the same as it will be here. So that should work pretty well. Do you agree?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I would appreciate you're doing that and letting us know.

MS. MARTINS: Does anyone from the press know Mr. Gregorian? Because that seemed like the potential likeliest candidate, given what he stated in court.

THE COURT: Mr. Gregorian, do you expect him here today, or do you know?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I don't know.

THE COURT: I will have one of my law clerks call Mr. Gregorian. He's with the Daily News?

MS. MARTINS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'll ask my deputy or the marshal to see if juror number two has arrived. If so, we would like to speak with her.

DEPUTY MARSHAL: Not yet, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. If you could let us know when she arrives, we would appreciate it, thank you.

DEPUTY MARSHAL: We're short three jurors.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. CONNIFF: Your Honor, the government may have noticed this too, but on Tuesday when we were leaving -- and Court may be aware of this, but when we were leaving there were paramedics in the lobby of the building, and it appears Juror Number 2 was with them. I don't know whether she was being treated by them or whatever, but it did seem to carry on after she left us that afternoon.

THE COURT: I didn't know that there were paramedics there. We did get a report from the marshal's office that they had followed up with her and asked her to call the marshal when she got home to make sure she got home safely. And she did,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and apparently felt fine.

What do you want to do about the last 20 minutes to 30 minutes on Tuesday of last week? I pose this first to defense counsel.

MR. CONNIFF: Can I speak to Mr. Gage?

THE COURT: Certainly.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Your Honor, may I say something else, if you don't mind?

THE COURT: Please do.

If you come to podium people could hear you better, the podium being right here.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: As loathe as I am to be part of this at all, it's almost -- well, a distinct possibility there will be a verdict in another trial today, and I think that perhaps the best course of action might be to have Ms. Buchanan and these three reporters here tomorrow in the morning. That way --

THE COURT: No one will miss the verdict.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: You could have some certainty to everyone's availability.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you very much.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Maybe we'll hold off for a while if counsel agree.

MR. GAGE: That's fine, your Honor.

MR. CONNIFF: Your Honor, on the issue of Juror Number 2 and how to handle the last half hour, I guess just while we're talking about it, I would raise kind of a broader issue, which is my recollection of Juror Number 2 during the voir dire was that she has this — this was not a surprise in some respects. She has this chronic heart ailment, and that it's exacerbated to some degree by stress, and certainly sitting on a trial like this is increasing her own stress.

I wonder whether we should consider whether she should remain on the jury in light of the fact I believe the government is about a week away from resting, they will speak to that, or maybe a week and a few days, and we do have the alternates. Because if we do the read back, I guess, to her today, we may find ourselves doing it again on Friday or the next Monday.

So I raise that just in the context of figuring out what to do generally in terms of the readback. We did have some general discussions, the government and ourselves. I don't think doing the testimony over again is an appropriate route because the other jurors all heard it the first time, and that's — we shouldn't have competing versions of kind of what the evidence is, I would say.

So the only alternative would be I guess the court reporter literally reading back the Q and A to I guess probably the entire jury, although maybe that creates issues of kind of

reemphasizing certain points for the other eleven plus the alternates.

So that's some initial thoughts I guess, your Honor.

THE COURT: I agree with all of your initial thoughts, which lead us to no particular place.

Do you wish to be heard, Ms. Martins?

MS. MARTINS: Your Honor, we agree with defense counsel that the juror has indicated in her questionnaire already some of these items, and that she I think took medication that made her drowsy. I think that we agree that redoing the testimony would not be a good idea.

With respect to readback, if it's half an hour, it seems kind of a lengthy amount of time in terms of the witness's tone, demeanor, all the things that a juror sort of observes as sort of the point of having a live witness as opposed to doing it by affidavit or something like that. And given that we have four alternates, I think it's something that we should consider at this time.

And I also think we raised it on Tuesday, perhaps asking the juror if she's had other occasions when she hasn't been able to follow. The government has at least noticed, and defense counsel may have as well, that she has appeared drowsy at other points in testimony. So our perspective is that she has dosed a bit on and off at other times as well.

THE COURT: I'll let defense counsel confer.

25

MR. GAGE: 1 Thank you, your Honor. MR. CONNIFF: We wouldn't have an objection to 2 3 excusing the juror, your Honor, in light of her illness. 4 THE COURT: I think that makes sense in light of what 5 we have seen and the inability to recreate what she missed on 6 Tuesday. 7 Does the government agree? MR. MASIMORE: Yes, your Honor. Obviously the juror 8 9 has been very conscientious. She's tried very hard to stay on, 10 but we agree with the position. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Gage, do you agree? 12 MR. GAGE: I do, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. So I think we can call her in as 14 soon as she is here. And she's here. Could you ask her to 15 come in, please. 16 (Juror present) 17 THE COURT: Please come and have a seat anywhere in 18 the jury box. 19 JUROR: Good morning. 20 THE COURT: How were you feeling on the weekend? 21 JUROR: Fine. 22 THE COURT: We think that because of your not having 23 been able to hear the testimony toward the end of the day on

Tuesday, and the fact that you have a heart condition, that

it's probably best for you not to serve on the jury. We want

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to thank you for how conscientious you have been and how hard you've tried to listen to the testimony and give it all the attention it deserves.

So you leave with our thanks, and when the rest of the jurors have taken their belongings out of the room you can go back in and take yours out and your jury service will be finished.

JUROR: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

JUROR: Thank you.

(Juror not present)

THE COURT: So Juror Number 13, Richard Filingeri, will replace Ms. Sheena Lewis, who was Juror Number 2, and he will go to the Seat Number 2.

If counsel are ready, we can bring in the witness and bring the jury in.

MR. MASIMORE: We're ready to proceed, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is the jury all there?

DEPUTY MARSHAL: They're all present.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Mr. Richard Filingeri should sit in Seat Number 2 replacing Sheena Lewis, who has been excused because of a heart condition.

Good morning. I hope you had a good Thanksgiving a good, restful weekend. Please have a seat. We're ready to

FBUTSKE1 White - direct

1 resume.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, with respect to the last witness from last week, Mr. Rink, we have the understanding that neither side has any further questions.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.

MR. MASIMORE: At this time, your Honor, the government calls Bjornulf White to the stand.

THE COURT: Yes.

BJORNULF WHITE,

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

- 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. MASIMORE:
- 14 | Q. Good morning. How old are you?
- 15 | A. 35.
- 16 | Q. Where do you live?
- 17 | A. In Connecticut.
- 18 | Q. Can you please describe for the jury your educational
- 19 | background, beginning with college.
- 20 | A. Yes, I went to Cornell University for undergraduate, I went
- 21 to Cornell also for graduate school, and then I received my law
- 22 | degree from George Washington University Law School.
- 23 | Q. Have you ever, in fact, been a licensed attorney?
- 24 A. No, I have not.
 - Q. Would you please describe your employment history since

- 1 graduating law school.
- 2 A. Sure. I started working at a law firm in Washington DC,
- 3 and then I went on to Lockheed Martin Corporation, which is an
- 4 aerospace and technology company. There I was responsible for
- 5 | identifying new technologies and starting new program areas,
- 6 | building engineering teams and providing solutions to the U.S.
- 7 | military as well as various other security customers and
- 8 commercial customers. And it was at my time at Lockheed I
- 9 became familiar with AbTech Industries technology and then went
- 10 on to work as a consultant for AbTech.
- 11 | Q. How did you become familiar with AbTech?
- 12 A. Well, we were searching for different technologies that
- 13 were useful for primarily the military, and I was introduced to
- 14 | the CEO of AbTech, Glenn Rink, and that's how I became familiar
- 15 with AbTech.
- 16 Q. Approximately when did you meet AbTech's CEO, Glenn Rink?
- 17 | A. The first time I met him in person was in April 2009.
- 18 | Q. When did you begin working at AbTech?
- 19 A. I started as a consultant in the summer, early summer of
- 20 | 2010.
- 21 | Q. What's AbTech's current corporate structure?
- 22 | A. There is a publicly traded company called AbTech Holdings,
- 23 and then it has two subsidiaries, AbTech Industries and AEWS
- 24 | Engineering.
- 25 | Q. And are AbTech Industries and AEWS Engineering affiliated?

FBUTSKE1

1

- Α. Yes, they are.
- 2 And they're affiliates? Q.
- 3 They're affiliates, yes. Α.
 - What does AEWS stand for? Q.
- 5 AbTech Engineered Water Systems. Α.
- 6 Who founded AEWS Engineering? 0.
- 7 I did in conjunction with AbTech. Α.
- And approximately when did you found AEWS? 8 Q.
- 9 I started the formation of it in late 2011, and then it was Α. 10 really formally started in 2012, spring.
- 11 And what was the purpose of forming AEWS?
- 12 Well, the reason I started it was to put the engineering
- 13 capabilities, primarily civil and environmental engineering in
- 14 that separate company, and that would then become the front end
- 15 engineering that would work in conjunction with AbTech
- Industries for technologies, because we were rolling out this 16
- 17 broader program of public private partnerships.
- Q. And we'll get to public private partnerships, but before 18
- 19 that, where was AEWS located?
- 20 Headquarters were in Raleigh, North Carolina.
- 21 With respect to being a consultant at AbTech, what were
- 22 your duties and responsibilities?
- 23 Well, when I first started I was responsible for developing
- 24 strategy and business development for really the U.S. federal
- 25 government, federal facilities and military bases and such, but

- 1 my role expanded beyond that as time went on.
- 2 | Q. And what did your role expand to encompass?
- 3 A. Well, it expanded to include strategy and business
- 4 development for all of the market areas that AbTech was
- 5 involved in, including stormwater, produce water treatment for
- 6 the oil and gas sector, which included in particular frack
- 7 | water, then the industrial sector, although most of my focus
- 8 was on stormwater and oil and gas.
- 9 Q. When you were a consultant for AbTech, how much were you
- 10 | paid?
- 11 A. I was paid \$10,000 a month retainer.
- 12 | Q. What were your working hours like when you were a
- 13 | consultant?
- 14 A. Well, very intense, I would say. I would put in 60 to 80
- 15 | hours a week.
- 16 | Q. Directing your attention to January 2012, did there come a
- 17 | time when you stopped being paid as an outside consultant and
- 18 became employed by AbTech?
- 19 A. Yes, I became a W-2 employee on January 1st, 2012.
- 20 | Q. And what, if any, positions did you hold at AbTech once you
- 21 | became employed?
- 22 | A. Then I became executive vice president of corporate
- 23 strategy and business development, and then also was founder
- 24 and president of AEWS Engineering.
- 25 Q. Focusing on your position as executive VP at AbTech, what

1236

FBUTSKE1

were your duties and responsibilities?

- 2 It was to develop basically the business strategy and Α.
- 3 customer offering primarily for the stormwater and oil and gas
- 4 sector, and then to oversee business development in those
- 5 sectors.

1

- What was your salary in this position?
- 7 183,000 a year. Α.
- 8 And same question, what sort of hours did you put in in
- 9 this position?
- 10 Similar, if not more. I was not compensated for my AEWS
- 11 Engineering role, so I was doing that in addition, and setting
- 12 up the office in North Carolina and such. So it became
- 13 sometimes even seven days a week.
- 14 Q. Did there come a time when your job titles and job duties
- 15 changed with respect to AbTech?
- A. Yes. Well, in 2014 I resigned my position at AbTech and 16
- 17 became basically only the president of AEWS, and I volunteered
- 18 a salary reduction at that time.
- 19 Q. Even though you were at AEWS but no longer employed by
- 20 AbTech, did AEWS maintain a close working business relationship
- 21 with AbTech?
- 22 Α. Yes, absolutely.
- 23 What, if any, duties and responsibilities did you carry
- 24 over from your time at AbTech that you continued to fulfill
- after you focused solely on AEWS? 25

FBUTSKE1

- Well, I would say my role with respect to developing the 1 2 stormwater sector remained pretty much the same. AEWS was
- 3 essentially teamed with AbTech and another company, so I was
- 4 still doing a lot of the same development of strategy and
- 5 pursuit of business in the stormwater sector.
- 6 While you were working at AbTech and AEWS, where did you 7 physically work out of?
- A. Well, I worked out of my home in Virginia, the AEWS office 8 9 in North Carolina, the AbTech office in Scottdale, Arizona, and 10 then I was traveling all across the country from West Coast to
- East Coast and South all the time for business pursuits. 11
- 12 Are you familiar with something called Smart Sponge?
- 13 Α. Yes, I am.

17

- 14 What is Smart Sponge? Q.
- It is the core technology for water filtration that AbTech 15 Α. 16 Industries developed.
 - MR. MASIMORE: At this time the government offers Government Exhibit 4808.
- 19 MR. GAGE: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 4808 is received 21 without objection.
- 22 MR. MASIMORE: The government offers 4804. 23 withdraw 4808. I should have said eight instead of four, I 24 apologize.
- 25 MR. CONNIFF: No objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

White - direct

THE COURT: Government Exhibit 4804 is received without objection.

(Government's Exhibit 4804 received in evidence)

BY MR. MASIMORE:

- Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- Yes, I do. It's a Smart Sponge installation that we were referencing, Smart Sponge technology.
 - What does this do? Q.
 - A. Well, what you see is actually -- so it's an installation of Smart Sponge, and there's actually a stormwater pipe that is underground that is going into that concrete box and it's coming from the road. So as it rains and rain picks up pollution, it goes into the storm drain, and normally it would flow right out into a waterway. But instead, this box is essentially intercepting that water flow, forcing it through the box, and then another stormwater pipe allows the water to flow out into the water. And by doing this, the water therefore flows through the Smart Sponge technology and is actually treated before it's discharged into the rivers and waterways.
 - What's the gray rectangular box in the center of the photograph?
- That's a gray concrete we call a vault that is basically excavated and dropped into the ground to intercept the stormwater pipe.

- And the smaller rectangle in the center with the white 1 material, what is that? 2
- 3 Those are cartridges containing the Smart Sponge material,
- and then the water is basically forced through that stack. 4
- 5 It's a little hard to see, but those are individual cartridges.
- 6 Q. What kinds of customers purchased Smart Sponge in this 7 format?
- A. Well, a major focus was on municipalities, cities, towns, 8
- 9 villages, counties, and then in addition the U.S. military,
- 10 federal facilities, airports, marinas, industrial sites,
- 11 customers like that.
- 12 What are the primary uses for Smart Sponge technology?
- 13 It's all water filtration, and it's water filtration for a Α.
- 14 variety of contaminants, and it really stretches across
- stormwater, wastewater, produced water from oil and gas for 15
- fracking, and industrial process water treatment. 16
- 17 Q. From 2011 to 2015, where was the Smart Sponge manufactured
- and assembled? 18
- 19 The core constituent parts, the raw material was
- 20 manufactured in multiple locations. We had a supplier in the
- 21 U.S. Southeast, a supplier abroad, and they were all shipped to
- 22 Arizona where AbTech had a manufacturing site in Phoenix,
- 23 Arizona where it's finally assembled.
- 24 Q. During that same time period, 2011 to 2015, did AbTech sell
- 25 Smart Sponge to customers in each of these years?

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 In which states? Q.
- 3 Multiple states across the country. To name some that I
- withdrawal, California, Utah, North Carolina, Florida, 4
- Virginia, Maryland, New York, Utah, I believe others. 5
- 6 Are you familiar with something called design, build and
- 7 operate?
- Yes, I am. 8 Α.
- 9 And what is design, build and operate?
- 10 It's a program whereby in a single contract either one
- company or a team of companies provides a full suite of 11
- services, essentially starting from engineering consulting and 12
- 13 design, designing the infrastructure, overseeing building and
- 14 construction of it, and then being responsible for ongoing
- 15 operation and maintenance of that infrastructure.
- And with respect to public works projects, could you 16
- 17 explain the difference between the way bidding would take place
- regularly versus bidding in a design build, operate scenario? 18
- A. Yes. Well, if you were to, for example, take a drinking 19
- 20 water plant, in a normal circumstance a municipality might
- 21 first hire consulting engineers to define their need. They
- 22 might hire separate consulting engineers to design the solution
- 23 itself. So those would be two separate processes. And then
- 24 after that, the municipality would bid out and procure a
- 25 construction firm or a series of construction firms to build

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

KE1 White - direct

that. And then at the end of that, the municipality itself would be responsible for operating it or they would bid out to services companies to do that.

In the case of the design, build, operate, what's happening is a municipality, using that example, would say we want a drinking water plant built to meet these needs, and in a single bid process they would ask for that, and companies or teams of companies would respond to do everything.

- Q. What's an RFP?
- A. It's a request for proposal.
- Q. And what is a request for proposal?
- 12 A. It is the process by which a buying agency, which could be a city or town, requests proposals, including a description of
- 14 the price, what's going to be provided services-wise, and a
- description of how they're going to be able to do it.
- 16 Q. You mentioned before in your testimony the term public
- 17 private partnership. Can you explain how a public private
- 18 partnership compares to design, build, operate?
- 19 A. Yes. Well, generally speaking, a public private
- 20 partnership is going to be a design, build, operate program
- 21 | that also has private financing as a component of it. So
- 22 | whoever is responding and saying we're going to provide these
- 23 services, the private team or single company also is going to
- 24 | finance that infrastructure.
- 25 Q. Did there come a time when a consultant-based marketing

- approach was developed to promote AbTech's products in New 1 York? 2
- Α. 3 Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 4 And can you describe what the consultant-based marketing Q. 5 approach was?
 - Well, what AbTech was doing there was AbTech wanted to reach customers, municipalities, and reach essentially the decision makers within a municipality. Those would be more senior level for something like a public private partnership. And the consultant model basically sought to hire people who were connected to those decision makers and hire them to get access to customers.
 - Do you see a binder sitting on the rail in front of you? 0.
- 14 Yes, I do. Α.
- 15 Q. Do you recognize that binder?
- 16 Α. Yes, I do.
- 17 How are you able to recognize that binder? Ο.
- It's a binder whose contents I have reviewed and I have 18 19 signed and dated it.
- 20 And in general, what are the contents of this binder?
- 21 They're a variety of emails, either from me or to me all 22 pertaining to AbTech business.
- 23 MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, at this time the government 24 offers the materials in the binder specifically the government Exhibit 2131, 2428, 2441, 2443, 2456, 2457, 2459, 2463 through

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

White - direct

2465, 2468, 2470, 2472, 2474, 2477, 2482, 2484, 2485, 2489, 2490, 2497, 2502, 2506, 2507, 2509, 2513, 2516, 2517, 2522, 2523, 2532 through 2534, 2539, 2541, 2544 through 2547, 2548A through 2548C, 2553 through 2555, 2562, 2563, 2577A, 2582A through 2582C, 2586, 2587, 2589, 2590, 2598, 2599, 2605, 2613, 2515, 2617, 2619, 2622, 2625, 2627, 2629, 2631, 2633, 2634, 2638, 2639, 2652, 2673 through 2679. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. GAGE: May I have just a moment, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MR. GAGE: Your Honor, we have reviewed we believe these documents, but as long as what in the binder are emails to and from Mr. White, no objection, which I assume they are. BY MR. MASIMORE:

14

Q. Mr. White, the materials in the binder, are there any materials other than emails that you were either the recipient of or the sender of?

A. No.

THE COURT: All right. The Court receives without objection Government Exhibits 2131, 2428, 2441, 2443, 2456, 2457, 2459, 2463 through 2465, 2468, 2470, 2472, 2474, 2477, 2482, 2484, 2517, 2522, 2523, 2532 through 2534, 3539, sorry, 2539, 2541, 2544 through 2547, 2548A through 2584C, 2553 through 2555, 2562, 2563, 2577A, 2582A through 2582C, 2586, 2587, 2589, 2590, 2598, 2599, 2605, 2613, 2515, 2617, 2619,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

```
2622, 2625, 2627, 2269, 2631, 2633, 2634, 2638, 2639, 2652, 2673 through 2679.
```

MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, just three points of clarification, I think your Honor said 2658A through 2584C, it's 2548A through 2548C.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you.

MR. MASIMORE: And I think the your Honor said 2515, it should be 2615.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MASIMORE: Then lastly, your Honor, I think the court says 2269 and it's 2629.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MASIMORE: It was a long list, your Honor.

THE COURT: It's okay, thank you.

(Government's Exhibits 2131, 2428, 2441, 2443, 2456, 2457, 2459, 2463 through 2465, 2468, 2470, 2472, 2474, 2477, 2482, 2484, 2485, 2489, 2490, 2497, 2502, 2506, 2507, 2509, 2513, 2516, 2517, 2522, 2523, 2532 through 2534, 2539, 2541, 2544 through 2547, 2548A through 2548C, 2553 through 2555, 2562, 2563, 2577A, 2582A through 2582C, 2586, 2587, 2589, 2590,

2598, 2599, 2605, 2613, 2515, 2617, 2619, 2622, 2625, 2627,

2629, 2631, 2633, 2634, 2638, 2639, 2652, 2673 through 2679

received in evidence)

24 BY MR. MASIMORE:

Q. So Mr. White, you were testifying about the

1245

- 1 consultant-based marketing approach with respect to AbTech's
- 2 products in the New York area. Do you remember that?
- 3 Yes. Α.

FBUTSKE1

- Did there come a time when AbTech made efforts to hire a 4 Ο.
- 5 consultant to market the design build approach in the New York
- City area? 6

- 7 Yes, there did.
 - Approximately when was that? Q.
- 9 In August 2012. Α.
- 10 Who did AbTech look into hiring? 0.
- 11 Α. Adam Skelos.
- 12 How did you first learn that AbTech was considering hiring
- 13 Adam Skelos to be a consultant?
- 14 A. Well, AbTech's CEO Glenn Rink called me and said that
- 15 Charlie Dorego had told him if we were serious about rolling
- 16 out our public private partnership model in New York State that
- 17 we should hire Adam Skelos. So that's how I learned.
- 18 Q. And as best you can recall, what did Glenn Rink tell you
- 19 about Adam Skelos at this beginning time when you learned about
- 20 Adam Skelos?
- 21 A. Well, he said that he was from a prominent political family
- 22 in Long Island and had access to and could open the doors to
- 23 all the municipalities we were trying to get into.
- 24 MR. MASIMORE: Could we publish 2404 in evidence,
- 25 please.

- 1 Q. Do you see the bottom email there is from
- 2 | charliedorego@aol.com to grink@abtech?
- 3 | A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Q. Then Glen Rink forwarded this email to you, correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Who is Charlie Dorego?
- 7 A. Charlie Dorego was one of Glenn Rink's advisers, I believe
- 8 | friends, and he represented one of the major investors, one of
- 9 the early investors in AbTech.
- 10 | Q. Have you ever met Charlie Dorego in person?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. Have you communicated with Charlie Dorego?
- 13 A. Only via email chains that we were on together.
- 14 | Q. What, if any, relationship did Charlie Dorego have with
- 15 | Adam Skelos?
- 16 A. I believe -- well, based on what Glenn had told me, he was
- 17 | close family friends, and Glenn said he kind of was almost like
- 18 an attorney for the family.
- 19 | Q. And if you look in 2404 on the screen, at the end of the
- 20 | first paragraph it mentions that his dad is Dean Skelos, NYS
- 21 | Senate Majority Leader?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Up through August 2012 when you received this, what
- 24 experience did you have with respect to New York State
- 25 politics?

- 1 A. I didn't have any.
- 2 \parallel Q. Did there come a time when you personally met with the Adam
- 3 | Skelos?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what, if any, information about Senator Skelos did you
- 6 review before meeting with Adam Skelos?
- 7 A. Well, I just had looked up who he was when I saw this
- 8 | email, so just like his profile online.
- 9 Q. Why did you look up Senator Skelos' profile?
- 10 A. Because I had never heard of him, and so I just wanted to
- 11 | see who he was.
- 12 Q. How did it come to be that you met with Adam Skelos?
- 13 A. Glenn Rink told me to meet with him the next time I was in
- 14 New York, so I went ahead and set that up per his request.
- 15 | Q. Where did you meet Senator Skelos or where did you meet
- 16 | Adam Skelos?
- 17 A. I met him at a hotel in Manhattan.
- 18 Q. Which hotel?
- 19 A. The W Hotel in midtown Manhattan.
- 20 | Q. Approximately when was the meetting?
- 21 A. It was early one morning, I believe 8:00 or 8:30 a.m.
- 22 (Continued on next page)
- 23
- 24
- 25

- MR. MASIMORE: Could we publish 2428 in evidence, please. Just the top half, please. Yes.
- Q. Do you see at the bottom on August 14 you e-mailed, "It's the W New York on Lex"?
- 5 A. Correct.

- Q. What was the purpose of sending that e-mail?
- 7 A. To confirm which hotel it was that we were meeting at.
- 8 MR. MASIMORE: Then moving up the e-mail.
- 9 Q. Adam Skelos writes back to you on August 15, "I'm early.
- 10 In the seating area. Table by the fireplace."
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Is August 15 the date you met with Adam Skelos?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Who was at the meeting?
- 15 | A. Just Adam and myself.
- 16 Q. As best you can recall, what did Adam Skelos say to you at
- 17 | the meeting and what did you say to Adam Skelos at the meeting?
- 18 | A. Well Adam was pretty well prepared in terms of sort of --
- 19 he told me about that he had a consulting firm, that he had a
- 20 | lot of clients, including clients that had done infrastructure
- 21 | building that he had helped with. He mentioned he had even
- 22 done -- had a client that had done a public private
- 23 | partnership. He said that he was very interested or excited
- 24 about our program and what we were offering. And he said he
- 25 | had a three-year plan to essentially sort of go throughout all

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- of New York State and meet with all the customers starting
 downstate and moving all the way upstate. And I told him that
 sounded very interesting.
 - Q. Approximately how long did the meeting with Adam Skelos last?
 - A. Not long. I would say maybe 20 to 30 minutes.
 - Q. After the meeting did you discuss it with anyone at AbTech?
 - A. Yes. Glenn Rink was eager to hear how it went. So he was the next person I spoke with regarding that.
- Q. What did you tell Glenn Rink about the meeting you had with Adam Skelos?
 - A. I told him that I was pleasantly surprised that Adam was prepared. He had a lot of clients, it seemed, in the infrastructure space. And that he had planned on how to sort of roll out our P3 program, public private partnership program.
 - MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up 2934 in evidence, please.
- 18 If we focus on the top first.
 - Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to his father dated August 29, 2012?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- MR. MASIMORE: And go to page two of Exhibit 2934, the bottom.
- Q. Do you recognize what's part of the chain that Adam forwarded to Senator Skelos?

1 Α. Yes. That is an e-mail from myself to Adam.

- 2 In the middle of your e-mail you say, "One good piece of
- 3 news. At the state level the legislature passed an act (the
- infrastructure investment act) in December last year that lasts 4
- 5 for three years and permits design-build PPP structures"?
- Α. Yes. 6

- What were you referring to?
- Legislation that New York State had for state agencies that 8 9 authorized P3 structures.
- 10 MR. MASIMORE: If we could go up to the e-mail just 11 above that, please, on page two.
- 12 Q. Do you see Adam Skelos responded in part, "Is this bill
- 13 something I should look to try to extend the time limit with
- 14 the state? I could be helpful on that front if needed. Let me
- know." 15
- 16 Yes, I see that.
- 17 To your knowledge, did Adam Skelos have the personal
- 18 ability to modify or extend New York State legislation?
- 19 No, he did not. Α.
- 20 Now at the time did New York state law allow all
- 21 municipalities to enter into design build contracts?
- 22 Α. No, it did not.
- 23 Could you describe the importance, if any, to AbTech's
- 24 business model for the state to approve municipalities entering
- 25 into this type of contract.

- A. It would have been very important for the state to authorize that.
- 3 Q. Why?
- A. Because that would enable the full P3 programs that AbTech wanted to rollout across New York State.
 - Q. On page one of this exhibit at the very bottom do you see
 you respond to Adam Skelos in part "That is something we should
 discuss"?
- 9 | A. Yes.

6

7

8

- MR. MASIMORE: Could we publish 2933 in evidence, please.
- Q. Do you see, starting at the top, do you see this is an e-mail from Senator Skelos to Adam Skelos from August 27, 2012?
- 14 | A. Yes, I do.
- 15 | Q. And the subject line is New York State?
- 16 | A. Yes.

- Q. And if we go down to the body of the e-mail do you recognize what Adam Skelos had sent to Senator Skelos?
- A. He has forwarded the e-mail from me to Adam that we just were referencing.
- Q. And in his -- do you see the text at 8:12 a.m. where Adam
 Skelos wrote, "Heard from Bjornulf. See below."
- Do you know what bill he's talking about?
- 24 A. Yes. I see that.
 - Q. Adam Skelos refers to you by first name. At this point, in

12	White -	direc [°]

- August 2012, had you ever personally spoken to or met with Senator Skelos?
 - A. No.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And so Adam Skelos asks, "Do you know what bill he's talking about?" And do you see Senator Skelos responds, "Will have Robert check"?
 - A. Yes.

MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit 12, paragraph 5, which is a stipulation.

If I may, your Honor, paragraph five reads "Government Exhibits 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005 are true and accurate business records of New York State Senate employee payroll records for the first pay period of January 2011, 2012, 2013, '14, and '15 respectively. The payroll records accurately reflect the job titles and departments within which the listed Senate employees worked as of the dates of the payroll records."

Your Honor, at this time the government offers Government Exhibits 2001 through 2005.

THE COURT: No objection?

MR. GAGE: No objection.

THE COURT: Government Exhibits 2001 through 2005 are received without objection.

(Government's Exhibits 2001 through 2005 received in evidence)

- 1 MR. MASIMORE: Could we publish, please, Government
- 2 Exhibit 2002, page 17. Zoom into the highlighted portion,
- 3 please.
- 4 Q. Do you see, Mr. White, that on the far left there's a name
- 5 Robert F. Mujica, Jr.?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 It says, "Majority Leader's senior staff, chief of staff,
- Sec. to SFC Majority." 8
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Do you recognize the name Robert Mujica?
- 12 Α. Yes, I do.
- 13 How do you recognize that name? 0.
- It was a name that Adam mentioned later on, maybe a year or 14 Α.
- 15 two later.
- In connection with what? 16 0.
- 17 A. AbTech business.
- 18 MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit
- 2934, please. If we could zoom in a bit. If we could zoom 19
- 20 into the very top, please.
- 21 Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Senator
- 22 Skelos from August 29, 2012 with an attachment that's called
- 23 "Skelos Proposed Engagement Structure."
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. Do you recognize what Adam Skelos is forwarding to Senator Skelos?
- 3 | A. Yes, I do.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- A. It's the engagement structure that I was asked to put together describing the consulting role and compensation between AbTech and Adam Skelos.
 - MR. MASIMORE: Could we go to page six of the exhibit, please.
 - Q. Halfway down in the methodology section the last paragraph, do you see that this proposal says, "Your principal point of contact and the coordinator of your activities would be the EVP of business development (B. White) or as delegated. AbTech may add other business development support for this effort."
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What were your duties and responsibilities with respect to
 Adam Skelos for AbTech?
 - A. Well, because I was the person who would essentially present to municipalities our program, my responsibilities were really, when Adam would identify a customer, to present to those customers; and Glenn asked me to manage the relationship in terms of which customers and such we were going after on a day-to-day basis.
 - MR. MASIMORE: If we could turn to page seven of the exhibit at the very bottom, going into next page, at the very

FBU9SKE2 White - direct

1 | bottom it says "consulting fee schedule."

- Q. And then do you see the top of page eight, very top, it
- 3 says, "starting fees \$4,000 per month"?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. How did AbTech arrive at the number \$4,000 per month with
- 6 respect to the proposal for Adam's Skelos' compensation?
- 7 A. Well, Glenn Rink had wanted to start Adam at ten thousand
- 8 dollars a month and we already were -- had one consultant we
- 9 were paying at that rate and I didn't really want to have -- I
- 10 | didn't like the consulting model so I pushed back on it. And
- 11 | Glenn said well eventually why don't we start lower than at
- 12 | four thousand dollars a month.
- 13 | Q. What, if any, reasons did you have for having one
- 14 | consultant paid more and Adam Skelos paid less at four thousand
- 15 per month?
- 16 A. Well that consultant was a former mayor of a city that had
- 17 | actually done P3s in the energy space, was a former cochair of
- 18 | the U.S. Conference of Mayors Water Council and also had a
- 19 | national scope, so really pursuing opportunities across the
- 20 country. So that was just a larger role.
- 21 | Q. By comparison what, if any, experience did you understand
- 22 | Adam Skelos to have with respect to water projects?
- 23 | A. I understood him to have no experience with water projects.
- 24 | Q. And then back to page eight of Government Exhibit 2934 on
- 25 | the screen. Do you see at the bottom it says example of

- commission -- should be page eight of the exhibit -- the bottom 1 2 where it says "example of commission compensation potential."
- 3 Do you see that?
- A. Yes. 4
- Do you see at the bottom it says there's a potential total 5 commission of \$4.12 million dollars? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- What was the purpose of providing this information in the 8 9 context of this proposal?
- 10 A. Well Glenn had wanted me to sort of map out a model of what 11 this could mean in terms of commission potential. And so this 12 is showing just an example of potential commission, you know, 13 assuming those assumptions.
- 14 MR. MASIMORE: Could we publish Government Exhibit 15 2938 in evidence, please.
- Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from September 5, 2012. 16 17 prior e-mail was from August 29. Do you see that's from Adam 18 Skelos to Senator Skelos?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 And do you see Adam Skelos writes, "Please print this and 21 review."
- 22 Do you recognize what Adam Skelos is forwarding to 23 Senator Skelos here?
- 24 A. Yes, I do. It's an e-mail from me to Adam attaching the 25 draft consulting agreement.

- 1 MR. MASIMORE: Could we go to page nine of this 2 exhibit, please.
- 3 Q. Do you see this is Exhibit A, services and compensation.
- 4 And in the middle of the page, paragraph two, it says,
- 5 "Services consultant will render to the company the following
- 6 services."
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And if we go to page ten, the first bullet point at the 8
- 9 top. It reads "Assist the company with legislative matters in
- 10 the State of New York and other government relations work
- 11 designated by the company related to stormwater P3s"?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did that bullet point accurately reflect your understanding
- 14 of what Adam Skelos would be doing for AbTech?
- 15 A. One of the duties, yes.
- 16 MR. MASIMORE: Could we display 2441 in evidence,
- 17 please.
- 18 Q. Do you see this is an e-mail the same day, September 5,
- 2012 from Adam Skelos to you? 19
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. And Adam writes that "We are set to meet with the Nassau
- 22 County Deputy Executive Rob Walker on Tuesday September 18 at
- 23 2 p.m.
- 24 Do you see that at the top?
- 25 Yes, I do. Α.

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

20

- Q. What was going to be the purpose of this meeting with Deputy County Executive Rob Walker?
 - A. It would be to present to him the AbTech public private partnership program.
- Q. And what was to be the purpose of presenting that

 partnership -- public private partnership program to Nassau

 County officials?
 - A. Well it would be to tell them what it was we were offering, why it would be helpful for them, how we would do it, and hopefully convince them that it was something they wanted to explore further.
 - Q. Was having the opportunity to present that for Nassau

 County officials of any business importance to AbTech?
- A. Yes. I mean it was very important. And it was important to talk to senior levels within municipalities because this was such a bigger program.
 - Q. Did the meeting on September 18 at 2 p.m. take place?
 - A. No, it did not.
- 19 Q. What happened?
 - A. Adam said he canceled it.
- 21 | Q. Did he indicate why?
- A. Yes, he did. He canceled it, he said, because he -because the consulting agreement was not finalized.
- Q. And the consulting agreement, is that the same as the compensation agreement and proposal we've been looking at?

- 1 A. Yes. Between AbTech and himself.
- 2 MR. MASIMORE: We can take that down now. Thank you.
- 3 Q. Directing your attention to the end of October 2012 while
- 4 | the compensation contract is still being negotiated. What
- 5 | happened in the Northeastern United States?
- 6 A. There was a major hurricane, Hurricane Sandy.
- 7 Q. What if any significance did Hurricane Sandy have with
- 8 | respect to AbTech's business opportunities in the New York
- 9 area?
- 10 A. Well, it was significant in that it drew a lot of attention
- 11 | to stormwater issues, including both flooding as well as
- 12 contaminated stormwater.
- 13 It damaged a lot of infrastructure that needed to be
- 14 | rebuilt and that meant it could be rebuilt with stormwater
- 15 | mitigation incorporated into it.
- And there was a lot of infrastructure spending from
- 17 | the federal government being allocated to municipalities. So
- 18 | that provided for an opportunity for AbTech.
- 19 MR. MASIMORE: Government Exhibit 2455 in evidence,
- 20 please.
- 21 | Q. Do you see at the top this is an e-mail from you to Glenn
- 22 | Rink. Subject: Meetings recap and FEMA?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Directing your attention to the bottom page -- sorry, not
- 25 page, but paragraph three as you've numbered it. Under the

1 heading "Hurricane Sandy situation."

Do you see you wrote "Adam Skelos major access. His dad is working closely with the governor on planning"?

A. Yes.

- Q. How did you become aware that the Senate majority leader was working closely with the governor on planning?
- A. Adam told me.
- Q. As best as you can recall what did Adam say to you about that?
- A. Just that really just that his father was working with the governor and that it definitely seemed like there was going to be a lot of infrastructure spending for the affected communities in Long Island and elsewhere in the Empire State.
- Q. This e-mail was from November 2, 2012.

Directing your attention to Government Exhibit 2456 in evidence. Do you see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to you dated November 8, 2012?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. And at the bottom you write, "Hi Adam. We heard that Suffolk and Nassau County are putting their FEMA packages together real time and we have about a three-week window to make something happen on that in terms of getting included in the FEMA requests. I'm getting pressure to make sure we get into those. This is ahead of our planned timeline but I wanted to reach out and ask if you think you could assist with this (I

include these items."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

White - direct

- assume yes given your contacts) immediately. The concept is
 including stormwater controls, water quality treatment devices
 in the requests such that as they're rebuilding anyway, they
 - What was the purpose of sending this e-mail to Adam Skelos?
 - A. Well the purpose was because Glenn had told me to send it out to him because he felt that there was a limited time window or that he was hearing there was a limited time window to speak to officials at the municipalities as to how they were dealing with the aftermath and how they were going to repair. And so we wanted to be able to go speak to those customers and Glenn asked me to ask Adam to sort of set up those meetings as soon as possible with the municipalities.
 - Q. Do you see at the top Adam responds to you, "We'll get on this now. Call you when I hear something"?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And this is at 2:15:31 p.m.?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up 2956 in evidence, 21 please. If we could focus on the top.
- Q. Do you see that's an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Senator
 Skelos. Same date, November 8, 2012, at 2:15:47 p.m.
- 24 A. Yes.

25

Q. Do you recognize -- do you recognize what Adam Skelos

- 1 | forwarded to Senator Skelos?
- A. He forwarded the e-mail from myself to Adam that we just were referencing.
- 4 MR. MASIMORE: And if we could pull out just on 2956, 5 please. Zooming in on the top of the text.
- Q. Do you see Adam writes to Senator Skelos, "What should I do?"
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did there come a time when you spoke with Adam Skelos again after he had sent you the e-mail that he would get on this now?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. How did you speak with Adam Skelos after that?
- 13 A. By phone.
- 14 | Q. And who was on the phonecall?
- 15 | A. Well, it was him and then he conferenced in his father.
- Q. Was anyone else on the phonecall besides you, Senator
- 17 | Skelos, and Adam Skelos?
- 18 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 19 Q. And can you explain -- describe for the jury what Senator
- 20 | Skelos said, what Adam Skelos said, and what you said during
- 21 | this three-way conversation.
- 22 | A. Yeah. Well, the senator asked me to describe sort of the
- 23 | AbTech solution and how it would be helpful for the affected
- 24 communities. And so I sort of gave a brief description of what
- 25 | it was that we were doing. And then Adam said that we were

planning on going to Nassau and Suffolk County first at the
county level and then going to various shore-affected
communities like Long Beach and Babylon. And the senator said
that sounded like a good idea. And that there definitely was
going to be focus on repairing this infrastructure.

- Q. What did you understand the senator to mean by there was going to be a focus on repairing the infrastructure?
- A. I understood him to mean that this was going to be something that was going to be a priority for the municipalities and that there would be, by priority that would mean also infrastructure spending and focus of resources.
- Q. What was your impression of having the ability to speak directly with the Senate majority leader?
- A. I was not --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. GAGE: Objection, your Honor. Your impression.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. During the course of your duties and responsibilities at
 AbTech had you ever had the opportunity before to speak to such
 a high-level sitting public official?
- A. I believe yes before that.
- 21 \parallel Q. Who was that?
- 22 A. We once met with some officials that were part of U.S.
- 23 Congress just sort of briefing them, yeah.
- Q. And aside from the officials from the U.S. Congress have you ever met with an official in such a high position in the

- 1 | State of New York?
- 2 A. No. And the previous meetings were, with U.S. Congress
- 3 were very sort of formalized and brief. I had never spoken
- 4 with someone sort of directly like that or by phone.
- 5 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government Exhibit
- 6 | 101 which is in evidence, page 174.
- 7 Q. These are the cellphone records. It says at the top,
- 8 "detail for Adam Skelos" and it has a 2603 number.
- 9 Directing your attention to 11/08 2012 at 2:16 p.m.
- 10 Do you see that there is a call from Adam Skelos to a number
- 11 | that ends in 3888?
- 12 | A. Yes, I do.
- 13 MR. MASIMORE: And if we could pull up Government
- 14 Exhibit 10 which is in evidence, page two, paragraph nine.
- 15 | Q. Do you see the stipulation reads, "Government Exhibit 108
- 16 contains toll records for a cellphone subscribed to by Dean
- 17 | Skelos with the phone number ending in 3888."
- Do you see that?
- 19 | A. Yes, I do.
- 20 | Q. Going back to Exhibit 101, page 174.
- 21 Do you see after the 2:16 p.m. call with Senator
- 22 | Skelos there's a call number ending in 8443 at 2:19 p.m.?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Do you recognize that number?
- 25 A. Yes. That's my cellphone.

- 1 | Q. And then at 2:20 do you see, just below that, do you see at
- 2 | 2:20 p.m. there's the senator's number again and it says
- 3 | three-way?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And that lasts for four minutes?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Do you recognize this call?
- 8 | A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 10 A. That is the call with the senator and Adam that we were
- 11 | just speaking about.
- 12 Q. Do you see just below the 2:20 p.m. call, do you see that
- 13 | after the three-way call, at 2:29 p.m. Adam Skelos' cellphone
- 14 was in contact with Senator Skelos' cellphone for two minutes?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Aside from the impending negotiations with respect to a
- 17 compensation agreement, did AbTech and Adam Skelos have any
- 18 other relationship at this time?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 MR. MASIMORE: Pull up Government Exhibit 2958 in
- 21 | evidence, please.
- 22 | Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Senator
- 23 | Skelos. Same date, November 8, at 2:29 p.m.?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Do you recognize the information that Adam Skelos sent to

Senator Skelos? 1

- 2 That's my contact information. Yes.
- 3 Q. After the three-way phone conversation with Adam Skelos and
- Senator Skelos did there come a time when Adam Skelos discussed 4
- 5 Sandy rebuilding information that had been provided by Senator
- Skelos? 6

8

9

11

12

- 7 I believe at one point I recall Adam saying that the
- MR. GAGE: Your Honor, objection to "I believe."

senator had said or reaffirmed that there would be --

- 10 THE COURT: You can state what you know but not guess

at anything, not speculate.

- 13 I recall that at one point Adam said that the senator
- 14 was -- had confirmed that there would be infrastructure dollars
- 15 going to the communities in Long Island and such.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

- Q. And do you recall what, if anything, you had discussed with 16
- 17 Adam Skelos concerning the involvement of state agencies in
- administering FEMA funds? 18
- A. Yeah. Adam had said that he had heard that -- well, this 19
- 20 wouldn't be the FEMA funds but the infrastructure funds, if
- 21 that's what you're referencing.
- 22 Q. So what do you recall about the conversation between you
- 23 and Adam Skelos regarding those infrastructure funds?
- 24 A. He said that he had heard that it was going to -- the
- 25 federal funds were flowing through the states and that in

FBU9SKE2 White - direct

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- New York, for projects like this, for public works, they were 1 2 going to flow through the New York Department of
- 3 Transportation, which he thought was a good thing for AbTech.
- 4 And projects like this, what did you understand Adam Skelos Q. 5 was referring to?
 - Infrastructure projects for public works departments including AbTech's stormwater offer.
 - Q. After the three-way call with Senator Skelos and Adam Skelos and other conversations with Adam Skelos about the aftermath of Hurricane Sandy, did you discuss those with anyone at AbTech?
 - A. Yes. I reported it back to Glenn Rink, the management team, and the board.
 - Q. How, if at all, did AbTech use the information going forward with its business plans?

MR. GAGE: Objection.

THE COURT: Ground.

MR. GAGE: To the extent he knows, your Honor. The question is about AbTech generally.

THE COURT: All right. To the extent he knows.

Q. Let me break it down, Mr. White.

Based on your duties and responsibilities as the executive vice-president of business development, were you aware of AbTech's business plans as they related to New York State?

1268

1 Α. Yes.

FBU9SKE2

- 2 And was part of that awareness that involved understanding 0.
- 3 what Adam Skelos had told you about what was happening in the
- 4 New York area?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- And so during discussions, as you said, with Glenn Rink 6
- 7 about this what, if anything, did you learn concerning what
- AbTech's business plan would be as it related to the 8
- 9 information that was being provided by Adam Skelos?
- 10 A. Well, Glenn told me that the -- that he wanted New York to
- 11 be -- as well as Tri-State, but especially New York, he wanted
- 12 it to be a priority area that AbTech was pursuing for
- 13 stormwater projects.
- 14 MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit
- 15 2457 in evidence, please.
- Q. You see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to you. 16
- 17 Subject: Nassau County. From Friday, November 9, 2012?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Is that the day after the three-way call? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And Adam Skelos says, "We have a conference call set for Q.
- 22 Monday 11/12/12 at 11 a.m. with Sheila Shah. Please call me
- 23 any time before than to discuss what they need from us."
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Who is Sheila Shah? Q.

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

- A. The commissioner of the public works department for Nassau

 County.
 - Q. What was going to be the purpose of having a conference call with the commissioner of the department of public works?
 - A. It would be to brief the commissioner on the AbTech offering and how it could help with their problems post-Sandy.
 - Q. What was the business purpose for having a call like that.
- A. Well the business purpose would be to try to gain business from Nassau County, try to gain them as a customer.
 - MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit 2960 in evidence, please.
 - Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Tom Locascio regarding AbTech from November 9, 2012. Do you see that?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Starting from the bottom. Do you see Tom Locascio says,
- 17 | "Call Sheila Shah," then gives a phone number, then says
- 18 "commissioner DPW in Nassau"?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. What do you understand DPW to mean?
- 21 A. The department of public works.
- 22 | Q. And then Adam responds, above that Adam Skelos responds,
- 23 | "Any word on Suffolk?"
- 24 And Tom Locascio replies, "One step at a time haha.
- 25 Your dad prob needs to make a call."

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Then Adam Skelos responds, "gotya, thanks."
- What, if any, business interests did AbTech have in
- 4 Suffolk County around this time period?
- 5 A. We had the same business objective as with Nassau County.
- We wanted to brief the stormwater offering and potentially gain them as a customer.
- 8 MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit 9 2002 in evidence, page 14.
- 10 Focusing in on the prehighlighted section.
- 11 | Q. Do you see there it lists Thomas J. Locascio to, it says
- 12 | Senator Dean G. Skelos, Rockville Center, Director of District
- 13 | Operations?
- 14 A. Yes, I see that.
- 15 | Q. Had you ever been in personal contact with Tom Locascio
- 16 | Senator Skelos' director of direct operations?
- 17 | A. No.
- MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit
- 19 2459 in evidence, please.
- 20 Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to you and
- 21 Charlie Dorego on November 11, 2012. Adam Skelos says
- 22 | "Interesting."
- 23 Do you see that?
- 24 | A. Yes.

Q. Do you see he forwards a link forwarded to him by Senator

- Skelos and at the bottom, after sent from my iPad, it says,

 "Important for tomorrow. Read carefully. Sponges may stop

 more pollutants."
 - Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

17

- Q. Did a call indeed take place the next day with the department of public works?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What did you discuss -- who was on that call?
- 10 A. Well, as I recall, it was the assistant to the commissioner 11 of public works, Ken Arnold, and then I believe there were a
- couple of other Nassau County department of public works staff
- 13 | but I don't recall who.
- Q. What was discussed during this conference call with the department of public works?
- 16 A. Basically what was discussed was their needs, what had
- 18 had experienced from a stormwater perspective and from my end

happened to their infrastructure, some of the issues that they

- 19 how our offering could help with those issues.
- 20 Q. Before the call with the department of public works did you
- 21 have any communications with Adam Skelos to prepare for that
- 22 | call?
- A. Yes. I had written up a list of the messages that I thought should be communicated in that presentation.
- MR. MASIMORE: Can we pull up Government Exhibit 2961

SKE2 White - direct

- 1 in evidence, please.
- 2 | Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Senator
- 3 | Skelos. Subject: Nassau call. This is from November 12,
- 4 2012. Do you see that?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. Is that the same date as the call?
- 7 | A. Yes, it is.
- 8 | Q. And do you recognize what Adam Skelos forwards to Senator
- 9 | Skelos?
- 10 A. Yes. He is forwarding the write-up that I had put together
- 11 and sent to Adam on our messages.
- 12 | Q. Do you see at the top of the e-mail Adam writes to Senator
- 13 | Skelos "see below"?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government Exhibit
- 16 | 101, page 176. 101 in evidence.
- 17 | Q. Do you see, we'll look at Adam Skelos' cell records. And
- 18 | if we could focus on November 12 at 11:24 a.m.
- 19 MR. MASIMORE: If we could zoom in there, please. We
- 20 can go up to the first highlighted portion.
- 21 | Q. Do you see there's call, 11/12 2012 at 11:24 a.m.
- 22 Again, do you recognize that 8443 number?
- 23 A. Yes. That's my cellphone.
- 24 | Q. Do you see it says three-way?
- 25 A. Yes.

- It shows it's 22 minutes long? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 Do you recognize that call? Q.
- That's the call with the public works department that 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 we just discussed.
- O. And then that's a 22-minute call. And then at 11:46 a.m. 6
- 7 do you see below that -- it's in the unhighlighted portion but
- 8 it looks like it's highlighted in white -- November 12,
- 9 11:46 a.m. And is that the same number? Is that you?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 And there's a two-minute call after the meeting?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Then below that do you see a call two minutes later at Ο.
- 14 11:48 a.m. with a number that ends in 3300?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- Sitting here now do you recognize that number? 16 0.
- 17 Α. No.
- 18 MR. MASIMORE: If you could pull up Government Exhibit
- 19 10, please, page two, paragraph ten.
- 20 Q. Do you see under the stipulation that a cellphone
- 21 subscribed to by Charles Dorego has the phone number ending in
- 22 3300?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 MR. MASIMORE: And going back to Government Exhibit
- 25 101. Page 176.

- Q. Do you see at the bottom of the highlighted portion there at 11:50 a.m., two minutes later, Adam Skelos calls Senator

 Skelos for eight minutes?
 - A. Yes.

4

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Were you part of those phonecalls with Charlie Dorego and Senator Skelos?
 - A. No. I didn't know they were happening.

MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit
2966 in evidence, please. If we could focus on the very top.

- Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Senator Skelos. Subject: Forwarding Nassau/Suffolk County. From
- 12 November 20, 2012. Do you see that?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Then it lists some attachments?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And then going down to the body do you see senator -- Adam

 Skelos forwards to the senator a message. Do you recognize the

 message?
- A. Yes. The message is an e-mail from myself to Adam attaching an updated version of the consulting contract.
- 21 MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up 2463 in evidence,
 22 please.
- 23 | Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- A. Yes. It's an e-mail from Adam to myself. I'm sorry -yeah, from Adam to myself where he is attaching the signed

1 consulting contract.

- 2 MR. MASIMORE: Then 2467 in evidence, please.
- 3 | Q. This is on November 27 from you to Adam Skelos?
 - A. Yes.

4

7

8

9

10

- 5 | Q. What did you send to Adam Skelos on November 27, 2012?
- 6 A. Fully executed or signed consulting agreement.
 - Q. And what do you mean by fully executed?
 - A. Meaning signed by both parties, AbTech and Adam.
 - MR. MASIMORE: If we could go to page three of the document at the top.
- 11 Q. Do you see it's an agreement by and between AbTech
- 12 | industries together with its subsidiaries, affiliates, and
- 13 associates?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And between them and Adam Skelos?
- 16 A. Yes.
- MR. MASIMORE: Could we go to page four, paragraph four, please. Page four of the exhibit. Correct. And
- 19 paragraph four in the middle.
- 20 | Q. Do you see "This agreement will commence on the effective
- 21 | date and will continue for two years, with an automatic
- 22 | one-year extension unless either party terminates in writing
- 23 prior to such automatic extension. This agreement may be
- 24 | terminated by either party for breach or upon thirty days prior
- 25 written notice."

- 1 Do you see that?
- 2 Yes. Α.
- 3 So is it your understanding that the agreement spanned from
- 4 November 2012 through, with its automatic extension, November
- of 2015? 5
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So, it was a two-year based contract which would take you
- through 2014, correct? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 And then a one-year automatic extension?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Q. To 2015?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Now, directing your attention to page two of this exhibit. Q.
- 15 Who prepared this spreadsheet?
- 16 I did. Α.
- 17 And why did you prepare this spreadsheet?
- 18 A. Well, Adam had said that he was having difficulty
- understanding the compensation section of the contract itself 19
- 20 and asked me to prepare some kind of simpler chart. So I put
- 21 this together.
- 22 Q. And directing your attention to the bottom of page two
- 23 which is displayed on the screen. Do you recognize the
- 24 signatures?
- 25 A. Yes. I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- 1 | Q. Whose signatures do you recognize?
 - A. Adam Skelos and myself.
 - MR. MASIMORE: If we could focus up on the base monthly fee section, please, which is the top.
 - Q. Can you explain to the jury how this part of the contract, the base monthly fee, worked?
 - A. Well, so it would start at four thousand dollars a month as soon as the contract was signed. And then once AbTech won its first contract, and that could be either a P3 or stormwater contract with certain minimum values, once the first contract generated revenue back to AbTech, meaning AbTech could bill for services and receive payment, then it would increase to five thousand dollars a month. And once AbTech had won six contracts like that, that came from introductions that Adam had made, then once that sixth contract was generating revenue back to AbTech then it would increase to ten thousand dollars a month.
 - Q. During the time Adam had a business relationship with AbTech did there come a time when AbTech procured six contracts?
- 21 | A. No.
- Q. Under this contract as written and signed did any triggering event take place that triggered a ten thousand dollar per month payment?
 - A. According to this, no.

- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2969 in evidence, please.
- Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from Senator Skelos to Adam

 Skelos on November 27, 2012. Do you see that?
- 5 | A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. Do you recognize what Adam Skelos forwarded to the senator?
- 7 A. Yes. He is forwarding the e-mail from me to Adam where I'm attaching the fully signed contract, consulting contract.
- 9 Q. And Senator Skelos responded "Mazel Tov"?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Mr. White, I'm going to hand you what's in evidence as
- 12 | 2468, 2469, and 2470. Do you recognize those?
- 13 | A. Yes, I do.
- 14 | Q. What do you recognize them to be?
- 15 A. They're e-mails from Adam to myself attaching various
- 16 | articles related to water.
- Q. And in those three e-mails where did the articles come from
- 18 | that Adam was sending to you?
- 19 A. The e-mails are attachments that Senator Skelos is sending
- 20 to Adam.
- 21 MR. MASIMORE: And if we could pull up 2470 in
- 22 | evidence, please.
- 23 Q. Is this an example of one of those?
- 24 | A. Yes, it is.
- 25 | Q. Do you see at the bottom Senator Skelos writes 20.6 million

- 1 | for sewer and stormwater fund?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. That's from November 30, 2012?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. After Adam signed the agreement with AbTech, the
- 6 compensation agreement, did AbTech put in a proposal to Nassau
- 7 | County?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what was the nature of the proposal that AbTech put in
- 10 to Nassau County?
- 11 A. Well, it was a conceptual proposal describing generally the
- 12 stormwater offering and how it would apply to the
- 13 | Sandy-affected area and how it would resolve flood mitigation
- 14 and treat stormwater.
- 15 | Q. Was the proposal a solicited or unsolicited proposal?
- 16 A. At that point it was just unsolicited.
- 17 | Q. Can you explain what an unsolicited proposal is?
- 18 A. So it was a proposal not in response to an actual request
- 19 | for a proposal where they were really hiring a firm but rather
- 20 | just a conceptual proposal describing to the county what we
- 21 could potentially do if they were interested in it.
- 22 MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit
- 23 | 2464 in evidence, please.
- 24 | Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- 25 A. Yes. It's an e-mail from myself to Adam and I'm forwarding

- 1 | our -- the conceptual proposals I just referenced.
- 2 | Q. So those are the attachments?
- 3 A. Yes. Correct.
- 4 MR. MASIMORE: If we could go to page twelve of the exhibit, please.
 - Q. What do you recognize this particular attachment to be?
- 7 A. This is the cover page and that is the proposal that I just
- 8 referenced. And that is for the stormwater treatment vaults.
- 9 Q. Directing your attention to the top right corner under the 10 AEWS logo. Do you see the contact information there.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could zoom in, please.
- 12 | Q. Do you see that?
- 13 | A. Yes.

6

- 14 Q. Do you recognize that phone number?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What do you recognize that phone number to be?
- 17 A. It's the main office line to the AWS office in Raleigh,
- 18 North Carolina.
- 19 Q. Do you also recognize the phone number that's
- 20 (919)900-4105?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 | Q. What telephone number does that correspond to?
- 23 | A. I recall that is the direct line to the office manager.
- 24 | Q. And if we could turn to page 16 of the exhibit.
- In the first full paragraph under section 1.2, if we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

21

22

23

White - direct

1 | could zoom into that, please.

Do you see it says, "As we understand from recent news reports, 65 million gallons of partially treated sewage from a plant that serves almost 40 percent of Nassau's residents are flushing each day into a waterway north of Long Beach, the result of damage from Super Storm Sandy that can have far-reaching environmental implications?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And I says Newsday.com?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Where did that information come from?
- 12 A. From Newsday.
- MR. MASIMORE: Pulling up Government Exhibit 2459
 again, please.
 - Q. And this we saw before. Senator Skelos forwarding an article, a link to an article to Adam Skelos, where he wrote, "Read carefully. Sponges may stop more pollutants."
- Did you have an opportunity to follow that link?
- A. Yes. I know that link to go to the article that was just being referenced.
 - MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up just for the witness, please, Government Exhibit 404 which is not in evidence.
 - Q. Do you recognize this article?
- 24 A. Yes. That's the article we just spoke about.
- MR. MASIMORE: The government offers 404.

- FBU9SKE2 White - direct 1 MR. CONIFF: No objection pursuant to the limiting 2 instruction that we talked about earlier. 3 THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibit 404 is 4 received. 5 (Government's Exhibit 404 received in evidence) 6 THE COURT: I note -- I take it what you're suggesting 7 is not for the truth of what's in the article. MR. CONIFF: Correct. 8 9 MR. MASIMORE: That is correct. 10 THE COURT: But for the fact that it was communicated. 11 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 404 for the jury now that it's in evidence, please. Page two. The top right 12 13 column there. 14 Q. Do you see there it reads "Sixty-five million gallons of 15 partially treated sewage, " etc.? 16 A. Yes. 17 Is that the language that made its way into the unsolicited 18 proposal? 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit
- 20 MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up Government Exhibit 21 2465 in evidence, please.
- Q. Do you see this is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to you copying Charlie Dorego?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And this is from November 26, 2012?

FBU9SKE2 White - direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Is that the same day you had sent the proposals to Adam
- 3 | Skelos?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And Adam Skelos writes at 5:18 p.m., "I just received word
- 6 | that AbTech will receive an RFP from Nassau County to be
- 7 | included in the FIMA recovery package. It should get to us
- 8 within seven to ten business days."
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What do you understand Adam Skelos to be referring to?
- 11 A. I understood him to be referring to AbTech receiving a
- 12 | request for a proposal from Nassau County from what I thought
- 13 he assumed or I assumed he meant FEMA meaning Federal Emergency
- 14 Management Association for infrastructure funds for stormwater.
- 15 | Q. And how, if at all, related was that to the proposal that
- 16 | had been just submitted?
- 17 \parallel A. It would be essentially a response to that or some type of
- 18 | development of a request for a proposal based off of the
- 19 | information being proposed in the conceptual.
- 20 | Q. You mentioned that FIMA was likely a reference to FEMA. At
- 21 | the time what, if any, experience did you understand Adam
- 22 | Skelos to have working on FEMA related matters?
- 23 A. I understood him to have no experience with that.
- 24 | Q. So this e-mail is at 5:18 p.m. on November 26, 2012?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- MR. MASIMORE: At this time the government offers

 Government Exhibit 2012-I.

 THE COURT: Any objection?
 - MR. CONIFF: No, your Honor.
 - MR. GAGE: No, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2012-I is received without objection.
 - (Government's Exhibit 2012-I received in evidence)
 - MR. MASIMORE: Pull up. This is an excerpt from Senator Skelos' calendar.
- Q. Do you see the entry there for November 26, 2012. Do you see at the bottom or in the middle at 9:30 to 10:30 a.m. it
- 13 | says, "Confirmed meeting with Ed Mangano in Mineola"?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Who is Ed Mangano?
- 16 | A. He is the county executive of Nassau County.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government Exhibit

 18 1803 in evidence. Fifth line down.
- 19 Q. Do you see this calendar reflects a meeting with Senator
- 20 Skelos on November 26, 2012 in the Mangano calendar?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government Exhibit
 101, page 183.
- Q. This is from Adam Skelos' cell records. Do you see at
- 25 November 26 at 4:57 p.m. Adam Skelos calls Senator Skelos?

FBU9SKE2 White - direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. And then at 5:02 p.m. Senator Skelos' phone returns a call
- 3 | and it lasts for four minutes?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And this is at 5:02 p.m. on that same evening?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. MASIMORE: Now if you could pull up 2465 again, 8 please.
- 9 Q. Do you see that Adam Skelos indicates that the RFP should,
- 10 quote, get to us within seven to ten business days?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. So that would have been in about early December?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did there come a time when Nassau County publicized the RFP
- 15 | that AbTech had proposed?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. When did that happen?
- 18 A. In the very end of February, 2013.
- 19 MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, I am about to go into a
- 20 different subject. I'm happy to continue or, if the court
- 21 | would like, to take the break now.
- 22 | THE COURT: It's a good time for a break. We'll take
- 23 a fifteen-minute break.
- 24 (Jury excused)
- 25 (Continued on next page)

(In open court)

FBU9SKE2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do counsel wish to raise anything?

MR. GAGE: I do, actually, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's have a seat.

MR. MASIMORE: May the witness be excused, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Witness excused)

MR. GAGE: Your Honor my objection is to aspects of the manner in which this is being presented. I think the witness is able to testify about what he saw and heard to the extent admissible but to call up phonecalls -- call up phonecalls that he, the witness, did not participate in, call up calendar meetings that he, the witness, did not participate in, I don't think it's proper to put that in through this witness. I presume the government may sum up on that, but I don't think that's proper testimony for this witness to recognize numbers again that -- for calls he wasn't a part of or meetings he wasn't a part of. I think it's in effect argument, admittedly done in a certain structured kind of way, but what it amounts to is a portion of what I presume will be a closing statement, not testimony from this witness. So that's my concern.

THE COURT: Mr. Masimore.

MR. GAGE: And I should say, your Honor, pardon me, my objection.

MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, I believe what we're doing 1 2 is having testimony be presented and publishing exhibits that 3 are in. I don't think there's anything different that I'm 4 doing during my direct examination than the court has permitted 5 and allowed throughout the course of the trial as a way to 6 present the evidence in a manner for the jury to digest it and 7 understand it. I am certainly trying to refrain from any, and I don't think I'm making any argumentative statements. I think 8 9 I'm just publishing exhibits that are in evidence so the jury 10 can understand the testimony. And so I object to the 11 objection. 12 THE COURT: Your objection is noted. 13 MR. GAGE: If I may, your Honor, the net effect is 14 plainly argument. 15 THE COURT: I understand. I do think that both sides have done some of this. Perhaps you could curtail the extent 16 17 to which you do it because it is close to the line. 18 MR. MASIMORE: Okay. Your Honor, I'll take a look at what I have. I will do my best if -- I trust the court will 19 20 let me know if it feels that I am going across the line. 21 THE COURT: I'm sure Mr. Gage will let me know. 22 MR. MASIMORE: Possibly. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 (Recess) 25 (Continued on next page)

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: We are ready to resume.

3 MR. MASIMORE: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. MASIMORE:

4

- 5 Q. Mr. White, just before the break you were testifying about
- 6 the fact that the RFP was not publicly issued by Nassau County
- 7 | in December but it came months later. Do you remember that?
- 8 A. Correct, yes.
- 9 Q. What, if any, discussions did you have with AbTech CEO
- 10 | Glenn Rink concerning the delays after submitting the proposal
- 11 | but before the RFP came out?
- 12 A. Many, many conversations.
- 13 Q. And could you explain to the jury the sum and substance of
- 14 | those conversations? What did Glenn say to you and what did
- 15 you say to Glenn about those delays?
- 16 A. Well, Glenn was extremely frustrated by the delays, and
- 17 each time would ask me to follow up, and I would say that we
- 18 were hearing that things were still moving along and just takes
- 19 | a while, but he continued to bring it up constantly.
- 20 | Q. And you mentioned that Glenn Rink asked you to follow up?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. With whom?
- 23 A. With Adam primarily.
- 24 | Q. During these conversations, what, if anything, did Glenn
- 25 | Rink say about Senator Skelos?

- A. Well, as his frustration grew, I recall at least one time
 when he said why don't you ask Adam to ask his father if he
 could look into it.
- Q. What did you do after Glenn Rink asked you to ask Adam what, if anything, Senator Skelos could do?
- A. Well, I brought it up to Adam and said that Glenn wanted
 to -- was wondering if he could ask his father to look into it.
 - Q. And how did you communicate that to Adam?
- 9 A. By phone.

8

- Q. What, if anything else, did you discuss with Adam Skelos concerning the delays in Nassau County issuing the RFP?
- 12 | A. I'm not sure I follow the question.
- Q. Let me ask it this way, you mentioned Glenn Rink made repeated requests to you to follow up with Adam?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- Q. During those initial follow ups, what did you speak with Adam Skelos about?
- A. Oh, well, just whether he could look into it, and Adam

 would tell me that he would call Shila Shah or that he would

 call Rob Walker, and things were just taking a long time, and

 also Ken Arnold, I think.
- Q. After you passed on Glenn Rink's request about Adam contacting his father, what, if anything, did Adam Skelos report back to you concerning the status of the RFP?
- 25 A. I recall just generally that Adam said that things just

- 1 take a long time with municipalities, and that it would happen, 2 it just was going to take a while.
 - Q. If we could pull up 2472, please, in evidence.

And we start at the bottom. Do you see on December 4, 2012 you emailed Adam: Hey, Adam, will you be hearing from the county when they post RFP? I haven't heard, seen anything yet. Best, Bjornulf.

8 Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

13

- 9 Why did you send this email? Ο.
- 10 To comply with Glenn's request. Α.
- 11 And Adam Skelos replies in part: Yes, seeing the county 12 executive tonight and will follow up on when that will come
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 Α. Yes.

out.

- Did you have an understanding of where or how Adam Skelos 16 17 was going to see the county executive that night?
- 18 Where or how? No. Α.
- With respect to that particular exchange in early December, 19
- 20 December 4th, do you recall whether Adam reported back to you
- 21 the substance of any communications?
- 22 Α. I don't recall at that time.
- 23 If we pull up Government Exhibit 2474, please, in evidence,
- 24 2474. You see this is an email from Adam Skelos to you a few
- 25 days later, December 10, 2012?

- 1 Α. Yes.
- And at the bottom you email Adam Skelos, or actually Adam 2 Q.
- 3 Skelos emails you, subject Nassau County. Have you heard
- anything yet from Nassau County? 4
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 And you responded: No, nothing.
- 7 And then Adam Skelos said: We'll follow up now.
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Q. At that time did you have an understanding of how Adam
- 10 Skelos was going to go about following up?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- Objection, your Honor. 12 MR. GAGE:
- 13 THE COURT: I'll permit it.
- 14 To the basis for the understanding. MR. GAGE:
- THE COURT: All right. Please elicit the basis. 15
- Did you have an understanding? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 What was the basis of that understanding about how Adam
- 19 Skelos would follow up?
- 20 What Adam would tell me multiple times.
- 21 What did Adam tell you? Q.
- 22 That he would speak with Rob Walker, that was his main
- 23 contact at the county.
- 24 Q. Based on what Adam Skelos told you, how frequently was Adam
- 25 Skelos able to speak to Rob Walker directly?

- Very often. It was his main contact. He told me he had 1
- Rob Walker's cell phone number, he would text and call him. 2
- 3 And usually Rob Walker was who Adam would always sort of -- or
- 4 usually say was his first contact.
- 5 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government
- 6 Exhibit 101 at page 190.
- 7 Q. And just do you see 1:41 p.m. on December 10, there's a call 8443.
- 9 Α. Yes.

- 10 Do you recognize that number? Ο.
- 11 Α. That's my cell phone.
- 12 Q. It's a three-minute call?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Do you recall this specific call? Q.
- 15 Α. No.
- MR. MASIMORE: Government Exhibit 2477 in evidence, 16
- 17 Start at the bottom, please.
- 18 Q. Do you see the very bottom you write to Adam Skelos: Well,
- 19 seven new opportunities posted at 8:00 a.m. on the solicitation
- 20 board. They close December 26, but still not ours. I hope
- 21 they have another tranche planned for today. I will keep
- 22 monitoring on my end assuming it's going up on that online
- 23 solicitation board.
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 Q. Why were you sending Adam Skelos this email?
- 2 A. I recall that he told me that Rob Walker was telling Adam
- 3 | that the stormwater opportunity should be hitting the
- 4 | solicitation board, which is where they posted the RFPs, so
- 5 Adam said to monitor that. And so I'm sending this to let him
- 6 know that I'm monitoring it and I'm not seeing anything yet.
- 7 Q. You just testified about several instances where you
- 8 | followed up with Adam Skelos concerning the delay. Can you
- 9 explain what, if any, business reason there was for checking up
- 10 on the status of this RFP?
- 11 A. Well, it was important to AbTech as a potential big project
- 12 | and within the stormwater private public partnership area,
- 13 | which is one of the focuses.
- 14 | Q. And then Adam responds in this email to you: Will follow
- 15 up. Should be today.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 \parallel Q. Then you send him a web site. What was that web site to?
- 18 A. That is the Nassau County Solicitation Board where they
- 19 post RFPs.
- 20 Q. And then above that Adam responds to you: Just heard back
- 21 | from Rob. He says the bid board told him they won't have it
- 22 drafted until Monday or Tuesday. They are, however, working on
- 23 | it as we speak, so should be posted by then.
- 24 | A. Yes.

25

Q. Who did you understand Adam Skelos to mean by Rob?

- A. Rob Walker, the Chief Deputy County Executive of Nassau

 County.
 - Q. And you replied at the end there: Crossing my fingers.

 And Adam replies: Hope so. I'll stay on them.
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

- Q. Who did you understand Adam to mean by "I'll stay on them?"
- 7 A. Nassau County.
- 8 | Q. Directing your attention to December 24, 2012, Christmas
- 9 Eve, did there come a time when you participated on a
- 10 conference call for AbTech?
- 11 A. Yes, I had a conference call with the Department of Public
- 12 Works on Christmas Eve.
- 13 Q. Who was on the call?
- 14 A. There were several, as I recall, county officials. I
- 15 | recall Ken Arnold being the one who was doing the talking. But
- 16 | it was the various folks that were putting together the request
- 17 | for proposal.
- 18 Q. And who was on the call from AbTech's side besides you, if
- 19 | anyone?
- 20 A. Just myself.
- 21 Q. Was Adam Skelos on the call?
- 22 A. I don't believe he was, no.
- 23 | Q. What was the purpose of the call?
- 24 A. Well, the county had requested it. They said they wanted
- 25 more details and explanation on the financial structure of P3s,

- the programmatic and legal structure of it, more details on the technology solution. So they really asked me to get into sort of deep dives into our program structure and said it was for the purpose of drafting the RFP and making sure that they were
 - MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2976 in evidence, email between Adam Skelos and R. Walker.
 - Q. Do you see that?

doing it right.

9 | A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

14

15

20

21

22

- 10 Q. Do you recognize the information at the bottom of this 11 email chain?
- 12 A. That is the conference call information that was given to
 13 me for the conference call.
 - Q. And do you see up from that Adam Skelos replies to Rob Walker, who forwarded it to him: Got it.
- And then Rob Walker writes to him: Seems like -
 MR. CONNIFF: Objection for the reasons that Mr. Gage

 stated.
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - Q. Did Adam Skelos report back to you the conversation he had in this email with Rob Walker about the conference call you were on?
 - A. No.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we pull up 2482 in evidence, please, the top half.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

White - direct

- Q. Do you see there an email from you to Adam, you say: Just curious if there is any further update. I don't see anything posted on solicitation board yet, and Ken didn't reply to my email below, so hopefully he's working directly with you.
 - Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Why did you send that to Adam Skelos on January 25th, 2013?
- A. Because Ken Arnold had asked for Adam's email address, and 9 so I provided it. And I wasn't really hearing anything from
- 10 the county, so I was wondering if maybe Ken Arnold had told
- 11 Adam something.
- 12 | Q. Then Adam Skelos writes back to you: Have two calls into
- 13 Ken. Waiting to hear something.
- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. Who did you understand him to mean by Ken?
- 16 A. Ken Arnold, the assistant to the commissioner of public
- 17 works.

- 18 Q. During these requests for follow up to Adam Skelos, what,
- 19 | if anything, did Adam Skelos tell you about whether his father
- 20 was in telephone contact with the county executive?
- 21 A. None at that time.
- 22 MR. MASIMORE: If we pull up 2484 in evidence, please.
- 23 Focus on the top half.
- 24 | Q. The last email was from January 25th, this one is
- 25 | February 1st, correct?

- 1 Α. Correct.
- And here you write to Adam: Got it. Nassau still not 2
- 3 posted. Amazing. Two plus months to draft a simple RFP. We
- 4 were doing calls right around Christmas on this urgently.
 - Do you see that?
- 6 Α. Yes.

5

- Why did you send that to Adam Skelos?
- Well, I mean this was at the same time that Glenn was 8
- 9 telling me to always be contacting Adam regarding the status,
- 10 so it's really just another way of just asking him to check on
- the status of the RFP. 11
- 12 Q. And Adam writes back to you: Wasn't lying when I told you
- 13 how fast New York government moves. This municipality, by the
- 14 way, will probably be faster than all the others we go to. It
- will happen, just takes time. 15
- A. Yes. 16
- 17 MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, the government offers 2485.
- 18 I think we offered it before, your Honor, but it was not
- technically received. 19
- 20 MR. CONNIFF: No objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2485 is received
- 22 without objection.
- (Government's Exhibit 2485 received in evidence) 23
- 24 Do you see this is email from you to Glenn Rink, correct?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 | Q. And it says subject matter: Update.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. February 4, 2013.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you wrote: On Nassau, Adam said the county has the RFP
- 6 ready, they just need final approval from the state before
- 7 posting. Adam is pushing.
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What were you referring to when you told Glenn Rink that
- 10 Adam was pushing?
- 11 A. That he was in contact with Rob Walker to make sure that it
- 12 | wasn't -- that attention was still on it.
- 13 | Q. And where were you getting the information concerning what
- 14 Adam was doing with respect to the county at this time?
- 15 A. Well, directly from Adam.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2487 in evidence,
- 17 | please.
- 18 Q. Do you see in the middle here a few days later, February 7,
- 19 | 2013, Glenn Rink emails you, do you see there's no text but
- 20 | there's a subject: Any news on Long Island?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What did you understand him to be asking about?
- 23 | A. He's asking again about the status of Nassau County.
- 24 | Q. And on February 7 at 1:39 p.m. you responded: I'm pushing
- 25 on Adam daily.

1 What were you referring to?

- 2 A. That I'm continuing to follow up with Adam and ask him what 3 the status is.
 - Q. Then you write: From last night, his text, Nassau RFP is getting done soon, no exact date, but the county exec had to sign off on something from the DOT and that's done.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Was that an accurate quotation of a text message you received from Adam?
- 11 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Did Adam tell you what the specific source of that particular information was at that time?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. And again, if we could pull up --
- 16 MR. MASIMORE: The government offers 2489. It was in the binder but not technically received by the Court.
 - MR. CONNIFF: No objection.
- THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2489 is received without objection.
- 21 (Government's Exhibit 2489 received in evidence)
- 22 | Q. Did you see this is you to Adam Skelos on Thursday,
- 23 | Valentine's Day, 2013?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And you say: Hey, just a quick note to see if you heard

- anything from Nassau. I have been checking the solicitation board regularly, but nothing is posted yet.
- 3 What are you asking Adam about?
 - A. The status of the Nassau County RFP.
 - Q. In late February 2013 did there come a time when Nassau County finally posted the RFP?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- MR. MASIMORE: The government offers Government Exhibit 2490, also part of the binder but not technically received.
- MR. CONNIFF: No objection.
- THE COURT: The government Exhibit 2490 is received without objection.
- 14 | (Government's Exhibit 2490 received in evidence)
- 15 | Q. And what do you recognize this document to be, Mr. White?
- 16 A. It's an email from myself to Adam attaching all various bid
 17 documents.
- 18 Q. And where did these bid documents come from?
- 19 A. Downloaded from the Nassau County solicitation board.
- 20 | Q. And that was on February 22nd, 2013?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Turn to page 6 of the exhibit. The very bottom there you
- 23 see it says start date, February 22nd, 2013 at 1:00 p.m.?
- 24 A. Yes.

25

Q. And then open date April 4th, 2013?

1 Α. Yes.

7

8

9

FBUTSKE3

- Can you describe -- let me ask you this: What did you do 2 Q. 3 once you received the RFP documents?
- 4 I set up a full capture team that would work basically 5 every day until the proposal was due. So for the next little 6 over a month we spent every day working on the proposal.
 - Did you review the proposals yourself?
 - Α. Yes, of course, every draft daily.
 - But did you review the RFP? Ο.
- 10 The RFP, yes. Α.
- 11 Can you describe the RFP? What was the request for 12 proposal? What was being called for?
- 13 The county was asking for a company or team of companies to Α. 14 submit a proposal whereby they would upgrade a certain amount 15 of sites to include stormwater treatment devices that would treat stormwater. And it included all the phases, so 16
- 17 assessment, technology and solution development, design, 18 construction, and operation and monitoring.
- Q. How did the RFP that Nassau County issued compare with the 19 20 unsolicited proposal that AbTech had put in?
- 21 A. Well, it was asking for -- in the context of a design,
- 22 build, operate contract, it was asking for the type of
- 23 treatment -- stormwater treatment devices that we had proposed
- 24 in the conceptual proposal.
- 25 Now you mentioned before that after the RFP came out you

- 1 | pulled a capture team together.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Can you describe for the jury what a capture team is, who
- 4 | is on it and what it does.
- 5 A. It's something that we did at Lockheed Martin, too, for
- 6 providing engineering -- complicated engineering proposals. So
- 7 | the capture team, essentially led by me, put together an
- 8 | engineering team but also finance program, support staff. And
- 9 | the team together would develop all of the various documents
- 10 | that go into proposing a complicated infrastructure project.
- 11 Q. Can you describe the resources that AbTech put into
- 12 | formulating its bid on this project?
- 13 A. Well, quite a bit, because we had probably a dozen people
- 14 on the capture team working for that whole period of several
- 15 | weeks. And there was also travel. We brought on a
- 16 | subcontractor, an engineering firm on Long Island. And so it
- 17 was a lot of resources.
- 18 | Q. How, if at all, important was winning this bid to AbTech's
- 19 business?
- 20 A. It was very important. It was a big project and a first
- 21 | P3. So it was important.
- 22 \parallel Q. And what was the significance of it being a first P3, as
- 23 | you said?
- 24 | A. Well, AbTech had launched the P3 program basically in the
- 25 summer of 2012, and so this was -- would be potentially the

- 1 | first one, so that was important.
- 2 MR. MASIMORE: And if we could pull up just for the witness Government Exhibit 2301A.
- 4 | Q. Mr. White, do you recognize Government Exhibit 2301A?
- 5 | A. Yes.

- Q. And how do you recognize it?
- 7 A. It is the technical proposal that we have just been discussing that we put together.
- 9 MR. MASIMORE: The government offers 2301A.
- 10 MR. CONNIFF: No objection.
- THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2301A is received without objection.
- 13 (Government's Exhibit 2301A received in evidence)
- MR. GAGE: For clarity, I think we may have offered it as a defense exhibit also.
- 16 | MR. MASIMORE: May I proceed, your Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: You may.
- 18 BY MR. MASIMORE:
- 19 Q. How long did it take to prepare the bid document, 2301A,
- 20 | that AbTech submitted to Nassau County?
- 21 A. Several hundred person hours in terms of work across the
- various members of the capture team and myself.
- 23 Q. How many person hours did Adam Skelos put into making this
- 24 | document?
- 25 A. None.

- Q. Now have you submitted bids before in connection with responding to RFPs?
- 3 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Typically in submitting those bids have you worked with consultants?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And in those situations, what did consultants do to help put together the bid papers?
 - MR. CONNIFF: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Ground?
- 11 MR. CONNIFF: I'm not sure it's relevant to this
 12 circumstance here.
- 13 | THE COURT: Well, I will permit it. Go ahead.
 - A. Typically a consultant would have either subject matter expertise in what was going into the bid or would -- and/or would be a subject matter expert in the customer and their needs. So it would be really common for the consultant to be essentially part of the capture team reviewing documents, giving insight into how to structure it, what the customer needs, how to present it, and the messaging.
 - MR. MASIMORE: The government offers 2497, again a binder document but not yet received.
- 23 MR. CONNIFF: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2497 is received without objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

White - direct

(Government's Exhibit 2497 received in evidence) 1

And if we start at the bottom there, do you see you write Q. to Adam Skelos on April 1st, 9:35 a.m.: If we Fed Ex the proposal to delivery to you by Wednesday morning, would you be able to hand deliver it to Ken Arnold on Wednesday afternoon?

Do you see that?

- Α. Yes.
- What arrangements are you making here with Adam Skelos? Q.
- The proposal needs to be hand delivered with a certain Α. amount of copies, so we're making arrangements for those to be physically delivered to Ken Arnold, who is the point of contact listed for Nassau County.
- And above that Adam Skelos provided you with an address?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- And then at 9:16 a.m. on April 2nd you wrote to Adam: 15 Q. Okay, you might want to ask Ken Arnold who else has pulled the 16 17 RFP, which should be considered public information, so we can 18 guess at competition.

What already you referring to there?

A. Well, often after the bid period is closed, or even before, the customer, meaning the county, will let you know which companies have actually pulled or basically downloaded or requested the RFP, and that way you can kind of figure out who your competition is and then gauge how likely it is you're going to win. So I was asking him to see if he could figure

1306

FBUTSKE3

- that out or ask Ken if they were releasing that or not.
- Q. Now at that point did you have an understanding whether 2 3 other businesses were potentially planning on submitting bids?
- A. Yeah, we believed -- we didn't know how many, but we 4
- 5 believed there to be many because there had been a public
- 6 document that Nassau County put out with questions and answers.
- 7 The questions were from various potential bidders and the
- answers were from the county. So we knew people were asking 8
- 9 very specific questions and obviously were interested in the
- 10 project.
- 11 Q. How did you know that those questions were from other
- 12 potential bidders and not AbTech?
- 13 A. Any question like that would have come from me or had to be
- 14 approved.
- 15 So were you familiar with the specific questions AbTech
- 16 had, if any?
- 17 Well, sure, yes. I don't recall that we asked any.
- 18 Q. And so in this email, in response to what you wrote, Adam
- 19 replied to you: I will, but no competition.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 What did you understand Adam Skelos to mean by: I will,
- 22 but no competition?
- 23 I understood him to think that my question was sort of
- 24 irrelevant because he didn't think there was any competition.
- 25 Now at this point in early April 2013 when Nassau County

- has issued its RFP and AbTech is submitting its bid -- let me ask it this way first: Did there come a time when you learned whether the bid was submitted?
 - A. Yes.

4

- Q. And how did you learn that the bid was submitted?
- 6 A. Well, Adam let me know that it had been submitted.
- 7 MR. MASIMORE: And if we could pull up Government 8 Exhibit 101, page 253, and go to April 5th calls.
- 9 Q. You see April 5th at 5:26 p.m., it's the third one up from
 10 the bottom, these are from Adam Skelos' cell records, do you
 11 see a call with the 8443 number?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. That's the day after the bid was submitted?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Do you recognize the 8443 number?
- 16 A. Yes, it's my cell phone.
- Q. Sitting here now, do you have a specific recollection of this particular phone call?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Now at this point, early April 2013, Nassau County has
- 21 | issued an RFP, AbTech has submitted a bid, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And at this point is AbTech waiting for the response from
- 24 | the county to find out who is going to select -- who they're
- 25 going to select?

FBUTSKE3 White - direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Now at that point, how much had Adam Skelos been paid each
- 3 month?
- 4 A. 4,000 a month.
- 5 MR. MASIMORE: So if we could pull up 2673 in
- 6 | evidence.
- 7 | Q. You see that's an email from Adam Skelos to you?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Enclosing a December 2012 invoice?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And turn to second page of the exhibit.
- 12 You recognize the invoice?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What is it?
- 15 | A. It's an invoice from Adam to AbTech for his December 2012
- 16 | pay.
- 17 | 0. And that was \$4,000?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 MR. MASIMORE: If we pull up 2674 in evidence.
- 20 Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- 21 A. Yes, it's an email from Adam to me attaching his January
- 22 | 2013 invoice.
- 23 \ Q. If we go to the second page, do you recognize the invoice.
- 24 A. That was the invoice that I just referenced.
- 25 | Q. Again, \$4,000?

KE3 White - direct

1 | A. Correct.

4

6

9

2 Q. Again, 2675 in evidence, please.

3 Do you recognize this?

- A. Yes, it's an email from Adam to me attaching his
- 5 | February 2013 invoice.
 - Q. And the second page.
- 7 A. That is an invoice for \$4,000 from Adam to AbTech for
- 8 February, 2013 pay.
 - Q. And then 2676 in evidence.

10 Do you recognize that?

- 11 A. Yes, it's an email from Adam to myself attaching his
- 12 | March 2013 invoice.
- 13 | Q. Go to the next page.
- 14 A. And that is the invoice from Adam to AbTech for \$4,000 for
- 15 | his March 2013 pay.
- 16 | Q. Now around this time, around April 5th, 2013, the day after
- 17 | the bid was submitted, how often did you speak to Adam Skelos?
- 18 A. Not really very often, other than to follow up on the
- 19 | status of the RFP. But it had been pretty quiet because we had
- 20 been focused on putting together the proposal for several
- 21 Weeks.
- 22 | Q. And during that time period, what, if anything, was the
- 23 subject matter of the conversations that you had with Adam
- 24 | Skelos, the general subject matter.
- 25 A. AbTech business, in particular the opportunities on Long

- 1 Island.
- 2 | Q. And during these conversations, up through and including
- 3 | April 5th, 2013, did you discuss anything of substance other
- 4 | than AbTech-related business --
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 0. -- with Adam Skelos?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Now at the beginning of April 2013, based on the events
- 9 that had occurred, that is, AbTech submitting its bid, under
- 10 | the contract that was in place and signed, how much was Adam
- 11 | Skelos entitled to receive per month?
- 12 | A. \$4,000 a month.
- 13 | Q. And under the contract that was signed and in place at that
- 14 | time, when, if at all, would that amount increase to 5,000 per
- 15 | month?
- 16 A. It would increase to 5,000 once the first contract was from
- 17 | an introduction he had made was won and then started generating
- 18 payments back to AbTech.
- 19 \parallel Q. And that would entitle him at that point to 5,000 per
- 20 month?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And under the contract that was signed and then existing,
- 23 what needed to happen for Adam Skelos under that contract to
- 24 receive \$10,000 per month from AbTech?
- 25 A. There would have to be six contracts like that from

- introductions that Adam had made that were all generating payments back to AbTech, so work was being done.
- Q. Over time, how many contracts did Adam Skelos generate that paid any sort of revenue to AbTech?
- 5 A. Just this one, the Nassau County one that we have been discussing.
 - Q. Under the terms of contract that was written and signed and in place, did there come a time when Adam Skelos was entitled to \$10,000 per month pursuant to that contract?
- 10 | A. No.

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 11 Q. What happened after Adam submitted AbTech's bid to Nassau
 12 County?
 - A. What happened was while we were waiting for the response from Nassau County I received an email from Glenn Rink where he was forwarding an email that Charlie Dorego had sent to him.
 - MR. MASIMORE: The government offers 2502. It was in the binder but I don't believe technically received.
 - MR. CONNIFF: No objection.
 - THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2502 is received without objection.
- 21 (Government's Exhibit 2502 received in evidence)
- Q. And starting from the bottom, Glenn Rink forwards you an
 email from Charlie Dorego. Is that the email you just referred
 to?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- Q. And Glenn Rink then writes to you above that: Call me, please.
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

- MR. MASIMORE: And if we zoom in on the top.
 - Q. You replied: Okay. Will call you in a while. I can't believe he's going to try to hold us hostage to renegotiate the contract. The engineers are getting paid for labor hours to do real work. I think around 5500 man hours. Unreal.

At the time you received the email from Charlie Dorego below, what was your understanding of whether Senator Skelos could interfere with the project?

MR. GAGE: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Basis, is that it?

MR. GAGE: Yes.

THE COURT: Lack of foundation.

- Q. Mr. White, at that time when you received this information from this email from Charlie Dorego, did you have an understanding of how Senator Skelos might be able to influence the outcome of the Nassau County bid process?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And what was the basis of your understanding?
- A. My understanding from Adam, and also from things Glenn had said about how the senator had a close relationship with the County Executive Mangano.
 - MR. GAGE: Objection, your Honor, move to strike as

1 unresponsive.

2 | THE COURT: Sustained.

3 MR. MASIMORE: Let's take it step by step.

Can we pull up the bottom email from Charlie Dorego.

- Q. And do you see part of that email -- and you read this email when you received it, correct?
- A. Yes.

4

5

6

- Q. Do you actually, sitting here now, recall when you received this email?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. How is it you recall receiving this email?
- 12 A. Because it was pretty shocking.
- Q. And Charlie Dorego's email says: He's hesitant -- and his dad called -- to do it with the engineers making more money than him. And then Charlie Dorego says: I think they don't
- 16 | think it's worth pushing through.
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. What did you understand Charlie Dorego to mean when he said, "I think they don't think it's worth pushing through."
- 21 MR. GAGE: Objection, your Honor, foundation.
- 22 | THE COURT: I'll permit it.
- 23 A. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. What did you understand Charlie Dorego to mean when
- 25 he said, "I think they don't think it's worth pushing through."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

White - direct

- A. I took it -- I thought he meant that it was basically a threat to interfere with the process and hurt AbTech's chances.
 - Q. And in your email at the top you wrote: I can't believe he's going to try to hold us hostage to renegotiate the contract.

What did you mean when you wrote to Glenn Rink that there is somebody trying to hold us hostage?

- A. What I meant was that this was a period where the technical review committee of Nassau County is supposed to be reviewing the proposal without any interference, supposed to be like a stand-down period. And to make this request and basically say that there's going to be -- there could be contact, negative contact --
 - MR. GAGE: Objection, your Honor, unresponsive.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. You mentioned a stand-down period just a moment ago. What did you mean by a stand-down period?
 - A. No contact with the county except for through the person they authorized questions to go to.
 - Q. And based on what you had read in Charlie Dorego's email and things that Adam Skelos had told you about, what was your understanding of how AbTech could be held hostage?
 - MR. GAGE: Objection, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - A. Could you repeat the question?

- Q. I will try. Based on what you had read in Charlie Dorego's email and information provided to you by Adam Skelos, what was
- 3 your understanding of how AbTech could be held hostage?
- A. Through contact between the senator and County Executive

 Mangano in a way that would be detrimental to AbTech.
 - MR. GAGE: Objection, your Honor, move to strike.
- 7 | THE COURT: I'll permit it.
- Q. Now in the email from Charlie Dorego at the bottom of 2502, he mentioned something about a four percent commission on a \$10
- 10 | million project. Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.

- 12 | Q. And what is four percent of 10 million?
- 13 A. \$400,000.
- 14 Q. Up until this time, had you been the person who primarily
- 15 interfaced with Adam Skelos concerning his duties at AbTech?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- Q. Were you aware of Adam Skelos' efforts that he had been making on behalf of AbTech?
- 19 A. Was I aware of?
- 20 Q. Efforts that Adam Skelos had been making on behalf of
- 21 AbTech.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did Adam Skelos report to anybody else directly at AbTech
- 24 other than you?
- 25 A. No.

Was the assistance that Adam Skelos had provided in connection with the Nassau County contract, had that been worth \$400,000 to AbTech?

White - direct

MR. CONNIFF: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

I don't believe so. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Why do you believe that his services were not worth \$400,000?
- A. Because that's an incredibly large amount of money and large portion of the contract. And frankly, it starts to rival probably the amount that AbTech was going to make total in profit. I'm not sure of the exact number, but the whole construction portion of the contract is -- there's no money made off of it from AbTech, so we're not talking about a lot that AbTech actually ends up making. So that could potentially even have been more, but I mean, very huge number.
 - And in your email to Glenn Rink you mention that engineers are getting paid for labor hours to do real work. What work were you referring to?
 - I was referring to the work that was basically specced into the proposal that the engineers would do under the contract as it was being executed, all the various engineering, design assessment, analysis, basically all the work minus the construction.
 - And you mentioned in the email: Okay, will call you in a

FBUTSKE3 White - direct

- 1 | while.
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Did there come a time when you did in fact have an
- 4 | opportunity to have a conversation with Glenn Rink?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And approximately when was that?
- 7 A. Very shortly after this.
- 8 Q. So if I could show you --
- 9 MR. MASIMORE: The government offers Government
- 10 | Exhibit 118 into evidence.
- 11 MR. CONNIFF: No objection.
- 12 | THE COURT: Government Exhibit 118 is received without
- 13 | objection.
- 14 (Government's Exhibit 118 received in evidence)
- MR. MASIMORE: If we go to page 64 and publish it to
- 16 | the jury, please.
- 17 | Q. And sir, these are your cell phone records, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And if we go to April 10, what's listed as 4:18 p.m., do
- 20 you see a call with a number 480 ending in 4000?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And it lasts 22 minutes?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | O. What's that 4000 number?
- 25 A. That is the AbTech Industries office in Scottdale, Arizona,

- 1 | the main line.
- 2 Q. Do you recall this call?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Who did you speak with at AbTech's office during that call?
- 5 A. Glenn Rink.
- Q. And during that call on April 10, 2013, what did Glenn Rink say to you and what did you say to Glenn Rink?
- 8 A. What Glenn told me was that at that time he was saying that
- 9 he thinks the message is coming more from Charlie Dorego. And
- 10 | I told him that this was outrageous and we should not do it,
- 11 | and he should tell Charlie no, and basically that it was
- 12 | completely inappropriate. And Glenn was telling me that he's
- 13 going to look into it, don't worry about it, he's going to talk
- 14 | to Charlie, things to that effect.
- 15 | Q. During that call, did Glenn Rink or you decide whether to
- 16 pay Adam Skelos more money?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. Directing your attention to Government Exhibit 118, which
- 19 came into evidence, your cell records, page 66, if we zoom in
- 20 | to those two calls, April 22nd at 11:05 a.m. and 3:01 p.m., do
- 21 you see those?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Do you see you're in touch with a phone number that ends in
- 24 | 6600?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Do you recognize that number?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Whose number is that?
- 4 A. That's Glenn Rink's cell phone.
- Q. And do you see the first call lasted 29 minutes and the second lasted 12 minutes?

Yes.

- Q. Do you recall these calls?
- 9 | A. Yes.

Α.

7

- 10 | Q. And how are you able to recall these specific calls?
- 11 A. They were intense phone calls, and my relationship with
- 12 | Glenn Rink was never the same afterwards, so I remember them
- 13 | vividly.
- 14 | Q. Let's take it step by step. Can you tell us what Glenn
- 15 | said and what you said during the first of these phone calls?
- 16 A. What Glenn said on the first call was that he wanted to
- 17 | increase Adam's pay, and he said that he had spoken to Charlie
- 18 and he said that it was important to -- he, meaning Charlie,
- 19 | told Glenn it was important to comply with this request, and
- 20 | that I need to think more long term and that this is important.
- 21 And it was a long call, so a lot of it was back and forth on
- 22 | that, and I told him it was not needed, it was not appropriate,
- 23 | the technical review committee was reviewing the proposal right
- 24 now, they should just be allowed to do their own review, and we
- 25 | should absolutely not do it. And he didn't say yes or no, but

5

6

White - direct

- 1 he said he was going to think about it more and talk to 2 Charlie.
- Q. What was the tone of this first conversation with Glenn on April 22nd?
 - A. It was very heated. Glenn was very intense, and I was very upset.
- 7 Q. What did you do after the first phone call?
- A. Well, it was left -- it was left with no resolution. I

 couldn't believe that he was not going to go -- not listening

 to me. So I took a drive to kind of clear my head and think

 about it.
- 12 Q. What happened next?
- 13 A. Later that day he called me and we spoke briefly.
- Q. And during that second conversation with Glenn Rink, what did he say to you and what did you say to him?
- 16 A. He told me okay, we're not going to increase the
- 17 commission. But he had made a decision, he was going to
- 18 | increase Adam's compensation, but later down the road at a time
- 19 when we would be pursuing all these other municipalities. And
- 20 he said: Isn't it important to pursue these municipalities?
- 21 And it is. And he said: So I would like you to call Adam and
- 22 | tell him that we want to focus on going to Suffolk County, go
- 23 | to other municipalities, and let him know that Glenn Rink was
- 24 gone to increase his compensation somewhere down the road.
- 25 | Q. What, if anything, did Glenn Rink say during any of these

FBUTSKE3 White - direct

conversations with you concerning what would happen if AbTech did increase Adam's pay?

A. I don't recall if it was on that call or the one earlier that day, but he said that Charlie had told him that if AbTech increases his pay and takes care of Adam, his father will take care of AbTech.

(Continued on next page)

Yes.

Α.

White - direct

- Q. Did there come a time after the second April 22 phone call with Glenn Rink to place -- did there come a time after that where you, in fact, spoke with Adam Skelos over the phone?
 - Q. As best as you can recall what did you say to him and what did he say to you during that call?
 - A. I told him that Glenn had asked me to convey to him that his compensation was going to be taken care of and that Glenn really wanted us to focus on getting into Suffolk County, getting into other municipalities, and that Glenn had wanted me to reiterate that there was a limited time with the infrastructure funding and a one sort a one-time chance so we really needed to get on that.
 - Q. What, if anything, did Adam say in response?
 - A. He said that that's good. And he -- he said okay we'll meet with -- he'll work on Suffolk, he'll work on some of the other municipalities.

But he started saying, you know, I think we ought to wait until we get Nassau County one before we pursue Suffolk County or other municipalities because he said he thought it's better to go to other customers with one that we had already won.

Q. Before that call -- well actually let me --

MR. MASIMORE: If we can pull up Government Exhibit 101 in evidence at page 262.

- 1 Q. Do you see these are Adam Skelos' cell records?
- 2 MR. MASIMORE: If we could focus in on April 23. The
- 3 top.
- 4 Q. Do you see a 2:22 p.m. Adam Skelos' cellphone is in contact
- 5 with this 8443 phone number?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. For eleven minutes?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you recognize this call?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 MR. GAGE: Excuse me, your Honor. Is it the top call
- 12 or the bottom call?
- MR. MASIMORE: I'm sorry. Let me take it step by
- 14 step.
- 15 | Q. Do you see a call at 2:22 p.m.?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Now below that there's a call at 2:33 p.m. Do you see
- 18 | that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. So that's a call between --
- 21 MR. GAGE: Your Honor, I'm objecting to anything that
- 22 doesn't involve calls with this witness, as we discussed.
- 23 MR. MASIMORE: I'm just trying to clarify the
- 24 confusion between the two calls.
- MR. GAGE: Your Honor, to be clear, I'm objecting to

- 1 | the second call being introduced at all through this witness.
- THE COURT: I understand. Overruled. Go ahead.
- 3 BY MR. MASIMORE:
- Q. So just the top of those two phonecalls at 2:22 p.m., do
- 5 you recognize the number 8443?
- 6 A. Yes. That's my cellphone.
- 7 | Q. And do you recognize this call?
- 8 A. Yes. This is the one I was just describing.
- 9 Q. Up until this point in your relationship with Adam Skelos,
- 10 after the agreement had been signed in November, late
- 11 | November 2012, up through April 10, 2013 when you had this
- 12 | call, how often did you and Adam Skelos discuss Adam Skelos'
- 13 | compensation?
- 14 A. None. I mean other than when the contract was -- no. I
- 15 mean once the contract was signed it never came up again.
- 16 Q. In this call on April 10 with Adam Skelos -- sorry -- thank
- 17 | you.
- On this call on April 23 with Adam Skelos that's still
- 19 | up on the screen, April 23, did Adam Skelos express to you that
- 20 he was surprised that you were discussing compensation with
- 21 | him?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Did he say thank you?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 | Q. At some point did there come a time when you told Adam

- Skelos specifically that his compensation would be raised to ten thousand dollars per month?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Do you recall when you told Adam this?
- 5 A. No, not exactly.
- Q. Did there come a time when his compensation did start being
- 7 paid out at ten thousand dollars a month?
- 8 A. Yes, there did.
- 9 Q. Approximately when was that?
- 10 A. It was midsummer, July 2013.
- 11 Q. Did there come a time before he started being paid ten
- 12 | thousand dollars a month where you had that conversation with
- 13 | him?

- 14 | A. Yes.
 - Q. But you don't recall specifically where or when?
- 16 | A. No.
- MR. MASIMORE: At this time government offers 2506
- 18 which was in the binder though not technically received.
- MR. CONIFF: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2506 is received
- 21 | without objection.
- 22 | (Government's Exhibit 2506 received in evidence)
- 23 | Q. Do you see this is an e-mail exchange from April 25, 2013?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Do you see Adam writes to you, "Do you have any interest in

- 1 | attending this with me and my dad?"
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. If we turn to the second page of the exhibit, do you see
- 4 | it's some sort of groundwater symposium?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 \parallel Q. What was this event?
- 7 A. It was an event regarding some of the water issues that
- 8 | Long Island was facing.
- 9 MR. MASIMORE: Government offers 2507, again a binder 10 exhibit not technically received.
- 11 MR. CONIFF: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2507 is received
- 13 | without objection.
- 14 | (Government's Exhibit 2507 received in evidence)
- Q. Do you see there's that e-mail again from Adam saying, "Do
- 16 you have any interest in attending this?"
- You replied, "Actually, yeah, definitely." Do you see
- 18 | that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And then Adam responds, "Great. I'll sign you up"?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What was the purpose for which you were going to go to this
- 23 | symposium with Skelos?
- 24 A. First of all, to hear about the issues regarding Long
- 25 | Island water, concerns that they were discussing, and to

potentially meet with customers that could be attending the 1 2 same event. 3 MR. MASIMORE: At this time the government offers 4 Government Exhibit 2106 pursuant to stipulation, Government 5 Exhibit 12. 6 MR. GAGE: We object, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: You object? 8 MR. GAGE: Yes, your Honor. It's not -- I don't 9 believe the witness is on the e-mail. I'm sure he's not. 10 THE COURT: 2106 is part of a stipulation. It's been 11 agreed to. And I understand you object to it being introduced 12 through this witness. 13 MR. GAGE: Yes, your Honor. That's the nature. 14 THE COURT: But apart from that there is no objection. 15 Overruled. 2106 is received over objection. (Government's Exhibit 2106 received in evidence) 16 17 MR. MASIMORE: Permission to publish, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Yes. Just to publish it, your Honor. It says "RSVP for the 19 20 symposium on Friday, May 10. From Patricia Crotty/Senate to an 21 e-mail address. It's dated April 26, 2013. It reads as 22 follows, "Unfortunately Senator Skelos is unable to attend the 23 symposium on Friday, May 10. However he will be sending Adam 24 Skelos and Bjornulf White.

"Thank you, Pat Crotty.

- 3 Mr. White, did you go to the symposium?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Who attended with you?
- 6 A. Adam Skelos.
- 7 Q. Did Senator Skelos go?
- 8 | A. No.

9

- MR. MASIMORE: Government offers 2509. It's one of the last of the binder exhibits that hasn't been received.
- 11 MR. CONIFF: No objection.
- THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2509 is received without objection.
- 14 (Government's Exhibit 2509 received in evidence)
- Q. If we could start at the very top please. Do you see this
- 16 | is not an e-mail from Adam Skelos to you?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recognize the e-mail?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. It's subject: Nassau and Suffolk. Dated Tuesday,
- 21 | April 30, 2013?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Do you see at the bottom, April 30, you write to Adam
- 24 | Skelos, "Hey. Just thought I'd check in since it's a new week;
- 25 | i.e., news has died down. Any update from Nassau on how many

- 1 | bidders and whether they have an expected award date"?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What were you following up with Adam Skelos on in this
- 4 e-mail?
- 5 A. Just if he heard from -- if he had heard anything
- 6 regarding, you know, how many people had submitted bids and
- 7 | whether there was any date that they were letting people know
- 8 about.
- 9 Q. And do you see Adam Skelos replied "Left two messages with
- 10 | Sheila Shah. Haven't heard back from her yet. Will have my
- 11 | father call Ed this Thursday if I don't hear from her by
- 12 | tomorrow"?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Who is Sheila Shah again?
- 15 | A. Commissioner of the public works department for Nassau
- 16 County.
- 17 | Q. And Adam says, "Will have my father call Ed this Thursday."
- Do you understand who Adam Skelos meant by Ed in this
- 19 | e-mail?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 | Q. Who did you understand him to mean?
- 22 A. County executive Ed Mangano.
- 23 Q. Did there come a time when Nassau County selected a winning
- 24 | bidder for it's RFP?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . Who won?
- 2 A. AbTech.
- 3 | Q. How did you come to learn that AbTech had won the bid?
- 4 A. As I recall, Ken Arnold called me.
- Q. Right after you learned that AbTech had won, did AbTech
- 6 announce it publicly immediately?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 \square Q. Why not?
- 9 A. Well, first of all, it would be more sort of typical to
- 10 wait for something in writing to confirm it so that one can
- 11 announce it with comfort that it's written. And also AbTech
- 12 | was debating whether or not to announce an award or not.
- MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, we offer 2513 and 16 which
- 14 | are the last ones that were part of the binder but not
- 15 | technically received.
- MR. CONIFF: No objection to 2513.
- 17 MR. MASIMORE: 16 is the other one.
- 18 MR. CONIFF: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Government Exhibits 2513 and 2516 are
- 20 | received without objection.
- 21 | (Government's Exhibits 2513 and 2516 received in
- 22 | evidence)
- 23 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government Exhibit
- 24 | 2513, please.
- 25 | Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?

- 1 | A. Yes, I do. It's an e-mail from Adam to myself.
- Q. And do you see at the bottom he's replying to something you
- 3 | had written to him?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So on May 21 you had written to Adam, "Hey, Adam. I would
- 6 say something as simple as the below would suffice. I'm sure
- 7 | shorter is better anyway." And then there's a paragraph there?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What was the purpose of this paragraph that you were
- 10 sending to Adam Skelos?
- 11 A. It was basically what sort of in general AbTech would want
- 12 | in writing from Nassau County about having won the award; that
- 13 | Glenn wanted something in writing so he could announce it to
- 14 | the board and to the investment community and such. So this
- 15 was just some suggested language.
- 16 Q. What was the reason you were sending this to Adam Skelos?
- 17 | A. In order for him to work with either Sheila Shah or Rob
- 18 Walker to try to get that -- or to suggest that language to
- 19 | them. So that AbTech could get some kind of written
- 20 confirmation.
- 21 | Q. And Adam responded to you, "Let me try and get Sheila to
- 22 | sign off on it"?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And that was on May 21, 2013?
- 25 A. Yes.

FBU9SKE4

White - direct

- 1 MR. MASIMORE: Now if we pull up 2516 which is in 2 evidence now, please.
- 3 | Q. Do you recognize this?
- 4 A. Yes. It's an e-mail from Adam to myself.
- Q. And do you see at the bottom you wrote an e-mail to Adam that contained no text. Do you see that?
- 7 | A. Yes.

8

- Q. What was the subject line of what you wrote to Adam?
- 9 A. "Heard anything from Nassau" question mark.
- 10 | Q. What were you referring to with your question?
- 11 A. Whether he had heard back, Sheila signing off on the language.
- 13 Q. And Adam replied, "Followed up with the county attorney
- 14 | today. He said he was working on something and I let him know
- 15 | about the June 3 deadline"?
- 16 | A. Yes.

- Q. What did you understand Adam to be reporting to you?
- 18 A. That he discussed the suggested language with the county
- 19 attorney and that the county attorney was working on something
- 20 | the county was comfortable with.
- 21 MR. MASIMORE: Pull up Government Exhibit 2517 in
- 22 | evidence, please.
- 23 | Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- 24 A. Yes. It's an e-mail from Adam to myself.
- 25 | Q. And if you look at the bottom of the first page into the

- 1 | second page. Do you see that's the bottom of the first page.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Now the top of the second page?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you recognize this?
- 7 A. Yes. That's the original e-mail with the suggested 8 language.
- 9 Q. And if we go back to the first page, up the paper to the 10 next e-mail from Adam Skelos to Pedrone JC.
- MR. MASIMORE: Middle of the page down to the bottom please.
- Q. Do you see Adam Skelos forwards this to somebody, Padrone

 JC, at a particular e-mail address?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And he writes, "John, below is a small letter we drafted acknowledging that AbTech was chosen by Nassau County to be considered by the leg for the work on their stormwater drainage pipes. We need something like that signed for us to show the company shareholders before the June 3 meeting. Appreciate anything you can do for that. Thanks."
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What June 3 meeting was Adam Skelos referring to?
- 24 A. It was -- I believe it would have been the annual
- 25 shareholder meeting. Otherwise, it was an investor.

- Q. What was the reason, if any, that having a letter like this was important to AbTech in advance of a meeting or call like that?
 - A. Well the letter would confirm in writing the award so it would give the company more comfort announcing it as opposed to doing it just based off of the verbal word of the customer.
 - Q. And then going back to this exhibit, if we go up the next e-mail in the chain, on page one of 2517. Do you see there an e-mail from John Ciampoli who is the person at Padrone JC at the e-mail address. Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 | Q. And it's to Adam Skelos?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And he replies "on it"?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And Adam Skelos forwarded all of this to you?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did AbTech ultimately get a letter from the commissioner?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. If we could pull up just for the witness, please, 2301.
- 21 Do you recognize the document that's on your screen?
- A. Yes. That's the letter from the commissioner that I just referenced.
- MR. MASIMORE: The government offers Government

 Exhibit 2301.

- 1 MR. CONIFF: No objection.
- THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2301 is received
- 3 | without objection.
- 4 (Government's Exhibit 2301 received in evidence)
- 5 Q. Did there ultimately come a time when Nassau County sent to
- 6 AbTech a contract to sign?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did AbTech, in fact, sign the contract?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2522 which is in evidence, please.
- 12 Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- 13 A. Yes. It's an e-mail from myself to Ken attaching the fully
- 14 | executed contract by -- I'm sorry not fully executed only
- 15 | signed by Glenn at this time and then other documents.
- 16 | O. And this is from June 2013?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. So at this point Nassau County has sent a contract and
- 19 AbTech has executed on their behalf the contract?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And this is in June 2013?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Could work begin on the contract now that AbTech had signed
- 24 | it?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . Why not?
- 2 A. Because it hasn't been signed by Nassau County so it's not
- 3 | a binding contract. It hasn't been started.
- 4 Q. Now did there come a time when Nassau County ultimately
- 5 | signed the contract and was bound by it?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Approximately when was that?
- 8 A. October 2013.
- 9 Q. Now what, if any, understanding did you have concerning
- 10 what steps the contract had to go through at the county level
- 11 | before it could be executed?
- 12 A. At this time, none.
- 13 | Q. Did there come a time when you learned of other steps that
- 14 | needed to be followed?
- 15 A. Yes. After this, I learned.
- 16 | Q. How did you learn?
- 17 A. Well Ken Arnold told me.
- 18 | Q. What did Ken Arnold tell you with respect to the steps that
- 19 | the contract had to proceed through?
- 20 | A. Well, first, when I spoke to him about this I asked him if
- 21 | it was signed and ready to go. And he said no, they have to --
- 22 | the County Legislature's Rules Committee needs to pass a rule
- 23 | to authorize the signature. And so that was the first step
- 24 | that I learned.
- 25 And then later on I learned of another step.

- Q. And how did you learn of the next step?
- 2 A. Then Ken Arnold later in the summer told me that it still
- 3 wasn't ready to be signed because there was something called
- 4 | the Nassau Interim Finance Authority and they had a right to
- 5 review contracts before the county could actually execute them.
- 6 Q. This is Government Exhibit 2522. When you submitted the
- 7 contract that was signed by AbTech in June 2013 did you have an
- 8 understanding that it would take an additional four months for
- 9 | the contract to be executed?
- 10 A. No. Not at all.
- MR. MASIMORE: Now if we could go to page seven of the
- 12 exhibit, please. 2522, page seven of the exhibit.
- 13 At the bottom in section two, which is entitled
- 14 | Services, Extra Services, and Reimbursable Expenses.
- 15 | Q. Do you see in the middle of the paragraph where it says,
- 16 | "The county is seeking approval to award this contract as a
- 17 | design build contract"?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. "When such approval is received the county will proceed
- 20 | with the construction"?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What did you understand this provision of the contract that
- 23 Nassau County had sent AbTech meant?
- 24 A. It meant that the county had an out if the -- if permission
- 25 was not given to actually include the construction portion

White - direct

within the contract, then they could -- they could -- they could essentially bid that out separately. So it was saying that they were seeking approval for it but that it didn't exist yet.

MR. MASIMORE: If we can turn to page 23 of the

- exhibit. Second paragraph from the bottom. It's two lines.

 Q. Do you see page 23 of the exhibit reads, "In the event the county does not receive state legislative authority for design/build the county will bid these projects utilizing its standard operating process"?
- A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. Can you translate that into English?
 - A. Yes. So essentially in the event that Nassau County did not receive state permission to keep the construction portion under the contract, then they reserved the right to take that construction portion and just bid it out separately to a construction firm separate from the contract with AbTech.
 - Q. How, if at all, would that have affected the revenue that would have flowed through AbTech?
 - A. Significantly because the construction portion was estimated at the time around 10 million. So 10 of the 12 million represented the construction. It was the majority.
 - MR. MASIMORE: If we could go to page 27 of Government Exhibit 2522, please.
 - If we could just focus on the top half, tasks one,

- 1 | two, and three.
- Q. Can you describe generally what task one was pursuant to the contract that was offered?
- 4 A. Yes. So under task one the county was going to give a list
- 5 of all of its highest priority sites that ended up being around
- 6 60-something sites. And then AbTech and its team was going to
- 7 | analyze all of those sites and prioritize them and identify the
- 8 | first ten that would receive stormwater improvements under this
- 9 contract.
- 10 Q. And once that was completed what was task two under the
- 11 | contract?
- 12 A. Once that was completed and approved task two would be
- developing the general technology and solution for those sites.
- 14 | Q. Now, do you see the amounts. In task one, 265,900; in task
- 15 | two 65,725?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. What did you understand with respect to how much the county
- 18 | had allocated for the project?
- 19 | A. The county had -- I understood that the county had
- 20 | allocated enough at that time to get right into task one and to
- 21 | those amounts combined.
- 22 | Q. Did you have an understanding at that time of whether the
- 23 county had allocated additional sources of funds to complete
- 24 | the remainder of the contract?
- 25 A. They had not allocated beyond task two at the time.

- 1 Q. Where did you understand those funds would come from?
- 2 A. The Hurricane Sandy infrastructure funding was the county's
- 3 top preference and they were also interested in some state
- 4 | funding, grant programs that required a match by the county.
 - Q. And there are additional tasks through six, correct, as part of the contract?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. We talked about task one and task two.

Could you describe for the jury very generally what the remaining tasks would have been in the remainder of this contract.

A. So task three was the biggest engineering portion. It was all the detailed design of the sites. And it was also the program, management and construction administration portion.

And so task order three would actually overlap into construction which was task order four because it involved the program management.

Task four was construction where under this contract

AbTech would run a solicitation and with at least three

qualified bidders, construction firms, select the lowest priced

bidder; and then hire them to do the construction of the sites.

And then task order five and six were operation and maintenance and site monitoring. And those would continue -- those would start after the installations were installed and then would continue through the life of the contract. And they

	consisted of making sure that the sites were maintained as well
	as doing all the monitoring and developing environmental
	compliance reports for the county that the county then could
	use with state regulators and federal regulators to show their
	compliance.
	Q. Could AbTech perform all of the remaining tasks and
	complete the entire contract without state approval of design
	build authority?
	A. No. Not task order four.
	MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, I'm about to move to a
	different topic. I'm happy to continue or, if the court
	wishes, to break for lunch.
	THE COURT: Why don't we break for lunch. We'll
	resume at 2:00.
	(Jury excused)
	THE COURT: Mr. White, you may step down. Thank you.
	(Witness excused)
	THE COURT: Do counsel wish to raise anything?
	MR. MASIMORE: No, your Honor.
	THE COURT: I'll see you at two.
	(Luncheon recess)
١	

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	2:00 p.m.
3	(In open court; jury not present)
4	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please have a seat.
5	The government wishes to raise something?
6	MR. MASIMORE: Very briefly, your Honor. I think
7	during the first part of the direct examination today the court
8	had sustained some objections. I had asked some questions
9	about Mr. White's state of mind. I understand that the
10	objection, as I understand it, was to the form, laying the
11	foundation. I just wanted to articulate the government's
12	theory, under the government's theory of the case.
13	Mr. White is a representative of AbTech. His state of
14	mind as a victim. That's the relevancy of that. But I
15	think I just wanted to make sure that it was form-based and
16	I will try and be more careful and not cut corners.
17	THE COURT: That clarifies it for me. Thank you.
18	MR. MASIMORE: Thank you, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. I think we're ready to have
20	the jury the jury is ready.
21	(Continued on next page)
22	
23	
24	
25	

FBU9SKE4

24

25

month.

White - direct

1 (In open court) 2 (Jury present) 3 BJORNULF WHITE, resumed. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Masimore, we're ready. 5 MR. MASIMORE: Thank you, your Honor. 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 7 BY MR. MASIMORE: Q. Mr. White, do you recall before lunch you were testifying 8 9 about some of the steps through which the contract had to go 10 through before it could be executed by the county executive? 11 Do you recall that testimony? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And one of the steps you had testified about was the Nassau 14 County legislature approving it? 15 A. Yes. Did there come a time when the Nassau County legislature 16 17 indeed approved of the contract? 18 A. Yes. 19 Approximately when was that? Q. 20 In July 2013. Α. 21 What, if anything, happened to Adam Skelos' monthly Q. 22 payments from AbTech after the Nassau County legislature acted 23 to approve the contract?

A. His pay increased July 2013 to ten thousand dollars a

- MR. MASIMORE: And if we could pull up 2527 in evidence, please.
- 3 | Q. Do you recognize this document?
- A. Yes, I do. It's an e-mail from Adam to me attaching his
 July 2013 invoice.
 - MR. MASIMORE: If we go to the second page of the exhibit?
 - A. That is the invoice that was attached for ten thousand dollars for July 2013.
 - Q. Was this increase to ten thousand dollars consistent with what you had informed Mr. Adam Skelos of after the April 2013 conversations with Glenn Rink?
- 13 | A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

- Q. Now, Mr. White, did you come to have an understanding of whether or not Senator Skelos was going to do anything in exchange for the continued payments to Adam?
- A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. What was the basis for your understanding?
- A. Adam's statements to me and Glenn Rink's statements to me about his intent and what he thought was happening.
- Q. What did you understand, if anything, Senator Skelos would be doing in exchange for the continued payments to Adam Skelos?
- A. Well I came to learn over time that he would be available
 to help AbTech when it came to things like interactions with Ed

 Mangano with respect to AbTech's Nassau County contract. And
- 25 Mangano with respect to AbTech's Nassau County contract. And

- then later on with respect to certain state legislation that
 would approve potentially stormwater P3s that was in AbTech's
 interest, that he would be assisting that.
 - Q. Now, up until the point where the Nassau County legislature approved of the contract, had AbTech announced to the investing public that Nassau County had awarded it the stormwater
- 7 | contract?

4

5

6

- A. Up until which time?
- 9 Q. Up until the point where the Nassau County legislature had approved it but before NIFA?
- 11 A. No. It had not.
- 12 Q. Directing your attention to the middle of August, 2013.
- Did there come a time when AbTech Holdings, Incorporated was
- 14 going to have an investor call?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. What's an investor call?
- A. An investor call happens a period of time after the end of each fiscal quarter and it's where the CEO provides an overall
- 19 business update and the CFO provides a financial update.
- Q. What's contained in the CEO's overall business update in an
- 21 | investor call?
- 22 A. It's an update on how the company is doing, where its
- 23 | business activities stand, key opportunities that it's working
- 24 on and then typically what the CEO sees in the coming time
- 25 period ahead.

5

6

7

10

White - direct

- Q. What, if any, discussions did you have with Glenn Rink relating to the Nassau County stormwater contract before the investor call?
 - A. I had calls because he wanted to be able to provide an update to the investment community. So I spoke with him about that.
 - Q. What, if anything, did Glenn Rink say to you?
- 8 A. He said that he -- he was hoping for the contract to be 9 signed in time so he could announce the signed contract.
 - Q. And what, if anything, did Glenn Rink ask you to do?
- 11 A. He asked me to check with Adam and see if he thought that
- 12 | was possible, where things stood; and then because it looked
- 13 | like the contract was not going to be able to be signed he --
- 14 he meaning Glenn, wanted to have some kind of indication from
- 15 | NIFA, Nassau Interim Finance Authority, as to where it was
- 16 going to go, whether it was going to rule yes or no. So he,
- 17 | you know, had some indication of that.
- 18 Q. After Mr. Rink asked you to speak to Adam Skelos, what did
- 19 | you do?

- 20 A. I communicated the message to Adam.
- Q. And did there come a time after that where you spoke to county officials?
- 23 A. Yes. I believe -- I recall -- I don't know if I recall a
- 24 direct conversation with them.
 - Q. Were you able to get a signed contract from the county, the

- 1 | fully executed contract at that time?
- 2 | A. No.
- $3 \quad Q. \quad \text{Why not?}$
- 4 A. Because NIFA had not completed its review of the contract.
- 5 MR. MASIMORE: Could we pull up 2532, please, in evidence.
- 7 | Q. Do you recognize this e-mail, Mr. White?
- A. Yes. It's an e-mail from Adam to me, replying to an e-mail from me.
- Q. And your e-mail to Adam says, "Hey. It looks like from a timing perspective like we just won't get the contract by tomorrow. Is that your sense too? Talked internally and a letter from the county giving us notice of the current status (As Rob Walker suggested) would be a good plan B at this point, if they don't mind."

What were you referring to?

- A. I was referring to discussion with primarily Glenn Rink where he listed the things that you would want to see in a letter from the county regarding where things stood if we couldn't get the contract signed in time.
- Q. And below the paragraph I just read, do you see there's a few bullet points under the words, "The key elements we'd ask for"?
- 24 A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 | Q. What were you listing there?

	FBU9SKE4 White - direct
1	A. Those were the elements that Glenn had mentioned that I
2	just referred to.
3	Q. And what was the purpose of sending this e-mail to Adam
4	Skelos?
5	A. So he could communicate with Rob Walker regarding, you
6	know, what AbTech's request was.
7	Q. And then you see he responded on August 12, 2013 at
8	10:15 a.m. "That's the impression I got. Will get this done"?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. MASIMORE: If we could publish 2994 in evidence,
11	please.
12	Q. Do you see at that time top it's an e-mail from Gail Skelos
13	to Adam Skelos?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And at the bottom of the first page, do you recognize what
16	Adam is forwarding?
17	MR. GAGE: Your Honor, I object and would ask to
18	approach.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	(Continued on next page)
21	
22	
23	
21	

FBU9SKE4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At the sidebar)

I'm concerned, your Honor, maybe I'm wrong, MR. GAGE: but what's coming is a series of e-mails which this witness is not on, maybe, between Gail Skelos on the e-mail address and Cameron of Cameron Engineering. And I just -- if the witness is not part of the e-mail chain, I object for the reasons we've discussed.

MR. MASIMORE: Well, your Honor, this particular e-mail, 2994, I'm asking the witness if he recognizes what's being forwarded. And what's being forwarded is his information. So I do have some specific questions.

And just as a general matter, your Honor. I understand earlier -- I can understand the point where publishing just phone records in connection with other testimony may be argumentative in the sense that it implies what those phonecalls may be for and that's not contained in the document. But I do think evidence that has been admitted that on its face contains statements.

I would ask permission to be able to publish those: A because they're in evidence; B I think it will help streamline things. Otherwise we'd have to publish them at some point with no one on the stand potentially in a manner that the jury wouldn't even understand because the timing wouldn't make any sense. And I don't intend to make any arguments.

> This witness had no contact with Senator MR. GAGE:

FBU9SKE4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Skelos, his wife, or this e-mail address. It's between Adam and Gail.

I think what's coming, your Honor, so I want to address it now, is between Gail Skelos' e-mail address and Cameron Engineering. This witness had no contact with those e-mails. It creates a certain -- inappropriate stink factor here. They have to publish it. It doesn't have anything to do with this witness. It makes it seem like it is somehow bound up in some ongoing scheme or discussion. It's not. It doesn't involve this witness. I can't cross-examine this witness on it because he wasn't even involved with it. And there are others. The government has the others too, your Honor.

MR. MASIMORE: With particular reference to this exhibit it's already in. So we could publish it even with a witness not on the stand.

THE COURT: Yes. I understand.

MR. MASIMORE: Inability to cross doesn't matter.

MR. GAGE: But, your Honor, if it's presented when this witness is here the impression it creates is that somehow this witness is knowledgeable and part of a discussion that didn't involve him at all.

THE COURT: I overrule. I think it will be clear that he's not involved.

(Continued on next page)

White - direct

1 (In open court)

MR. MASIMORE: May I proceed, your Honor?

3 THE COURT: Yes.

- 4 MR. MASIMORE: So if we can publish Government Exhibit
- 5 2994 again, please.
- 6 Q. Mr. White, I'm sorry. I'm not sure if you answered the
- 7 question. Do you recognize what's being forwarded from Adam
- Skelos at the bottom to Gail Skelos? 8
- 9 A. Yes. That's an e-mail from myself to Adam that we were
- 10 just referring to.
- 11 Q. This has the key elements we asked for in the bullet
- 12 points, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And do you see Adam sends it to Gail Skelos. She responds,
- 15 "What do you want me to do with this?"
- Adam Skelos replies, "Show you know who." 16
- 17 Gail Skelos replies, "Ooo da will do in an hour or so.
- Not with now." 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. MASIMORE: Pull up 2995, please, in evidence.
- 21 Do you see, Mr. White, that's an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Q.
- 22 John Cameron on August 12, 2013?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Do you recognize what he's forwarding, what Adam Skelos is
- 25 forwarding to John Cameron?

- A. The e-mail from me to Adam with the key elements that we've been referring to.
- Q. And Adam Skelos writes, "John see below. Any help on this would be much appreciated. Best regards."
 - A. Yes.

5

9

- 6 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2998 please, and 7 publish it.
- 8 If we can start at the bottom half. 2998.
 - Q. Do you see that's an e-mail from Adam Skelos to John Cameron?
- "John, Can county send letter to Bjornulf White via e-mail at, and there's an e-mail address. Much appreciated. Thanks for the help."
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Do you recognize the e-mail address?
- 16 A. Yes. It's my AbTech e-mail. It was my AbTech e-mail.
- 17 | Q. And John Cameron writes, "Okay. Will advise the county."
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. MASIMORE: At this time, your Honor, the
 government offers Government Exhibit 18 which is a stipulation.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. It is received.
- 22 | (Government's Exhibit 18 received in evidence)
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull it up Ms. Danzo,
- 24 please.
- 25 If we could focus on paragraph one, please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cameron, August 12.

White - direct

It reads "Government Exhibits 2532A through 2532C and 2801 are authentic e-mails that were produced by Cameron Engineering and Associates in response to a subpoena." Your Honor at this time the government offers Government Exhibit 2532A, 2532B, and 2532C. MR. GAGE: Your Honor I would note at the end of that stipulation there is a right preserved by the defense to object to these exhibits and we do object. THE COURT: On what ground? MR. GAGE: Relevance, the fact that this witness, at least as I am recalling these exhibits, is not mentioned as a part of the conversation. MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, perhaps if we could address at sidebar. THE COURT: I'm going to continue with the same ruling I made before so we don't need to address it. I overrule. MR. MASIMORE: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Government Exhibits 2532A through C are received over objection. (Government's Exhibits 2532A through C received in evidence) MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government Exhibit 2532A, please, in evidence. Q. Do you see that's an e-mail from Gail Skelos to John

- 1 Do you see that, Mr. White?
- 2 | A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Page two of this exhibit. Do you recognize what's being
- 4 | forwarded in this e-mail?
- 5 A. Yes. That's my original e-mail to Adam with the elements
- 6 that AbTech was looking for.
- 7 Q. Going to the first page of 2532A Adam Skelos forwards that
- 8 | to Gail Skelos. Gail Skelos forwards that to John Cameron.
- 9 And then up at the top of the first page John Cameron writes,
- 10 Got the info. Spoke to Rob. He's receptive to sending the
- 11 letter." And then Gail Skelos responds "Great."
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you ultimately get a letter from the county?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2533 which is in
- 16 | evidence, please.
- 17 | Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- 18 A. Yes. It's the letter we just referred to.
- 19 | Q. And do you recognize that particular e-mail address it went
- 20 to?
- 21 A. Yes. It's my AbTech e-mail.
- 22 | Q. And it reads from Mr. Rob Walker, "Mr. White, Thank you for
- 23 your interest in Nassau County. I am pleased to let you know
- 24 | that the Nassau County legislature unanimously approved the
- 25 | contract on July 1, 2013 (copy attached) and has been approved

- 1 | by the county comptroller on July 29, 2013 copy also attached.
- 2 | In addition, late Monday I received your question concerning
- 3 | NIFA."

- A. Yes.
- 5 MR. MASIMORE: Could you turn to page two of the
- 6 exhibit.
- Q. Do you see page two of this attachment is an e-mail
- 8 exchange between Rob Walker and Jeremy Wise?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. If we can look at the last paragraph there where it says,
- 13 | "Please note."
- Do you see Mr. Wise writes to Mr. Walker, "Please note
- 15 | that this accommodation was made because of your representation
- 16 | that time was of the essence. In the future, we will continue
- 17 | our analysis of contracts in the normal course pursuant to our
- 18 prescribed procedures."
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Mr. White, at this time in mid-August 2013 what, if any,
- 21 | business purpose was there to obtaining this particular letter
- 22 and set of documents?
- 23 | A. The purpose I have referred to before where Glenn Rink was
- 24 | wanting an indication as to where NIFA would go in advance of
- 25 the investor call that AbTech had.

- 1 MR. MASIMORE: If we can pull up 2532 -- actually just 2 note -- so this comes to you on August 13 -- sorry. If we
- 3 could pull up 2533, first page. Just very top there. The
- 4 header information.
- Q. Do you see Rob Walker sends that to you on August 13 at
- 6 7:13 p.m.?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2532B in evidence, 9 please.
- So let's start from the bottom. Do you see -- if we just focus on the very bottom.
- 12 Q. Do you see on 2532B John Cameron e-mails Rob Walker at
- 13 | 1:49 p.m. on August 12, 2013?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And John Cameron writes, "Rob, a letter from the county to
- 16 AbTech would be much appreciated while NIFA deliberates on
- 17 | their contract."
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. It has the statement, "The key elements in the letter could
- 20 | include, " and then there's some bullet points?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Do you recognize the information that's listed here by
- 23 Mr. Cameron?
- 24 A. Yes, I do. Those are the bullets that I had put in the
- 25 original e-mail.

- Q. And then at the bottom it says, "The letter should be e-mailed to Bjornulf White," and it gives an e-mail address?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Again, do you recognize that?
- 5 A. Yes. My AbTech e-mail.
- 6 MR. MASIMORE: Let's go up the document, please. The 7 top half.
- 8 | Q. Do you see that John Cameron writes to Senator Skelos,
- 9 This is what I sent to Robbie yesterday after he and I spoke.
- I imparted the urgency to him on the phone. I was careful of
- 11 | what I put in the e-mail as you never know where it could wind
- 12 | up. Glad it finally got done. John."
- Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And then Senator Skelos responds at 8:28 p.m. on August 13,
- 16 "All happy"?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Mr. White, why did you not send the bullet points yourself
- 19 | directly to Rob Walker?
- 20 | A. Because I didn't really have a relationship with him.
- 21 | Q. What, if any, relationship did you understand Adam Skelos
- 22 | to have with Rob Walker?
- 23 | A. A direct one. He was -- or he said he was in contact with
- 24 | him all the time. So he was someone he knew well.
- 25 | Q. Do you have an understanding of why Adam Skelos didn't

- 1 | forward this request directly himself to Rob Walker?
- 2 MR. GAGE: Objection.
- 3 THE COURT: Does he have a basis for testifying to
- 4 this?
- 5 BY MR. MASIMORE:
- Q. Did Adam Skelos ever speak to you about how the request was
- 7 | communicated to the county?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2539 which is in
- 11 evidence. If we can focus on the top there, the header.
- 12 | Q. Do you recognize this?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- MR. MASIMORE: I guess we can pull up maybe just a
- 16 | little bit to show some of the e-mail.
- 17 | A. It's an e-mail from Adam showing various news articles
- 18 related to water.
- 19 Q. Do you see it's sent from Adam Skelos to you, John Cameron,
- 20 and Senator Skelos?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Did Adam Skelos explain to you why he copied you, John
- 23 Cameron, and Senator Skelos on this particular e-mail?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 Q. Who is John Cameron?

- A. John Cameron is the president of Cameron Engineering which
 was the main engineering subcontractor to AbTech for this
 projector -- or going to be.
 - Q. You said "going to be." As of August 2013 was there a contract in place between AbTech and Cameron Engineering?
- 6 A. No.

4

5

7

8

- Q. Did there come a time when the Nassau County contract with AbTech was fully approved by the Nassau County legislature and by NIFA?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And did there come a time when it was signed and executed by county officials?
- 13 | A. Yes. In October 2013.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2546 in evidence, please.
- 16 | Q. Do you recognize this?
- 17 A. Yes. It's an e-mail from Adam to myself.
- Q. You see you write, "Hey, will you be able to fax tonight,"
 and then you provide a fax number. "We have to have to make
 filings and such hence getting the contract so our legal plus
 finance can work on it is key. Thanks. This is great news"?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And then Adam responds, "On my way to my dad's house now to fax. I also overnighted the original copy to you. Took a while but glad we got it done. Much more success to come"?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Did there come a time when you did receive the contract?
- 3 A. Yes.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up, please, Government Exhibit 2548A. If we could just zoom out, please.
- 5 Exhibit 2548A. If we could just zoom out, please.
- 6 Q. Do you recognize this?
- 7 A. Yes. It's an e-mail from myself to Glenn Rink, Jonathan 8 Thatcher, attaching the first part of the Nassau County
- 9 contract file.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we go to the second page of the document.
- 12 Q. Do you recognize it?
- 13 A. Yes. This is that attachment that it references.
- 14 | Q. The attachment is what?
- 15 A. The Nassau County contract.
- 16 | Q. Now, directing your attention to this same time period in
- 17 | early fall 2013. Did there come a time when Adam Skelos and
- 18 AbTech had discussed other types of business opportunities in
- 19 New York?
- 20 | A. Yes. Around this time Adam started asking about our
- 21 | fracking water treatment solution.
- 22 MR. MASIMORE: We can take down the exhibit. Thank
- 23 | you.
- 24 | Q. How did it come up between Adam and you with respect to
- 25 | fracking?

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

- A. He had read AbTech's website and a little bit about the offering and I think some of the announcements and so he was interested in learning more about that.
 - Q. And what did you say to him about fracking at that time period when it first came up?
 - A. Well, towards the beginning I was -- I didn't say very much. I was -- sort of tried to keep things focused on stormwater. But over time I shared a bit more about what the solution was and how we were working with customers in other parts of the country on that.
- 12 | Q. When you say "we were working" and "the solution," what are you referring to?
 - A. AbTech's water treatment solution for the oil and gas industry.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2534 in evidence, please.
- 17 | Q. Do you recognize this?
- 18 A. Yes. It's an e-mail from Adam to me regarding fracking.
- Q. Now, at the time in August 2013 did you have an understanding of whether Adam Skelos had experience related to fracking?
- 22 A. Yes. I had an understanding.
- 23 Q. What was the basis of your understanding?
- 24 A. Things Adam told me.
- 25 | Q. Based on what Adam told you, had he had experience related

1 | to fracking?

A. He had not.

MR. MASIMORE: If we could publish 3001, please, in evidence.

Just to publish, your Honor. This is an e-mail from Dean Skelos to Adam dated September 21, 2013 with a link and a subject line. It says: As other states move ahead New York remains still on fracking.

If we could publish 3002, please, which is in evidence. Zoom into the top quarter there, please.

Just, again, to publish. This is an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Senator Skelos. Subject: Forward list of fracking opposition groups. Dated Sunday, September 22, 2013.

Government Exhibit 3003. Look at the top quarter.

Again, to publish. It's an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Senator

Skelos. Subject line: Forward members. New Yorkers against fracking. From Sunday, September 22, 2013.

To publish, Government Exhibit 3004. It's an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Senator Skelos. Subject: Forward fracking info. Date: Sunday, September 22, 2013.

And the last in this sequence, Government Exhibit 3005 to publish. An e-mail from Senator Skelos to Adam Skelos.

Subject: Editorial fracking decision needs a timeframe. Dated September 24, 2013.

Q. Now at this stage, Mr. White, how important of a priority

FBU9SKE4

1	was fracking to AbTech as a company?
2	A. It was an important priority.
3	Q. What was important about it to the company?
4	A. You mean fracking in general? Or in New York?
5	Q. Well let me restate the question. With respect to
6	opportunities in New York, how if at all was that a priority of
7	the company?
8	A. Well, in New York at first fracking was not really a
9	priority for AbTech. It was really not on the radar screen.
10	But then Glenn did communicate to me that he had spoken with
11	some board members and he did feel that it should be something
12	that we should focus on. So I would say the priority sort of
13	shifted around this time period and it became one.
14	(Continued on next page)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 BY MR. MASIMORE:
- 2 | Q. And Mr. White, with the Court's permission I will hand you
- 3 | a packet of documents in evidence, 2541, 2555, 2563, 2586,
- 4 | 2587, 2589, 2590, 2598 and 2134.
- 5 Mr. White, if you could flip through those, please.
- 6 Do you recognize those?
- 7 | A. Yes, I do.
- 8 | Q. In general, what are those?
- 9 A. Emails to me from Adam showing various links to various
- 10 | articles relating to fracking water treatment and some of the
- 11 political issues in New York regarding it.
- 12 MR. MASIMORE: If we could publish 2541 briefly.
- 13 | Q. You see that's an email from Adam to you, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And it forwards a message from Senator Skelos to Adam
- 16 | Skelos forwarding a link?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Showing you 2586. Do you see that's an email from Adam
- 19 | Skelos to Senator Skelos and subject line: Capital
- 20 confidential, petroleum institute plans new campaign to boost
- 21 development?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. 2587. Email from Adam Skelos to you and Senator Skelos,
- 24 | correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And the subject is: Caution on fracking wise, the observation deck.
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. This is from April 18, 2014?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 MR. MASIMORE: Pull up 2590, please.
- 7 Q. And this is from May 12, 2014 from Adam Skelos to you and
- 8 | Senator Skelos, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And says -- the subject line is: Fed gov failed to inspect
- 11 | higher risk oil wells?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. 2598, please, in evidence. Email from Adam Skelos to
- 14 | Senator Skelos, Ann Marie Skelos and you, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. And the subject is: How fracking flows are killing New
- 17 | York jobs?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Did you ever discuss fracking with Ann Marie Skelos?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2555 in evidence,
- 22 please.
- 23 | Q. So there Adam writes to you on November 20, 2013: Any word
- 24 | yet on my contract?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. What did you understand Adam Skelos to be talking about when he referred to "my contract?"
 - A. At that time what he's referring to is he had asked me to speak to Glenn about a contract for him to be a sales agent essentially of AbTech products for treatment for frack water for New York State, and so he's asking if I have heard anything
- Q. Did you participate in any negotiations concerning acontract for Adam Skelos to be paid with respect to fracking?
- 10 | A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

11 | Q. And who did you negotiate with?

back from Glenn or the board.

- 12 A. With Adam Skelos.
- Q. With the Court's permission, I'm handing you what is in evidence as Government Exhibits 2544, 2545, 2553, 2554, 2562, 2582A, 2582B and 2582C.
- Do you have those in front of you, Mr. White?
- 17 | A. Yes, I do.
- 18 | Q. Do you recognize these documents?
- 19 | A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. What do you recognize him to be?
- A. They are emails from Adam to me, and from myself to Adam relating -- or where he's attaching his proposed contract between his consulting firm and AbTech to promote AbTech
- 24 products in New York for oil and gas.
- 25 MR. MASIMORE: And could we please pull up for the

- 1 jury Government Exhibit 2545 in evidence.
- 2 | Q. Is this one of those documents?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, it is.}$
- 4 | Q. Turn to page 2, please, the top left paragraph.
- 5 You see it's a draft agreement dated as of October
- 6 | blank, 2013, between Rockville Strategy LLC and AbTech
- 7 | Industries?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What was Rockville Strategy LLC?
- 10 A. Adam's consulting firm.
- 11 | Q. How do you know that?
- 12 A. He told me. It was the first thing he told me when I first
- 13 | met him.
- 14 | Q. And page 6 of this exhibit, you see there's an Annex A
- 15 | regarding products, territory and compensation?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And what is this portion of the draft agreement?
- 18 A. It's a portion that contains basically a list of the
- 19 products he would promote, what the territory is and what the
- 20 commission -- sales commission would be.
- 21 || Q. And here the sales commission proposed is a dollar per
- 22 | barrel fracked and/or hydrofracked?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2582A in evidence,
- 25 please.

- 1 Q. Is this another one of the documents?
- $2 \parallel A$. Yes, it is.
- 3 | Q. And this is dated -- if we go to the header information,
- 4 | this is from you to Adam Skelos on February 24th, 2014?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 0. And what was this attachment?
- 7 A. It's an attachment of an amendment to his existing
- 8 consulting agreement that was basically what AbTech wanted to
- 9 do instead of a whole new contract.
- 10 | Q. If we go to page 4 of the document, what does that chart in
- 11 | the middle reflect?
- 12 A. It reflects the counter proposal, I suppose, in terms of
- 13 | the commission structure for Adam Skelos.
- MR. MASIMORE: And if we could pull up 2582C in
- 15 | evidence as well, please.
- 16 | Q. Do you recognize this?
 - A. Yes, it's an email from Adam to myself.
- 18 | Q. And the subject is: Revised contracts, see you soon.
- 19 A. Yes.

- 20 MR. MASIMORE: Turn to page 4, focus on the chart.
- 21 Q. And how, if at all, is this chart different than the
- 22 previous proposal?
- 23 | A. This includes a percentage in the scenario where the price
- 24 per barrel is a dollar less. Previously it was zero percent,
- 25 and in this case it's five percent of profit.

- Q. Did there come a time when AbTech and Adam Skelos agreed on he compensation related to fracking?
 - A. Yes.

MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, permission to publish Government Exhibit 2809 in evidence.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MASIMORE: If we could focus from the top down to last part highlighted. Just for publishing purposes, the bottom part is an email from Scott Stevens to Senator Skelos, and part of it says — in the second paragraph it says: Tom and I took a closer look at the poll.

May I have a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause)

MR. MASIMORE: And the second full paragraph of the middle email says: Tom and I took a closer look at the poll on the issue of fracking. Question 36 in the survey reads Adam Haber owns multiple out-of-state oil and natural gas companies that use the controversial hydrofracking extraction process that some believe is responsible for contaminating drinking water supplies. And then it reports that among independents the much less likely is 48, while among soft Democrats the much less likely is 58. That would be the target of this mailing, so it's probably good the way it is.

And then Senator Skelos responds: This one okay.

- 1 BY MR. MASIMORE:
- 2 | Q. Mr. White, earlier today you testified about a three-way
- 3 | call you participated in with Senator Skelos and Adam Skelos
- 4 | after Hurricane Sandy. Do you recall that?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. Have you ever met Senator Skelos in person?
- 7 A. One time, yes.
- 8 | Q. When did you meet Senator Skelos in person?
- 9 A. It was actually October 31st, 2013.
- 10 | Q. How is it that you are able to remember the specific date
- 11 | that you met with Senator Skelos?
- 12 | A. Because we had the kick-off meeting with Nassau County on
- 13 | that day to start the contract execution. And that's the day I
- 14 was going to met with Adam, and I happened to meet the senator
- 15 | that day, too, after the kick-off meeting.
- 16 Q. So how did it come to be that you met Senator Skelos?
- 17 A. Well, after our engineering kick off with the county I was
- 18 going to meet with Adam a bit and discuss how things were
- 19 going. And he said he was at his father's district office, and
- 20 | so if I could meet him there and we would go from there. And
- 21 when I got there he asked me if I wanted to meet his father
- 22 because his father was in the office.
- 23 | Q. Had you ever been to district office of Senator Skelos
- 24 before?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 | Q. How did you know how to get there?
 - A. Adam gave me the address and directions.
- 3 Q. What happened when you arrived at Senator Skelos' district
- 4 office?

- 5 A. Well, when I arrived I parked, I walked in. Adam came out
- 6 to lobby. I said: Are you ready to go? He said: Actually my
- 7 | father is here, would you like to meet him? I said: Sure. We
- 8 went back to a conference room where the senator was with an
- 9 aid, and then that's where I met him.
- 10 Q. What happened after you entered the conference room with
- 11 | Senator Skelos, his aid, and Adam Skelos?
- 12 A. The senator said to the aid that he doesn't need to be
- 13 there, and so the aid left. And then senator said: So tell me
- 14 about your fracking solution.
- 15 | Q. And what did you tell the senator?
- 16 A. I told him about the solution AbTech had and why it was
- 17 | useful, beneficial, unique. I told him about how we had been
- 18 | working with various oil and gas companies and teaming
- 19 partners. So that's basically what I told him.
- 20 | Q. And what, if anything, did Senator Skelos say in reply?
- 21 A. He said that sounds good, and he said that he thinks the
- 22 | fracking moratorium in New York has got to be lifted. And he
- 23 | said that the Democrats have to give something to -- or sorry,
- 24 | the governor has to give something to upstate New York in terms
- of some kind of economic boom, and that this would be it.

counsels.

1372

MR. MASIMORE: The government offers Government 1 Exhibit 2182 pursuant to stipulation Government Exhibit 12. 2 3 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2182 is received 4 without objection. 5 (Government's Exhibit 2182 received in evidence) 6 MR. MASIMORE: Permission to publish, your Honor? 7 THE COURT: Yes. MR. MASIMORE: Starting from the bottom half, to 8 9 publish, your Honor, it's an email from EA Interns, email 10 address at cany.org, April 17, 2014 to Leslie King, subject fracking lobby day appointment request. 11 12 It states: Hi, Leslie, my name is Patrick with 13 Environmental Advocates of New York. We are planning a 14 fracking lobby day on behalf of New York citizens for Monday, 15 May 12. We are requesting a half hour appointment with the senator for some time between 11 and 2:30 with preference for 16 17 the afternoon, if possible. The email continues, and if we go to top, the middle 18 part there: Leslie King/Senate sends to Robert Mujica and 19 20 Elizabeth Garvey on April 17, and she writes for your 21 recommendation, and then at the top, Robert Mujica responds --22 MR. GAGE: Your Honor, I will note my objection. 23 THE COURT: Overruled. 24 MR. MASIMORE: Robert Mujica writes: DGS no, 25

- 1 BY MR. MASIMORE:
- 2 | Q. Mr. White, approximately how long did you meet with Senator
- 3 | Skelos on October 31st?
- 4 A. Maybe like 10, 15 minutes.
- 5 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2302 for the
- 6 witness, please.
- 7 | Q. Do you recognize this?
- 8 | A. Yes, I do.
- 9 | Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 10 A. It is a presentation given to the New York Department of
- 11 | Health on AbTech's water treatment solution for oil and gas.
- 12 Q. How do you know that?
- 13 A. Because I was one of the presenters.
- MR. MASIMORE: The government offers Government
- 15 | Exhibit 2302.
- MR. GAGE: No objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2302 is received
- 18 | without objection.
- 19 (Government's Exhibit 2302 received in evidence)
- 20 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up the first page,
- 21 please.
- 22 | Q. Mr. White, what was the purpose of your meeting with the
- 23 department of health?
- 24 A. Well, the department of health was meeting with different
- 25 companies that had technical solutions and engineering

5

6

7

8

9

10

White - direct

- solutions for water management, and we wanted to be one of
 them. And by doing so, ultimately AbTech's hope would be that
 the department of health would be convinced there --
 - MR. GAGE: Objection, your Honor. It's not responsive.

THE COURT: Ask another question.

- Q. Let's break it down, Mr. White. First of all, what was the business purpose of AbTech for scheduling a meeting with the department of health?
- MR. GAGE: Sorry, your Honor, I object again.
- 11 THE COURT: If he knows.
- 12 | Q. Mr. White, were you present at the meeting?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Are you familiar with how the meeting got scheduled?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And how did the meeting get scheduled?
- A. Adam had spoken to Rob Mujica, who had given him I believe a contact at DOH, and ultimately someone from the senate emailed me and said that -- and gave me the person to contact
- 20 at DOH to set up one of these meetings.
- 21 MR. MASIMORE: The government offers 2128 pursuant to 22 Stipulation 12.
- 23 | THE COURT: I take it there's no objection.
- MR. GAGE: No, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2128 is received

- 1 | without objection.
- 2 (Government's Exhibit 2128 received in evidence)
- 3 MR. MASIMORE: If we could publish for the jury,
- 4 please.
- 5 Q. Do you see at the top it says: DOH meeting from Elizabeth
- 6 | Garvey/Senate and two, do you recognize the email address?
- 7 A. Yes, it's my AbTech email.
- 8 | Q. And Ms. Garvey writes: Mr. White, Robert Mujica gave me
- 9 | your contact information. In order to arrange the meeting,
- 10 | please contact Jim Clancy, he's awaiting your call.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. What did you do after you received this email from Beth
- 13 | Garvey?
- 14 A. At some point after that I called Jim Clancy.
- 15 | Q. And why did you call Jim Clancy?
- 16 A. To arrange a meeting.
- 17 MR. MASIMORE: The government offers 2131 pursuant to
- 18 Stipulation 12.
- 19 | THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2131 is received
- 20 | without objection.
- 21 (Government's Exhibit 2131 received in evidence)
- 22 | Q. You see, Mr. White, at the top it says: Email from
- 23 | Elizabeth Garvey to you?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you recall the email?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. And at the bottom, the email chain starts Friday, March 7,
- 3 | 2014 at 7:57 a.m. Ms. Garvey wrote: Mr. White, just following
- 4 | up, did you ever make contact with Jim Clancy? Please let me
- 5 know.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And you responded: Hi, thanks for following up. Yes, I
- 8 did this week, and we're working on scheduling. I tried him
- 9 | last week but he was out all week with the flu. Hence, the
- 10 delay. Thanks for arranging.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. What were you referring to?
- 13 A. Calling Jim Clancy to arrange the meeting.
- 14 | Q. And then Ms. Garvey responds: Perfect. Let us know how we
- 15 can be helpful going forward.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Now did there come a time when you did meet with the
- 18 department of health?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And what was the reason that you set up the meeting with
- 21 | the department of health?
- 22 | A. To brief them on AbTech's water treatment solution for the
- 23 | oil and gas industry.
- 24 | Q. What, if any, business purpose did you have in briefing the
- 25 DOH about that issue?

1 MR. GAGE: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: I'll permit it. 2 3 The hope for AbTech was to persuade or be part of the 4 companies that persuade DOH there's a responsible way to treat 5 water so that they would recommend that the moratorium can be 6 for fracking can be lifted provided that water is treated 7 responsibly. MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up, just for the 8 9 witness and counsel, please, Government Exhibit 3216. BY MR. MASIMORE: 10 11 Mr. White, do you recognize this exhibit? 12 A. Yes, I do. It's the form they gave me at DOH at the 13 beginning of the meeting. 14 Q. And there's some handwriting on this form. Do you recognize the handwriting? 15 A. Yes, it's my handwriting. 16 17 MR. MASIMORE: The government offers Government Exhibit 3216. 18 19 MR. GAGE: No objection, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 3216 is received 21 without objection. 22 (Government's Exhibit 3216 received in evidence) 23 MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, pursuant to stipulation the 24 government offers 2177, 2111, 2116, and 2124. 25 THE COURT: No objection?

- FBUTSKE5 White - direct 1 MR. GAGE: If I could take a quick look. 2 (Pause) 3 MR. GAGE: No objection, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Government Exhibits 2177, 2111, 2116 and 5 2124 are received without objection. 6 (Government's Exhibits 2177, 2111, 2116 and 2124 7 received in evidence) MR. MASIMORE: Permission to publish, your Honor? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MR. MASIMORE: 2177, for publishing, email from Adam 11 Skelos to Robert Mujica at a particular email address, 12 January 24th, 2014, subject, oil and gas water management, and 13 there's a link. 14 BY MR. MASIMORE: 15 Q. Mr. White, do you recognize the link that's provided in 16 this particular email? 17 A. Yes, I do, it's AbTech's web page giving an overview of the 18 oil and gas water treatment solution.
- 19 Q. And how are you familiar with that particular web page?
 - A. Because I wrote it.

- 21 Q. Government Exhibit 2111 for publishing. This is a
- January 28, 2014 email, Robert Mujica to Elizabeth Garvey, and
- 23 do you recognize the link here?
- 24 A. Yes, it's that same link we just discussed.
- MR. MASIMORE: And if we could publish 2116, please.

White - direct

- Q. We have an email, January 29, 2014, from Elizabeth Garvey to James M. Clancy. And do you recognize the link that's being provided?
 - A. Yes, it's that same link.

MR. MASIMORE: And lastly for publishing, 2124, and if we could start at the bottom February 19, 2014, at 9:46 a.m., it's an email from Elizabeth Garvey writing: Is there a contact here? DOH is now willing to set up the meeting.

And then above that, February 21st, 2014 at 7:37 p.m., Robert Mujica wrote office, and then gives a 480-4000 number, that's the number that DOH should call and ask for Bjornulf.

BY MR. MASIMORE:

- Q. Do recognize the number that Mr. Mujica is giving Ms. Garvey?
- 15 A. Yes, AbTech's main office number.

MR. MASIMORE: And then up the next email from that, February 21st, 2014, 7:39 p.m., Elizabeth Garvey wrote: I left message for him today, asked him to call Clancy. If you would like, I can have Clancy call Bjornulf.

Next email up the chain, February 21, 2014, 7:41 p.m., Robert Mujica wrote: Either way is fine, I just want to make sure they connect.

Then lastly at the top, it's a February 21, 2014 email, 7:46 p.m. from Elizabeth Garvey to Robert Mujica: Yes, will do.

- 1 BY MR. MASIMORE:
- 2 Q. Who was at the meeting where you made the presentation to
- 3 department of health?
- 4 A. I was there, a technical consultant for AbTech was there,
- 5 | Jim Clancy was there, and then the MD who was a DOH employee
- 6 who was heading up the study on solutions for water treatment
- 7 was there.
- 8 | Q. Approximately how long did the meeting last?
- 9 A. I would say an hour and a half, perhaps, something like
- 10 | that. It was pretty long.
- 11 | Q. So we spent some time discussing fracking from fall 2013 to
- 12 | May 2014, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And we talked about the stormwater contract that was
- 15 | finalized in October 2013, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Going back to stormwater contract and going back to
- 18 October 2013, did the contract proceed smoothly after Nassau
- 19 | County fully executed it?
- 20 A. No, it did not.
- 21 | Q. In the early stages of the contract through July 2014, what
- 22 were the main types of problems AbTech was having with respect
- 23 | to the stormwater contract?
- 24 A. AbTech was having delays just in executing the project
- 25 | because it was just sort of -- each step required certain

- things from the county in terms of meetings or approvals, and those were just not happening very quickly. So for that entire amount of time AbTech was sort of stuck in task order one, which it could have done in a matter of a few weeks.
 - Q. And what effect, if any, did it have on AbTech's business?
 - A. Well, I mean from the perspective of billing, AbTech was not able to bill beyond task order one, but it also slowed just overall execution of the contract.
 - MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2577A, which is in evidence, please.
 - Q. From October 2013 through July 2014, had AbTech been engaged in some work and incurring some expenses with respect to the stormwater contract?
- 14 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 15 Q. And do you recognize this exhibit, 2577A?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 | Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- A. It's an email from Lane Castleton, the CFO of AbTech,
 attaching the first invoice and sending it to Nassau County.
- 20 | Q. This is January 2014?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Turn to the second page of the exhibit.
- In that second column from the right, it's called
- 24 hours?
- 25 A. Yes.

4

7

9

- Q. What does that reflect on this particular invoice, what type of information?
 - A. It reflects the amount of hours that each person has worked, the rate, and then the total amount that's due.
- 5 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2594 in evidence, 6 please.
 - Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 8 | A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 10 A. It's an email from Lane Castleton to Glenn Rink, myself,
- 11 | Jonathan Thatcher, describing the first payment from Nassau
- 12 | County has been received by AbTech.
- 13 Q. And this is from June 11, 2014?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. How much was the first payment that AbTech got from Nassau
- 16 | County?
- 17 A. Well, AbTech received \$54,602.
- 18 | Q. Directing your attention to July 2014, did there come a
- 19 | time when Nassau County held a press conference related to the
- 20 stormwater contract?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. How did that come -- well, how, if at all, were you
- 23 | involved in that press conference coming to be?
- 24 A. I was involved by -- well, Glenn Rink set a media strategy
- 25 and asked me to help in terms of making certain parts occur.

- Q. What, if anything, did you do in response to Mr. Rink's instructions?
- 3 A. Well, at first Glenn wanted to have just a site out in
- 4 Nassau County where he could have film crews go and film, and
- 5 he had arranged with The Weather Channel to interview him in
- 6 New York, and then to go out and film a site. So he asked me
- 7 | to work with Adam and see if we could work with the county on
- 8 | finding a site that the film crew could go and film a
- 9 representative site.
- 10 Q. What did you do after Mr. Rink asked you to work with Adam
- 11 on that task?
- 12 A. I told Adam about Glenn's idea, and that we were trying to
- 13 | find a site that we could film.
- 14 | Q. When was the press conference?
- 15 | A. It was in July 2014, I don't remember the exact date.
- 16 Q. And who participated, to best of your recollection?
- 17 | A. It was county executive Ed Mangano, Shila Shah, Ken Arnold,
- 18 | myself, and then there were two or three people that I didn't
- 19 know.
- 20 | Q. And who, if anyone, gave prepared remarks during the press
- 21 | conference?
- 22 A. Ed Mangano.
- 23 | Q. What, if any, role did you have in preparing Ed Mangano's
- 24 remarks as delivered at the press conference?
- 25 A. Well, his communications director asked me for background

1

2

on the project, so I wrote up a background in bullet form on the project and sent it to the communications director who used it for the prepared remarks.

3

MR. MASIMORE: And if we could pull up for the witness and counsel, please, Government Exhibit 4830.

6

5

Q. Do you recognize what is depicted in that photograph?

7

A. Yes, I do, it's the press conference we just mentioned.

8

Q. How are you able to recognize that as the press conference?

9

A. Because I attended and was there and I am in the photograph.

10 11

MR. MASIMORE: The government offers Government Exhibit 4830.

12 13

MR. GAGE: No objection, your Honor.

14

THE COURT: Government Exhibit 4830 is received without objection.

1516

(Government's Exhibit 4830 received in evidence)

17

MR. MASIMORE: If we could publish that, please.

18

left to right, to the extent you know, can you identify the

Q. On the right side of the photograph, I guess going from

1920

individuals, starting with the gentleman I believe in a blue

21

shirt to the left of the photograph within the photograph?

22

A. Sure. Well, the first gentleman I don't know. The second

23

I don't know. Then it's me. Then it's County Executive Ed Mangano. The two blurred gentlemen I don't know. And then

2425

Commissioner Shaw is standing to the left of Ed Mangano, and

5

6

7

8

9

15

- all the way to right of the photograph is Ken Arnold, the assistant to commissioner.
- 3 | Q. And where did this press conference take place?
 - A. It took place in one of the county buildings in Long Island in Nassau County.
 - MR. MASIMORE: If we could zoom out from this photograph to see the whole exhibit.
 - Q. Focusing on the left side there, do you recognize the individual seated there?
- 10 A. Yes, that's Adam Skelos.
- Q. Did you have an understanding of AbTech's business purpose for having the press conference?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What was the basis for your understanding?
 - A. Glenn's statements to me about why he wanted to do it.
- Q. And what did you learn about the reasons for doing the press conference?
- 18 A. Twofold. He wanted to bring attention to the project, and
- 19 he also wanted to use it to help move things along by sort of
- 20 | bringing more profile and attention to the project in hopes
- 21 | that Nassau County would expedite the installation process.
- 22 | Q. Did the press conference work as planned?
- 23 A. No, it did not.
- 24 | Q. What happened?
- 25 A. Well, after the press conference the county became very

contract.

White - direct

- focused on doing one installation in particular at the Bay Park
 site, one of the stormwater outflow pipes there, which was the
 site that was filmed. And so, if anything, it drew more
 attention to one site as opposed to moving along with the whole
 - Q. And was doing the work on one site first consistent with the terms of the contract with the county?
- 8 | A. No.

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 9 Q. And how, if at all, was that going to impact AbTech's
 10 business to focus on one site versus proceeding as planned with
 11 the contract?
 - A. Well, if the county were in fact to proceed just with the one site and that would if that were to delay the overall contract, then that would actually impact AbTech in that perhaps one site would get installed quicker but less revenue would come to AbTech in the immediate term because it's one site versus ten.
 - Q. After the press conference, did you have an opportunity to speak with Chief Deputy County Executive Rob Walker?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- Q. And how were you able to speak with him? Was it by phone, was it in person?
- A. I met with him. It was the first time I met him, the only time.
- 25 Q. Where was that?

4

7

- A. It was his office building in Nassau County.
- 2 | Q. Approximately when did you meet with Rob Walker?
- 3 A. August 2014.
 - Q. And who was at the meeting?
- 5 A. It was Rob Walker, Commissioner Shaw, Assistant to
- 6 Commissioner Ken Arnold, Adam Skelos, and myself.
 - Q. And what was discussed at the meeting?
- 8 A. Well, we discussed -- Rob Walker discussed that he wanted
- 9 to proceed with this first site. In particular, he said that
- 10 | the county had submitted for funding for all of the sites, but
- 11 | that the county wanted in particular to get moving on this one
- 12 | site, and that he had allocated county funds to be able to move
- 13 | forward with that single site in the amount of \$400,000.
- 14 | Q. What, if anything, did Rob Walker say about where the
- 15 | \$400,000 came from?
- 16 A. He didn't say anything about where it came from.
- 17 | Q. And what, if anything, did you say in response to Rob
- 18 | Walker's statements about the 400,000?
- 19 A. I told him I don't know where \$400,000 is coming from. We
- 20 | haven't even done engineering, scoping or pricing, so I have no
- 21 | idea whether that's an amount we can commit to or if that will
- 22 | actually cover the cost. So I said I'm not comfortable with
- 23 | this random number.
- 24 | Q. You just mentioned engineering, scoping and pricing in
- 25 relation to it.

Α. Yes.

- Can you explain to the jury what you meant by that, and why 2 Q.
- 3 that had anything to do with the proposal on the 400,000?
- 4 Well, typically the order would be that the company,
- 5 meaning AbTech, would analyze the site, estimate what is
- 6 needed, do an engineering design, and do a costing, and that
- 7 would lead to a dollar amount. And then they would propose
- that and the county could decide if they were going to approve 8
- 9 it or not. But this was sort of backwards, they were just
- 10 throwing a number out and there, and I had no idea if that
- 11 would cover what they wanted us to do.
- 12 What, if anything, was discussed at the meeting concerning
- 13 design build?
- 14 A. Well, at one point while I was discussing the 400,000 we
- discussed the main contract as well and how we would proceed, 15
- and in general, as we were talking about sort of next steps, 16
- 17 that's when Ken Arnold brought up that we still don't have
- design build authorization on this contract. 18
- 19 And what did you say in response to that?
- 20 I said just generally well, yes, but we haven't gotten to
- 21 point where we need to submit for approval for that.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2605, which is in 22
- 23 evidence, please.
- 24 Q. And do you recognize this as an email from Adam Skelos to
- you from July 14, 2014? 25

1

- Α. Yes, I do.
- And if we could focus on sort of the bottom half your email 2 Q.
- 3 to Adam, in the second full paragraph it starts: We're running
- 4 into some serious problems with the county, and I'm not really
- 5 sure who to go to on this.
 - What did you mean by that?
- 7 That we -- there were some discussions where there was
- disagreement. Essentially AbTech wanted to proceed with the 8
- 9 full contract, all the sites at the time and not be distracted
- 10 by a single site, whereas Nassau County was wanting to really
- 11 be focused on the single site.
- The next paragraph beginning, "Brian said he doesn't think 12
- 13 money will every show up, so we might as well do a small pipe
- 14 with the 400K," what did you mean by that?
- A. Brian was an engineer, I believe, or program manager within 15
- the public works department, and apparently he had said to Matt 16
- Jones, who was our project engineer, that as one argument for 17
- 18 proceeding with the first site, who knows what will happen with
- the rest of the funding so let's at least proceed with this 19
- 20 first one.
- 21 Q. And lastly, the fourth paragraph from the bottom, two
- 22 lines, you write: They should be doing things like preparing
- 23 design build authorization materials and putting the package
- 24 from the county side to get money.
- 25 Yes. Α.

- Q. What were you referring to?
- 2 A. Nassau County, based on the contract, what kind of things
- 3 | they should be doing under the contract.
- 4 | Q. Are you familiar with a company called Corvias Solutions?
- 5 A. Yes, I am.
- 6 Q. How are you familiar with Corvias Solutions?
- 7 A. They are a company that I set up a teaming relationship
- 8 with AbTech.
- 9 Q. And can you explain to the jury what you mean by a teaming
- 10 relationship?
- 11 A. Yes, Corvias Solutions was a larger company that does large
- 12 | infrastructure projects. They do public private partnerships.
- 13 | They do things like building military housing. And so AbTech
- 14 and AEWS Engineering and Corvias Solutions were basically going
- 15 to form a team, define their roles, then go after stormwater P3
- 16 opportunities as a team together.
- 17 | Q. When did you start participating in putting that teaming
- agreement together with Corvias, AbTech and AEWS?
- 19 | A. Around June 2014.
- 20 | Q. And when was it finalized, if ever?
- 21 A. In August 2014.
- 22 | Q. What was the purpose of having this agreement between those
- 23 | three companies?
- 24 A. Well, Corvias was much larger, deep experience in financing
- 25 P3s, had deployed several billion dollars in debt, meaning that

- it had built several billion dollars worth of infrastructure
 projects on a P3 basis. So having Corvias in place, we really
 sort of answered the how do we privately finance this
 infrastructure question. So it was a much stronger team
 collectively.
 - Q. What effect, if any, did the Corvias teaming agreement have and the ability of AbTech and AEWS to participate in P3 stormwater projects?
 - A. It expanded the ability, because now there was a defined and experienced private financing partner.
 - Q. What efforts, if any, did AEWS, AbTech and Corvias make to pursue P3 projects in New York after the teaming agreement was negotiated, signed, and beyond?
 - A. We made many efforts. We planned strategy, we looked at the market, we met with several -- we met with two municipalities and planned on meeting with others, and then eventually started discussing advocating for stormwater P3 legislation for New York after we had met with some of these municipalities.
 - Q. What role, if any, did Adam Skelos have in that effort?
 - A. Well, in respect to -- or with respect to the meetings with municipalities, including setting ones up in the future, he was the one setting those up and attending.
 - Q. And did Adam Skelos perform those duties pursuant to his consulting agreement with AbTech and AEWS?

Α. Yes.

- Now what was the response from municipalities to AbTech, 2 Q.
- 3 AEWS, Corvias' presentations related to these P3s?
- The combined team was well received. 4 A. Very positive.
- 5 municipalities liked that we had a private financing solution.
- 6 They said they wanted to move forward. The concern they had
- 7 was that stormwater -- sorry, P3s generally and design, build,
- operate contracts were not generally allowed in New York at the 8
- 9 municipal level, and they didn't want to really pursue one off
- 10 approvals. So they expressed concern there and wanted to see
- 11 legislation broadly.
- 12 What was the issue related to the approval of P3 as it
- 13 related to these presentations?
- 14 A. Well, the issue was that with respect to the customers we
- 15 were meeting with, potential customers, meaning cities, they
- were not authorized under New York State law to enter into a 16
- 17 stormwater P3 without some kind of specific approval.
- 18 So what was necessary for these projects to move forward?
- 19 We would need that legislative approval or state approval.
- 20 MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, I'm about to go into
- 21 another topic area. I'm happy to continue as long as the Court
- 22 likes, or if this is a good time for a break.
- 23 THE COURT: Either is fine. Why don't we take a
- 24 15-minute break.
- 25 (Continued on next page)

FBUTSKE5 White - direct (Jury not present) THE COURT: If counsel have nothing, we're on a break until 3:30. MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, with the Court's permission, we may be getting to some recordings soon. May we have our paralegals distribute binders? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MASIMORE: Thank you. (Recess taken) (Continued on next page)

Yes.

Α.

White - direct

1 (Jury not present) 2 MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, may I bring in the witness? 3 THE COURT: Yes, please. 4 We are ready for the jury. 5 (Jury present) BJORNULF WHITE, resumed. 6 7 THE COURT: Members of the Jury, you'll see transcript notebooks at your seats. I remind you that you should turn 8 9 only to the transcript that's mentioned to you by counsel. 10 Please have a seat. 11 You may proceed. 12 MR. MASIMORE: Thank you, your Honor. 13 BY MR. MASIMORE: 14 Q. Mr. White, do you recall before the break you had testified about the teaming agreement with Corvias and sort of what you 15 had testified was a perceived need for some sort of approval 16 17 related to P3s. 18 A. Yes. Q. You also recall before the break testifying about fracking 19 20 and having a meeting with the Department of Health? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. And was AbTech, after that meeting with the Department of 23 Health, sort of in a wait-and-see mode with respect to whether 24 the state would approve fracking?

- Q. Directing your attention to the fall of 2014. Did there come a time when AbTech and its partners sought to work with lobbyists?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

- Q. And who raised the issue with respect to using lobbyists?
- 6 A. Adam did, first.
- 7 | Q. And how did it come up?
- A. He mentioned that if we, meaning AbTech and Corvias, wanted
 to pursue state legislation in Albany that we needed to bring
 or ought to bring a lobbying group on board to help us with
- 11 that.
- Q. And from your conversations with Adam Skelos did you
 develop an initial understanding of what the purpose of using
 lobbyists was going to be?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And from those conversations what was your initial understanding of the purpose?
- A. That they were going to help us with crafting the
 messaging, crafting what the language that we would ask for
 looked like, identifying which members we should meet with,
 helping set up meetings with those members.
- Q. Did there come a time when your understanding of the purpose of using the lobbyists changed?
- 24 | A. Yes.

25

Q. And what formed the basis for your change in understanding?

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. Well, statements from Adam as well as over time my understanding of sort of the structure of how things work in New York State.
 - Q. And what did you come to understand then was the purpose of using lobbyists?
 - A. That it was more almost like a front to legitimize the process that was going to be pursued.
 - Q. When you say --
 - MR. GAGE: Your Honor, I'd object and move to strike that.
- 11 THE COURT: Just a moment.
- 12 I'll strike that and ask you to ask a more pointed question.
- Q. You testified, Mr. White, that you had an initial understanding of the purpose of using lobbyists, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And that was based on conversations you had with Adam Skelos?
- 19 A. Yes. And with the lobbying group also.
- Q. As time went on did you have an opportunity to participate in meetings and discussions with lobbyists?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And as time went on did you have an opportunity to participate in discussions with Adam Skelos?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And did those discussions relate in any way to lobbying activities and what was happening with respect to that?
 - A. Yes.

3

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And on the basis of those discussions you had personally with Adam Skelos, did your understanding of what the purpose of the lobbyists was change?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And how did it change?
- 9 A. It changed such that I did not think that the -- there had
 10 been the need for the lobbyists that had been stated to me
 11 previously.
- 12 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 13 A. That the things that we had presumably hired the lobbyists
 14 for were things that Adam was going to do himself.
 - MR. GAGE: Your Honor, again, I object and move to strike.
- 17 THE COURT: On what ground?
 - MR. GAGE: It's not responsive. If he asks what the lobbyists said to him and he said to the lobbyists, we get the dialogue.
 - MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, I laid the foundation with respect -- these are based on statements from Adam Skelos.
- MR. GAGE: As opposed to a conclusionary statement, your Honor.
 - MR. MASIMORE: Perhaps we could approach.

- 1 | THE COURT: I think you can break it down.
- 2 BY MR. MASIMORE:
- 3 | Q. Mr. White, during the time that you were working with
- 4 lobbyists with respect to AbTech AEWS and Corvias business?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you perform that work?
- 7 A. Yes.

8

- Q. And who did you do it with?
- 9 A. Which lobbying group?
- 10 | 0. Which individuals?
- 11 A. Adam Skelos and the lobbying group that we eventually
- 12 hired.
- 13 | Q. And did you have conversations with Adam Skelos about what
- 14 | the lobbyists were doing?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And during the course of having those conversations -- did
- 17 | those conversations occur over a period of time?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And did you form an understanding of what the lobbyists
- 20 were doing and what their purpose was from what Adam Skelos was
- 21 | telling you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And what was that understanding that you formed?
- MR. GAGE: Your Honor, again, objection. What did
- 25 | they say?

White - direct

1 THE COURT: I overrule.

THE WITNESS: I understood the functions of the lobbying group were not needed because Adam said that even after the lobbying group stopped working for us that he could do those things himself, and I believe he said something to the effect of that he was planning on that anyway. And I learned from things he said to me that he was assisting in setting up meetings behind the scenes and such so, you know, a lot of what I thought the lobbying group was for, to set up these meetings, Adam said that he was arranging them.

- Q. And what, if anything, did Adam Skelos tell you about conversations he had had with his father?
- A. He -- I'm not sure I understand. Just in general?
- 14 | Q. Let me break it down.

With respect to the lobbying -- with respect to the lobbying that went on with -- in 2014 on with respect to P3 legislation and/or fracking. Do you recall that time period?

A. Yes.

- Q. During that time period did Adam Skelos have any conversations with you in which he mentioned conversations he had been having with his father?
- A. Yes. There were various references to his father.
- Q. And what did you understand from Adam's reports of what he had been discussing with his father?
- MR. GAGE: Again, your Honor, I object. What did he

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1400

1 say would be -2 MR. CONIFF: I object for the reasons we discussed

this morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well I'll permit you to go ahead.

THE WITNESS: What should I specifically answer?

- Q. With respect to the information Adam Skelos had reported to you concerning conversations he had had with Senator Skelos,
- 8 what was your understanding of what Adam was telling you?
 - A. I guess it depends on the timeframe. Initially I understood that he was -- Senator Skelos was sort of advising.

 And -- but eventually I would learn that Adam was discussing

with his father sort of, I guess, strategy on who to meet with.

MR. GAGE: Your Honor, objection to "I guess."

THE COURT: If you're merely speculating, don't give us that answer.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I was just -- figure of speech.

Generally that he was discussing strategy, who to meet with, and how to gain support for this.

- Q. Okay. So let's go sort of chronologically at this point.
- Did there come a time when Adam Skelos first mentioned a particular lobbyist?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And what lobbyist did Adam Skelos mention first?
- 25 A. He mentioned Park Strategies.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 | Q. And approximately when was this?
- A. It would have been or it was at some point in September of 2014. I'm not sure exactly when.
 - Q. And to the best of your recollection what, if anything, did

 Adam Skelos tell you about Park Strategies?
 - A. He said that they were a great firm, that we should -- that he recommended, that they were probably the premier firm for lobbying in Albany and that it was headed by a former senator.
 - Q. What, if anything, did Adam Skelos say concerning whether he had met with representatives of Park Strategies?
 - A. He described one meeting that he had with the senator who was sort of the principal with that firm and perhaps some of his colleagues.
- 14 | Q. And what did Adam Skelos tell you about that meeting?
 - A. He said it had not gone that well, that he had doubts about Park Strategies and how focused they would be on AbTech and how effective they would be but, not to worry, he would ask around and try to find another firm. And he thought that there might be something that was equally effective.
 - Q. What happened after Adam Skelos told you about the meeting with Park Strategies?
 - A. Well, a while later he told me that he had found a firm and that he asked around and they were equally effective, if not perhaps more effective than Park Strategies, and that he wanted to set up a meeting with one of their partners.

- 1 | Q. What was the name of that firm?
- 2 A. That was called Capitol Group.
- 3 Q. What happened after Adam Skelos mentioned Capitol Group and
- 4 mentioned wanting to set up a meeting with one of its
- 5 principals?

8

- 6 A. He went ahead and set up a meeting and I met with one of
- 7 | the partners of Capitol Group.
 - Q. Approximately when was the meeting with Capitol Group?
- 9 A. Toward the end of September of 2014.
- 10 | Q. Where did the meeting take place?
- 11 A. It took place at the -- the partner from Capitol Group, a
- 12 | club that he was a member of called -- something like Top of
- 13 the Sixes at the top of a building in Manhattan.
- 14 | Q. Who was at the meeting?
- 15 A. It was a partner by name of Nick Barrella, Adam Skelos, and
- 16 | myself.
- 17 | Q. What did you discuss at the meeting with Nick Barrella and
- 18 | Adam Skelos?
- 19 A. We discussed the stormwater program, P3s. In this case
- 20 | Barrella had prepared a folder of materials where he discussed
- 21 | his clients, some of the high-profile clients he had, various
- 22 | articles he had found about stormwater and P3s, reading
- 23 | material he had about me and AWS Engineering and in general I
- 24 | would say it was sort of like a pitch from Capitol Group.
- 25 | Q. How long did the meeting last?

- A. I would say it lasted for maybe around two hours, something like that. It was a dinner meeting.
- Q. Did you stay at the table for the entire time during the two-hour dinner meeting?
- 5 A. No. I probably -- well I think two times left to use the restroom.
 - Q. You said probably. Do you recall?
- 8 A. It was twice.

7

11

17

18

19

20

21

- 9 Q. After the meeting with Nick Barrella did there come a time
 10 when you entered into an agreement with Capitol Group?
 - A. Yes. We entered into an agreement.
- 12 Q. You say "we." Who do you mean?
- 13 A. Capitol Group and AEW Engineering on behalf of AbTech.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government Exhibit
 2615, please. In evidence.
- 16 Q. Do you recognize this Mr. White?
 - A. This is an e-mail from me to Nick Barrella I just discussed and it's attaching the signed contract I just referenced as well as a lobbying authorization form.
 - Q. If we turn to page three of the document, do you recognize the signature in the lower left corner?
- 22 A. Yes. That's my signature.
- 23 MR. MASIMORE: And if we zoom in to the text of the letter, Government Exhibit 2615.
- 25 | Q. Do you see that the retainer for the period of November 1,

- 1 | 2014 through September 30, 2015 for a fee of \$11,000 per month?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Do you see that?
- Is that how much AEWS was going to be paying Capitol
 Group for lobbying services?
- or out for robbying between
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Did you have an understanding of where AEWS was going to
- 8 get the funds to pay the \$11,000 a month retainer to the
- 9 | lobbyists?
- 10 A. Yes. AEWS was funded by AbTech as a subsidiary.
- MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, at this time if we could
- 12 ask the jury to turn to page 1417.
- THE COURT: Yes. Please turn to 1417.
- 14 | Should the witness turn to it as well?
- 15 MR. MASIMORE: The witness can or the witness can
- 16 | follow along.
- 17 | Q. Mr. White, do you have a transcript binder?
- 18 A. I believe this is it.
- 19 MR. MASIMORE: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
- 20 Q. So it looks like everybody is on 1417 now so if we can --
- 21 speaker is on?
- 22 | THE COURT: It's between? Do you want to say who it's
- 23 between?
- MR. MASIMORE: Thank you, your Honor.
- This is a call dated December 12, 2014. The

5

6

7

8

9

White - direct

- participants are Adam Skelos and the voice mail of Lane
 Castleton.
- 3 Q. Before we play the call. Mr. White, who is Lane Castleton?
 - A. He is this chief financial officer of AbTech.

(Recording played)

- Q. So, Mr. White, at this time in the late fall of 2014 after this agreement was entered into with Capitol Group, AbTech was paying, between Adam Skelos and the lobbyists, \$21,000 per
- 10 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Now if we go back to 2615 in evidence, page three. The
- 12 | text of the main paragraph. And it notes that the
- 13 representation involves issues related to civil and
- 14 environmental engineering and public private partnerships. Do
- 15 you see that?

month?

- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did there come a time when Capitol Group and the lobbyists
- were going to do some lobbying activities related to fracking?
- 19 A. Yes. Later that fall.
- 20 Q. And what was your understanding of the lobbying plan with
- 21 respect to fracking?
- 22 | A. It was essentially that Capitol Group was going to try to
- 23 | set up a meeting with the Department of Environmental
- 24 Conservation.
- 25 | Q. And what was going to be the purpose of AbTech meeting with

- 1 | the Department of Environmental Conservation or the DEC?
- 2 A. The same purpose as why we met with Department of Health,
- 3 | to describe our, meaning AbTech's water treatment solution and
- 4 to try to persuade them that there was a way to responsibly
- 5 | treat water if fracking was permitted.
- 6 MR. MASIMORE: If we could publish what's in evidence,
- 7 | 3046, please.
- Q. Here's an e-mail from Adam Skelos to Dean Skelos forwarding
- 9 a link.
- 10 At the bottom on July 6, 2014 Senator Skelos forwards
- 11 | a link and Adam Skelos replies on July 16, 2014, "We need to
- 12 meet with DEC."
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2599 in evidence,
- 14 please.
- 15 | Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- 16 A. Yes, I do. It's an e-mail from Adam to myself.
- 17 | Q. It's forwarding a link to you, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And it says, Adam says, "Let's reach out to DOH regarding
- 20 setting up a meeting with DEC after our shoot this week"?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What was Adam referring to when he said "our shoot this
- 23 || week"?
- 24 A. That was the film group filming the site out in Nassau
- 25 | County.

- 1 | Q. This e-mail is from July 2014?
- 2 A. Yes.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 3082, please, and
- 4 | publish it. It's in evidence.
- 5 Q. It's at the bottom on September 16, 2014, Senator Skelos
- 6 forwards a link. The subject of the e-mail is Landmark
- 7 | Fracking Study Finds No Water Pollution.
- 8 And then Adam Skelos replies on September 16, 2014,
- 9 | "Need to move on meeting."
- 10 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up 2622 in evidence,
- 11 please. Zoom in on the header information.
- 12 | Q. Mr. White, do you recognize this?
- 13 A. Yes, I do. It's an e-mail from Adam Skelos that I
- 14 received.
- 15 | Q. And it's from Adam Skelos to Nick Barrella, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Tim Sheridan?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Kathryn Hohman?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. And Mike Avella?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And then your bcc'ed?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Who is Mike Avella?

4

5

- A. He was one of the partners working with Nick Barrella on this.
 - Q. When you say "this," what do you mean?
 - A. The engagement that Capitol Group had with AWS.
 - Q. An engagement for what?
- 6 A. For lobbying in Albany.
- 7 | Q. And if we go down -- if we start from the bottom please.
- 8 December 9 Nick Barrella wrote, and he forwards a link. And
- 9 | the subject is health department anticipates fracking review by
- 10 | end of month.
- Do you see Adam replies: Where is our meeting with
- 12 | the DEC?
- 13 And then Mr. Barrella replies: Good question.
- 14 And then at the very top Adam writes: If moratorium
- 15 | is lifted prior to DEC recommendation to reuse one hundred
- 16 percent of water on fracking sites, AbTech's chances of having
- 17 | that regulation implemented into state policy drastically
- 18 decrease. We need to meet with DEC.
- 19 What did you understand Adam to mean by this e-mail?
- 20 | A. Adam believed that the opportunity to persuade DEC that
- 21 | water reuse and recycling should be mandated had to occur
- 22 | before the moratorium was lifted. So he wanted to have that
- 23 | meeting occur.
- 24 | Q. What, if any, significance would it have been to AbTech if
- 25 there had been mandated water reuse and recycling?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

White - direct

- A. It would have -- New York would have become a potential market for AbTech's products for water treatment.
 - MR. MASIMORE: At this time, your Honor, if the jury could turn to 1414.

Ms. Danzo we're going to play an excerpt.

If we could, with respect to this call, direct everyone's attention to page three, line 14.

And 1414 is a call between Adam Skelos and Mr. White dated December 10, 2014.

(Recording played)

MR. MASIMORE: I'm sorry, your Honor. Technical difficulty. Again, this is page three, as printed in the transcript, starting around line 14.

(Recording played)

- Q. So that ends that portion we'll listen to right now.
- Mr. White, first question. Did you understand at the time you were having this conversation with Adam Skelos that calls were being recorded?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. What's the general subject matter that Adam was discussing with you in this call -- in this portion of the call?
- A. He's discussing getting the DEC meeting set up and that
 he's hearing that the moratorium might be lifted around
 Christmastime.
- 25 | Q. And he mentioned at the beginning of the excerpt we

1	listened to somebody named Nick?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Did you understand who he was referring to?
4	A. Yes, Nick Barrella of Capitol Group.
5	MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor permission to publish 1419
6	and ask the jury to turn to 1419T.
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. MASIMORE: Now 1419T is a call two days later,
9	December 12, 2014. It's a call between Adam Skelos and Nick
10	Barrella.
11	(Recording played)
12	MR. MASIMORE: Permission to publish 1420, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	MR. MASIMORE: 1420T, ladies and gentlemen.
15	1420T is a call December 12, 2014 between Adam Skelos
16	and Mike Michael Avella.
17	(Recording played)
18	MR. MASIMORE: Permission to publish 1422, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MR. MASIMORE: 1422T, please.
21	1422T and 1422 is a call December 16, 2014. It's a
22	call between Adam Skelos and Nick Barrella.
23	(Recording played)
24	MR. MASIMORE: Government offers Government Exhibit
25	2183.

21

22

23

24

25

White - direct

1 THE COURT: Any objection? 2 MR. GAGE: I'm sorry, your Honor. Just a moment. 3 (Pause) 4 MR. GAGE: Your Honor, this goes back to the earlier 5 discussions we had. I do object. I can explain more if the Court would like. 6 7 MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, I can set this aside for now and come back another time. 8 9 THE COURT: Good. 10 MR. MASIMORE: Permission to publish 1423, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. MASIMORE: So 1423T, please. 13 1423 is a telephone call December 16, 2014 between 14 Adam Skelos and Mr. Bjornulf White. 15 (Recording played) BY MR. MASIMORE: 16 17 Q. Mr. White, directing your attention to the first page of 18 that transcript, page one, lines 14 to 21. Adam Skelos said, "They're reaching out to -- so 19

here's what happened. The DEC reached out to a few of the senators that are -- that's districts running the Marcellus Shale and they want to make sure that there's not going to be any -- any blowback when they lift the moratorium. So we're using those senators to push the regulations of -- of reusing water on-site."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

White - direct

- Mr. White, what was your understanding of what the plan was with respect to using the senators?
 - A. That the plan was to reach out to the senators and ask them to say that they would want to have assurances that there would be a mandate for water recycling and reuse.

MR. MASIMORE: If we could publish 1424.

So now we're on 1424T, please. We're just going to do an excerpt, the beginning to the third page. Once we're all there. So it's 1424T.

And this is a call on December 16, 2014 between Adam Skelos and Nick Barrella.

We're beginning at the very beginning, page one, and we're going to go through page three, line seven. So we'll just stop it when we get there.

(Recording played)

MR. MASIMORE: We'll stop there.

- Q. Mr. White, there was a reference in this call to a conversation you had with the lobbyists about fracking?
- A. Right.
- Q. Do you recall that conversation?
- 21 A. Generally.
- Q. And generally what do you recall was discussed during that conversation with the lobbyists?
 - A. They just asked me to run through AbTech's water treatment solution and generally water treatment for oil and gas and how

1	it works, and I provided that to them.
2	MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government Exhibit
3	10 in evidence, paragraph six.
4	With the Court's permission I would like to read a
5	paragraph from the stipulation and offer an exhibit but not
6	publish this particular exhibit at this time.
7	Paragraph six of Government Exhibit 10 reads,
8	"Government Exhibit 105 contains toll records for a cellphone
9	subscribed to by Michael A. Avella" with a particular phone
10	number listed there.
11	Your Honor, at this time the government offers
12	Government Exhibit 105.
13	MR. GAGE: No objection.
14	THE COURT: Government Exhibit 105 is received without
15	objection.
16	(Government's Exhibit 105 received in evidence)
17	MR. MASIMORE: If we could go to Government Exhibit
18	1425 which is 1425T in the binders.
19	We'll just do the first page of this. This is a
20	December 16, 2014 call between Adam Skelos and Mr. Bjornulf
21	White.
22	MR. CONIFF: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. Can
23	we be heard at sidebar for just a moment?
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	(Continued on next page)

FBU9SKE7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At the sidebar)

MR. CONIFF: I'm just a little concerned, your Honor. I don't mind for efficiency that we're doing it this way, but playing portion of the call -- and obviously we went through, with some effort, to make sure they were all contextual and everything was included. And I'm trying, as we go through, to read what's, you know, being left out. And I don't know if Mr. Masimore may be going back to some of these and playing them again later which is fine too. But to the extent we're not I feel a little --

THE COURT: Rushed.

MR. CONIFF: -- disadvantaged to now have them cut like that.

MR. MASIMORE: I'm sorry. So not my intent.

MR. CONIFF: I know.

MR. MASIMORE: On 1414 I intend to go back because there's a portion of the conversation about fracking, and then a portion about P3.

With respect to the other ones, my intent was just to cut them down now for time. I'm happy to play the full recording but the only reason I'm excerpting them is just to keep it moving faster because while the other calls are in evidence and certainly before the jury that's the reason I'm not publishing them now.

MR. CONIFF: I guess I'm all for efficiency. I guess

1	all I would say is that, you know, I mean to the extent we
2	believe contextually it needs to be played that we would
3	probably offer it on cross-examination or something which, you
4	know, I just want you to be on notice that we would be putting
5	in another portion of the tape later on. I'm not saying we're
6	going to do it or not but I can't keep up with what we're
7	taking out.
8	MR. MASIMORE: Might I propose if sitting there now if
9	there's something
10	MR. CONIFF: I will.
11	MR. MASIMORE: Just let me know. I'll be happy to
12	play it.
13	MR. CONIFF: I will raise it if I see it.
14	(Continued on next page)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(In open court)
2	MR. MASIMORE: May I proceed, your Honor?
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	MR. MASIMORE: I think we were on Government Exhibit
5	1425, 1425T and we were going to listen to the first page for
6	now.
7	(Recording played)
8	Q. Mr. White, what were you responding to at the beginning of
9	this call where Adam said he was just texting you and you
10	replied that was too soon, no time to maneuver?
11	A. Adam had texted me something to the effect of they're
12	lifting the moratorium and so I was referring to there would be
13	no opportunity to meet with DEC.
14	MR. MASIMORE: If we could publish 1426 and go to
15	1426T.
16	1426 is a call December 16, 2014. It's between Adam
17	Skelos and Michael Avella.
18	(Recording played)
19	(Continued on next page)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

White - direct

MR. MASIMORE: If we could publish 1427. Now going to 1427T, this is a call the same date, December 16, 2014, between Adam Skelos and Nick Barrella.

(Audio recording played)

MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government Exhibit 2625 in evidence, and just focus on the top there.

BY MR. MASIMORE:

- Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- 9 A. Yes, it's an email from Nick Barrella to Adam Skelos and a copy to me.
- Q. And the subject is: Solving stormwater challenges in the Town of Hempstead, and it's to Mike Avella, Kathryn Hohman, Tim
- 13 | Sheridan and you?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Do you know who Kathryn Hohman and Tim Sheridan are?
- 16 A. They both work for Capitol Group.
- 17 | Q. And Nick Barrella writes: Rumors are hot that the governor
- 18 | will talk about fracking at the 11:15 a.m. cabinet meeting.
- 19 Here is the link.
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What did you do after receiving the link to the cabinet
- 22 | meeting?
- 23 A. I watched it.
- MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up for the witness and
- 25 counsel, please, Government Exhibit 4820.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1418

1 Q. Do you recognize what is depicted in that photograph?

- A. Yes, that's a picture of the news conference where the governor announced the decision on fracking.
 - Q. How are you able to recognize it?
- A. I recall watching parts of it and recognizing the room.

MR. MASIMORE: The government offers 4820.

MR. GAGE: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Government Exhibit 4820 is received without objection.

(Government's Exhibit 4820 received in evidence)

- Q. What happened during the meeting with respect to fracking?
- 12 A. The governor announced that the moratorium was not going to
- be lifted, and he announced that after reports by the
- 14 regulators on their findings.
- Q. While you were watching the meeting, did you communicate with anyone?
- 17 A. Yes, I had some text message exchanges with Adam Skelos.
- 18 MR. MASIMORE: If we could bring up Government
- 19 Exhibit 1402, which is in evidence. Focus on the top of this
- 20 page 1.
- 21 | Q. Do you recognize this?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And this is -- what do you recognize it to be?
- 24 A. It's a text message I believe from -- I recall from myself
- 25 | to Adam.

- 1 MR. MASIMORE: If we pull out to the header information. 2
- 3 Q. You see the date is December 17, 2014, and the time is
- 4 12:28.
- Α. 5 Yes.
- What was going on when you sent this text to Adam? 6 0.
- 7 The DOH was reporting on their findings, and it was
- becoming evident that they were not going to recommend that the 8 9 moratorium be lifted.
- 10 MR. MASIMORE: If we go to page 2 of 1402, please.
- 11 0. Do you recognize this?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 What is it? 0.
- 14 It's a text message from myself to Adam saying that the DOH Α.
- 15 is recommending against it.
- And this is three minutes later? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- We'll go to the next page, page 3 of this exhibit. 18
- 19 Do you recognize this as well?
- 20 Yes, that's a text message from myself to Adam saying that
- 21 the DEC is also saying that they are not supporting it.
- 22 Q. And then lastly, last page of Government Exhibit 1402, from
- December 17, 2014 at 12:38. 23
- 24 That's a message from myself to Adam saying that the
- 25 moratorium is not going to be lifted.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

White - direct

- Q. And if we pull up Government Exhibit 1403 in evidence, do you recognize this?
 - A. That's a text message I received from Adam Skelos.

MR. MASIMORE: And if we could go to Government Exhibit 1428, it's already been published to the jury, but if we could just play one excerpt so I may ask a question about it. 1420T. And the portion I would like to play is on page 2,

(Audio recording played)

MR. MASIMORE: And from the first page of 1428T, that's a call December 17, 2014 at 12:39 p.m. between Adam Skelos and Dean Skelos.

13 BY MR. MASIMORE:

lines 18 to 22.

- Q. Mr. White, besides fracking, what, if anything else, was
 Mike Avella working with you and Adam Skelos on?
- 16 A. The stormwater P3 legislation.

MR. MASIMORE: And if we could publish Government Exhibit 1430, so 1430T. This is a call December 17, 2014, same day, at 2:43 p.m. between Adam Skelos and Dean Skelos.

(Audio recording played)

- Q. Now after the governor put the stop to fracking, what, if anything, did you and Adam Skelos focus on next?
- A. The stormwater P3 legislation and setting up meetings with potential customers on Long Island.
 - MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government

- 1 Exhibit 2613 in evidence, please.
- 2 Q. Do you recognize this?
- 3 A. Yes, it's an email from Adam Skelos to myself forwarding an
- 4 article.
- 5 Q. And it's from back on October 31, 2014?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And do you see at the bottom, the email that Adam sent to
- 8 | you, he sent an email to Senator Skelos, and he says: Where is
- 9 | this going? And then he posts a link to a news article. And
- 10 | the subject line says: New York announces 40 million for water
- 11 quality projects.
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Then Adam writes to you: We'll go over this next time we
- 14 | talk. Don't mention it to anyone.
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Do you recall having a conversation with Adam Skelos about
- 17 | this issue?
- 18 A. Not in particular.
- 19 Q. At the end of October 2014 going into November 2014, do you
- 20 | recall generally having conversations with Adam Skelos?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And generally during that time period what did you and Adam
- 23 | Skelos discuss?
- 24 A. Basically which municipalities we should meet with. And at
- 25 | that time we were talking about beginning to plan for the

- 1 | stormwater P3 legislation advocacy. So that was generally it.
- 2 Q. Did there come a time in the late fall 2014 when you
- 3 | learned about a potential pot of money that could potentially
- 4 be used?
- 5 A. Yes. At the end of the year I learned that there was a
- 6 surplus that the state was planning to use for infrastructure
- 7 grants.
- 8 Q. Do you recall how you learned about the surplus?
- 9 A. I recall both Nick Barrella of Capitol Group and Adam
- 10 Skelos mentioning it.
- 11 | MR. MASIMORE: And if we could pull up Government
- 12 Exhibit 2617 in evidence, please. Focus on the top part there,
- 13 | the top email.
- 14 | Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. White?
- 15 A. Yes, that's an email from Nick Barrella to myself and Adam
- 16 | Skelos copying his colleagues.
- 17 | Q. And one of the colleagues is Mike Avella?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that's from November 19, 2014?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Let's start towards the bottom of the first page. Do you
- 22 | see that Nick Barrella is sending you an article entitled:
- 23 | Silver, Keep One Shot Infrastructure Investment Out of the
- 24 Budget?
- 25 A. Yes.

24

25

- If we turn to the next page of the exhibit, the top 1 portion, bolded and underlined, what does that refer to? 2 3 A. The infrastructure funding that would come from the surplus 4 that I just referenced. Q. And if we go back to page 1, on the bottom half, this email 5 6 you received, do you see Adam Skelos on November 19, 2014 7 emailed Nick Barrella stating: Need to leverage P3 model with this push for infrastructure investment. Also need to push for 8 9 to stormwater drainage updates and improvements on Long Island. 10 What did you understand Adam Skelos referring to by 11 leveraging the P3 model with the push for infrastructure 12 investment? 13 A. When the infrastructure surplus was referenced to me, they 14 discussed how this was an opportunity for infrastructure grants. And I suggested that if there was going to be 15 infrastructure grants that a municipality wanted to use for 16 17 stormwater, a good solution would be for them to invest that into their own P3s and then private financing would sort of act 18 as a multiplier on that so that it would become a very big 19 20 project. And so he is referencing, when he says leverage P3 model, that investment into a municipality's own P3. 21 22
 - Q. And do you recall at the very top Nick Barrella saying: strongly recommend that we have a call tomorrow at a time convenient for all to discuss our strategies on P3s and stormwater management?

- White direct
- 1 Α. Yes.
- Do you recall participating in a call? 2 Q.
- 3 Yes, I do. Α.
- 4 And as best you can recall, what did you and the others Q.
- 5 discuss?
- 6 They asked me to, again, go through how that would be
- 7 structured in terms of a municipality receiving a grant and
- then being able to invest in their own P3, and then private 8
- 9 financing would come in on top of that and give sort of more
- 10 infrastructure to the municipality. So I walked through that,
- 11 and they said that this was the infrastructure surplus or
- 12 infrastructure funding priority for the surplus that was being
- 13 talked about was a good opportunity for pushing the stormwater
- 14 P3 legislation.
- 15 And you mentioned "they," I failed to ask you, who
- participated in the call with you? 16
- 17 It was Nick Barrella, Mike Avella, Adam Skelos, Katie
- 18 Hohman, and I believe Tim Sheridan was on the call, but I can't
- be certain. 19
- 20 MR. MASIMORE: If we could pull up Government
- 21 Exhibit 2619 in evidence. Focus on the top half there.
- 22 Ο. Do you recognize this?
- 23 Yes, that's an email from myself to Adam.
- 24 And if we start at the bottom of the page, do you see the
- 25 initial email is from Michael Avella to Nick Barrella and

- forwards an article entitled Nassau County Pledges \$12 million

 Towards New Sewers in Glen Cove.
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And then Nick Barrella forwarded that to Adam Skelos and you?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And then you replied at the top: This infuriates me.
- 8 Let's discuss.
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What was it about what was contained in the article,
- 11 Government Exhibit 2619, that infuriated you?
- 12 A. Well, at this point it was probably 14 months of the
- contract being in force with Nassau County and AbTech. And the
- 14 county cited funding issues and concerns and such. And in this
- 15 | case the article was announcing an allocation of the same exact
- 16 amount of funding, 12 million, for a similar type project, just
- 17 | not the one that was they already were in contract with AbTech
- 18 | for.
- 19 MR. MASIMORE: Your Honor, I'm about to return to some
- 20 calls. I can get into them now, but I think our time is going
- 21 | to go past 5:00 if I start playing the calls.
- 22 | THE COURT: We can stop for the evening now. I remind
- 23 you, as I must, please don't read about the case, don't talk
- 24 about the case with anyone, don't listen to anything about the
- 25 | case. Have a good evening. See you tomorrow at 10.

FBUTSKE7

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Did you want to take up 2183 now?

MR. MASIMORE: No, your Honor. I think we can probably speak to counsel offline and figure it out without troubling the Court.

THE COURT: Would anyone like to raise anything else?

MR. GAGE: No, your Honor.

MS. MARTINS: Briefly, your Honor, the government wanted to alert the Court that at the current pace we expect to close sometime -- not close, but rest sometime next week.

To that end, we, over the weekend, asked defense counsel to provide us with a list of their witnesses. If the Court recalls, we provided our witnesses to the defense approximately a month before trial and then further refined that list over a week before trial and have been giving them, on a rolling basis, the exact witness order and exhibits, as we discussed with your Honor.

We would like the same courtesy. We haven't yet heard from defense on our request that we sent over the weekend. So we wanted to sort of put that on the record and ask that we can have the courtesy of being prepared to streamline our crosses as well.

THE COURT: I understand. I think there was roughly an agreement to that effect. Is that right, Mr. Gage, Mr. Conniff?

FBUTSKE7

MR. CONNIFF: Yes, your Honor, there was an agreement that we would give it a week before. So if the government tells us -- certainly we got their email over the weekend, and everything is in a little flux as we think about those things. If they know when they're going to rest, it would be helpful to us. We're happy to provide the list a week before that.

THE COURT: I guess if you know how long you're going to cross each witness you will both know all you need to know. I guess you will just need to do your best.

I heard you last week saying you might close around the 7th of December, is that right?

MS. MARTINS: Your Honor, if there's no defense case, that would be --

THE COURT: Rest.

MS. MARTINS: Sorry, yes, rest. Depending on the cross, we could rest as early as Monday a week from today. And depending on the cross in particular of a lengthy witness like Mr. White, it could be a day or two after that. I meant to add we also had made a request for the equivalent of 3500 material as well for the witnesses.

MR. CONNIFF: That was our agreement, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I think it would be fair to assume that no sooner than tomorrow evening but no later than about half a day after that it would be fair to have reciprocal discovery.

You can let me know if you disagree.

FBUTSKE7

```
MR. CONNIFF: Yes, your Honor.
1
 2
               THE COURT: Have a good evening. I have a 9:30
 3
      sentencing. If you want to be heard before 10, let me know.
               MS. MARTINS: Thank you, your Honor.
 4
 5
               (Adjourned to December 1, 2015 at 10:00 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	BJORNULF WHITE
4	Direct By Mr. Masimore
5	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
6	Exhibit No. Received
7	4804
8	2131, 2428, 2441, 2443, 2456, 2457,
9	2459, 2463 through 2465, 2468,
10	2470, 2472, 2474, 2477, 2482,
11	2484, 2485, 2489, 2490, 2497,
12	2502, 2506, 2507, 2509, 2513,
13	2516, 2517, 2522, 2523, 2532
14	through 2534, 2539, 2541, 2544
15	through 2547, 2548A through
16	2548C, 2553 through 2555,
17	2562, 2563, 2577A, 2582A
18	through 2582C, 2586, 2587,
19	2589, 2590, 2598, 2599, 2605,
20	2613, 2515, 2617, 2619, 2622,
21	2625, 2627, 2629, 2631, 2633,
22	2634, 2638, 2639, 2652, 2673
23	through 2679
24	2001 through 2005
25	404

1	2012-I
2	2485
3	2489
4	2490
5	2301A
6	2497
7	2502
8	118
9	2506
10	2507
11	2106
12	2509
13	2513 and 2516
14	2301
15	18
16	2532A through C
17	2182
18	2302
19	2128
20	2131
21	3216
22	2177, 2111, 2116 and 2124
23	4830
24	105
25	4820